Please provide the following information, and submit to the NOAA DM Plan Repository.

Reference to Master DM Plan (if applicable)

As stated in Section IV, Requirement 1.3, DM Plans may be hierarchical. If this DM Plan inherits provisions from a higher-level DM Plan already submitted to the Repository, then this more-specific Plan only needs to provide information that differs from what was provided in the Master DM Plan.

URL of higher-level DM Plan (if any) as submitted to DM Plan Repository:

1. General Description of Data to be Managed

1.1. Name of the Data, data collection Project, or data-producing Program:
AFSC/RACE/FBEP/Laurel: Effects of natural and anthropogenic disturbance on polychaete worm tubes and age-0 flatfish distribution

1.2. Summary description of the data:
This dataset is from a field experiment that examined how juvenile flatfish distribution changed with worm tube heterogeneity, i.e. density and patchiness.

1.3. Is this a one-time data collection, or an ongoing series of measurements?
One-time data collection

1.4. Actual or planned temporal coverage of the data:
2008 to 2009

1.5. Actual or planned geographic coverage of the data:
W: -152.42486, E: -152.41438, N: 57.82331, S: 57.81625
Pillar Creek Cove, Kodiak Island, Alaska

1.6. Type(s) of data:
(e.g., digital numeric data, imagery, photographs, video, audio, database, tabular data, etc.)
Table (digital)

1.7. Data collection method(s):
(e.g., satellite, airplane, unmanned aerial system, radar, weather station, moored buoy, research vessel, autonomous underwater vehicle, animal tagging, manual surveys, enforcement activities, numerical model, etc.)

1.8. If data are from a NOAA Observing System of Record, indicate name of system:

1.8.1. If data are from another observing system, please specify:

2. Point of Contact for this Data Management Plan (author or maintainer)
2.1. Name: Mara Spencer
2.2. Title: Metadata Contact
2.3. Affiliation or facility:
2.4. E-mail address: mara.spencer@noaa.gov
2.5. Phone number:

3. Responsible Party for Data Management
Program Managers, or their designee, shall be responsible for assuring the proper management of the data produced by their Program. Please indicate the responsible party below.

3.1. Name: Mara Spencer
3.2. Title: Data Steward

4. Resources
Programs must identify resources within their own budget for managing the data they produce.

4.1. Have resources for management of these data been identified?
No

4.2. Approximate percentage of the budget for these data devoted to data management (specify percentage or "unknown"):
Unknown

5. Data Lineage and Quality
NOAA has issued Information Quality Guidelines for ensuring and maximizing the quality, objectivity, utility, and integrity of information which it disseminates.

5.1. Processing workflow of the data from collection or acquisition to making it publicly accessible
(describe or provide URL of description):
Lineage Statement:
Field work was conducted in the coastal water embayment of Pillar Creek Cove, Kodiak Island, Alaska, a summer nursery area for age-0 rock sole and Pacific halibut. Video surveys of age-0 flatfish and worm tubes were conducted using a towed camera sled. The camera sled was deployed along 8 transects (~250 m long) that ran across depth strata (10-30 m depth span) perpendicular to the shoreline. After an initial survey of the
8 transects, 4 of the 8 transects were subjected to a series of passes with a simulated trawl footrope incorporating heavy chain and trawl doors. The transects were resurveyed several times to follow changes in worm tube and flatfish distributions. Polychaete worm tube characteristics (density, patchiness, combing) and age-0 flatfish densities were scored during video playback.

Process Steps:
- Towed camera assessment (Citation: Effects of natural and anthropogenic disturbance on polychaete worm tubes and age-0 flatfish distribution)
- Experimental disturbance (Citation: Effects of natural and anthropogenic disturbance on polychaete worm tubes and age-0 flatfish distribution)
- Video processing and analysis (Citation: Effects of natural and anthropogenic disturbance on polychaete worm tubes and age-0 flatfish distribution)
- Statistical analysis (Citation: Effects of natural and anthropogenic disturbance on polychaete worm tubes and age-0 flatfish distribution)

5.1.1. If data at different stages of the workflow, or products derived from these data, are subject to a separate data management plan, provide reference to other plan:

5.2. Quality control procedures employed (describe or provide URL of description):
Data was checked for outliers and inconsistencies.

6. Data Documentation
The EDMC Data Documentation Procedural Directive requires that NOAA data be well documented, specifies the use of ISO 19115 and related standards for documentation of new data, and provides links to resources and tools for metadata creation and validation.

6.1. Does metadata comply with EDMC Data Documentation directive?
No

6.1.1. If metadata are non-existent or non-compliant, please explain:
Missing/invalid information:
- 1.7. Data collection method(s)
- 7.2. Name of organization of facility providing data access

6.2. Name of organization or facility providing metadata hosting:
NMFS Office of Science and Technology

6.2.1. If service is needed for metadata hosting, please indicate:

6.3. URL of metadata folder or data catalog, if known:
https://www.fisheries.noaa.gov/inport/item/28063

6.4. Process for producing and maintaining metadata (describe or provide URL of description):
7. Data Access
NAO 212-15 states that access to environmental data may only be restricted when distribution is explicitly limited by law, regulation, policy (such as those applicable to personally identifiable information or protected critical infrastructure information or proprietary trade information) or by security requirements. The EDMC Data Access Procedural Directive contains specific guidance, recommends the use of open-standard, interoperable, non-proprietary web services, provides information about resources and tools to enable data access, and includes a Waiver to be submitted to justify any approach other than full, unrestricted public access.

7.1. Do these data comply with the Data Access directive?
No

7.1.1. If the data are not to be made available to the public at all, or with limitations, has a Waiver (Appendix A of Data Access directive) been filed?
No

7.1.2. If there are limitations to public data access, describe how data are protected from unauthorized access or disclosure:
No restriction for accessing this dataset

7.2. Name of organization of facility providing data access:

7.2.1. If data hosting service is needed, please indicate:
Yes

7.2.2. URL of data access service, if known:
https://console.cloud.google.com/storage/browser/_details/nmfs_odp_afsc/RACE/FBEP/Laurel%3B%20Effects%20of%20natural%20and%20anthropogenic%20disturbance%20on%20polychaete%20worm%20tubes%20and%20age-0%20flatfish%20distribution.csv

7.3. Data access methods or services offered:
Unknown

7.4. Approximate delay between data collection and dissemination:
Unknown

7.4.1. If delay is longer than latency of automated processing, indicate under what authority data access is delayed:
No delay

8. Data Preservation and Protection
The NOAA Procedure for Scientific Records Appraisal and Archive Approval describes how to identify, appraise and decide what scientific records are to be preserved in a NOAA archive.

8.1. Actual or planned long-term data archive location:
8.1. If World Data Center or Other, specify:

8.1.2. If To Be Determined, Unable to Archive or No Archiving Intended, explain:

8.2. Data storage facility prior to being sent to an archive facility (if any):
Alaska Fisheries Science Center - Seattle, WA

8.3. Approximate delay between data collection and submission to an archive facility:
Unknown

8.4. How will the data be protected from accidental or malicious modification or
deletion prior to receipt by the archive?
Discuss data back-up, disaster recovery/contingency planning, and off-site data storage
relevant to the data collection

IT Security and Contingency Plan for the system establishes procedures and applies to
the functions, operations, and resources necessary to recover and restore data as hosted
in the Western Regional Support Center in Seattle, Washington following disruption.

9. Additional Line Office or Staff Office Questions
Line and Staff Offices may extend this template by inserting additional questions in this section.