



# NOAA FISHERIES



# National Artificial Reef Workshop

June 9 – 10, 2016

*Pre-Workshop Survey  
Key Findings and Themes*



# *Presentation Outline*

- Survey Purpose and Process
- Key Findings and Themes of Survey Results
  - Accomplishments, Opportunities and Challenges
  - Coordination and Partnerships
  - Workshop Topics of Interest
- Steering Committee Collaboration to Develop Workshop Agenda

# *Survey Purpose and Process*

- Gather perspectives from a cross-regional set of professionals directly involved with or connected to artificial reef programs
  - Artificial reef program managers
  - Regulators and policy specialists
  - Regional Councils and Interstate Marine Fisheries Commissions
  - NGOs, scientists and recreational/commercial fishing interests
- Inform a national level workshop agenda by identifying...
  - Accomplishments in artificial reef application
  - Opportunities and challenges facing artificial reef programs
  - Science advances and outstanding knowledge gaps
  - Lessons learned since the 2007 National Artificial Reef Plan

# Survey Implementation

- Development of standardized questionnaire
- Online survey distributed to nearly 100 diverse parties
- Survey open for five weeks – received 45 responses
- All responses non-attributable to individual or agency/organization
- Survey results utilized to inform agenda development process



# Key Survey Findings and Themes

*Accomplishments, Opportunities and Challenges,  
and Lessons Learned*

# *Artificial Reef Accomplishments*

*Most common themes included the following...*

- New projects in many locations (sinking of ships commonly cited)
- Range of science advancements
  - Improved monitoring, evaluation and use of technology
  - Better understanding of reef function and ecological benefits
  - Studies revealing socioeconomic impacts
- Design improvements – objectives, materials, fishing enhancement
- Maintenance and establishment of AR special management zones
- Updating of the National Artificial Reef Plan (2007)

# *Artificial Reef Opportunities*

*Wide range of responses. Key findings and themes included...*

- Continue scientific studies to better understand artificial reefs
- Restore habitat and improve shoreline protection
- Enhance recreational and commercial fishing opportunities
- Promote different AR types (Vessels, Rigs-to-Reefs, Reef Balls etc.)
- Explore new opportunities for materials and design
- Potentially apply artificial reefs as marine protected areas

# Artificial Reef Challenges

*Also a wide range of responses. Most fell under the following themes...*

- Permitting and management of artificial reef uses
- Science challenges and unanswered questions
- Design, construction and materials challenges
- Lack of funding, staffing and agency support



# Science Advances

*Common themes included...*

- Significant improvements in monitoring and mapping reefs
- Application of new technologies (many linked to monitoring efforts)
- Useful design/reef function lessons (e.g. best materials, siting, impact with specific species etc.)
- Cooperation between universities and state AR programs



# Science Gaps

*Wide range of responses, including...*

- Most common response was aggregation versus production debate – however some recommend planners move past this issue
- Lack on long-term monitoring/long-term studies
- Need better understanding of siting/habitat needs
- Questions about species life history and links to reef function
- Questions about the impacts of recreational fishing effort
- Lack of funding/staff capacity to evaluate artificial reef effectiveness

# *Lessons Learned*

*Respondents asked to identify lessons learned across several topics*

- Artificial reef program planning
- Siting, construction and development
- Project coordination and/or partnerships
- Monitoring, evaluation and applied science
- Governance, permitting, managing and maintaining ARs
- Research and applied science

# Key Survey Findings and Themes

## *Coordination and Partnerships*

# *Agencies, Organizations and Communities*

*Most common responses when asked how to improve coordination...*

- Increase and improve communication and information sharing
- Improve coordination between all key players
- Advance the science, publish and create a shared database
- Engage relevant stakeholders and build partnerships
- Define clear program/project goals and objectives
- Hold regular regional and national workshops
- Clarify and streamline the permitting process

# *Permitting and Inter-Agency Coordination*

*How agencies can improve permitting and coordination...*

- Maintain a consistent, clear and streamlined permitting process
- Enhance communication, collaboration and information sharing...
  - Between responsible federal agencies
  - Between Regional Councils, Interstate Commissions and States
  - With NGOs and other relevant stakeholders
  - Across regions so lessons learned can be broadly beneficial
- Get involved with artificial reef permit applicants early in the process
- Fund research that informs future artificial reef design

# Key Survey Findings and Themes

*Workshop Topics of Interest*

# *Ranking Topics of Interest*

	<b>First priority</b>	<b>Second priority</b>	<b>Third priority</b>	<b>Fourth priority</b>
State of the science	56%	36%	3%	5%
Regional updates and lessons learned	26%	31%	23%	20%
Potential future direction of artificial reefs	20%	28%	30%	22%
State/federal and other partnerships	8%	13%	38%	41%

# *Outcomes of a Successful Workshop*

*Common themes from survey responses included the following...*

- Guidance on future planning
- Improved education, knowledge and understanding
- Benefits derived from lessons learned
- Improved coordination, communication and commitment
- Clarity on science, management and policy issues
- Insight on how to manage conflict and find agreement
- Improved funding landscape

# Steering Committee Collaboration

*Agenda Development and Recruitment  
of Guest Presenters*

# ***Steering Committee Collaboration***

*A diverse groups of AR experts helped craft the workshop agenda*

- Five meetings held from December 2015 – May 2016
- Provided input on pre-workshop survey and considered results
- Reviewed and refined several iterations of the workshop agenda
- Brainstormed and refined key science topics for discussion
- Helped secure guest presenters; some agreed to present

***Thank you to the steering committee for initiating the kind of collaboration we hope will continue during this workshop!***

# End of Presentation

*Brief comments or questions*

*Thank you!*