Please provide the following information, and submit to the NOAA DM Plan Repository.

Reference to Master DM Plan (if applicable)

As stated in Section IV, Requirement 1.3, DM Plans may be hierarchical. If this DM Plan inherits provisions from a higher-level DM Plan already submitted to the Repository, then this more-specific Plan only needs to provide information that differs from what was provided in the Master DM Plan.

URL of higher-level DM Plan (if any) as submitted to DM Plan Repository:

1. General Description of Data to be Managed

1.1. Name of the Data, data collection Project, or data-producing Program:
Coastal Economic Trends for Coastal Geographies

1.2. Summary description of the data:
These market data provide a comprehensive set of measures of changes in economic activity throughout the coastal regions of the United States. In regard to the sources of data, establishments, employment, and wages are taken from the Quarterly Census of Employment and Wages (QCEW). The data series also is known as the ES-202 data. These data are based on the quarterly reports of nearly all employers in the United States. These reports are filed with each state's employment or labor department, and each state then transmits the data to the Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS), where the national databases are maintained. The data for the Coastal Economies have been taken from the national databases at BLS (except in the case of Massachusetts). Gross State Product (GSP) data are taken from the Bureau of Economic Analysis (BEA), which develops the estimates of GSP from a number of sources. In regard to "employment", data are reported by employers, not employees, and does not contain any information about age. There is no difference between "employed" and "employment". The source is known as the payroll survey, a survey filed by employers every 3 months showing the number of people employed at each establishment in each of the preceding 3 months. Detailed information on the geographies the data are available for can be found here: https://coast.noaa.gov/htdata/SocioEconomic/CoastalEconomy/CoastalEconomy_DataDescription.pdf

1.3. Is this a one-time data collection, or an ongoing series of measurements?
One-time data collection

1.4. Actual or planned temporal coverage of the data:
2005 to 2014

1.5. Actual or planned geographic coverage of the data:

1.6. Type(s) of data:
(e.g., digital numeric data, imagery, photographs, video, audio, database, tabular data, etc.)
1.7. **Data collection method(s):**
(e.g., satellite, airplane, unmanned aerial system, radar, weather station, moored buoy, research vessel, autonomous underwater vehicle, animal tagging, manual surveys, enforcement activities, numerical model, etc.)

1.8. If data are from a NOAA Observing System of Record, indicate name of system:

1.8.1. If data are from another observing system, please specify:

2. **Point of Contact for this Data Management Plan (author or maintainer)**

2.1. **Name:**
NOAA Office for Coastal Management (NOAA/OCM)

2.2. **Title:**
Metadata Contact

2.3. **Affiliation or facility:**
NOAA Office for Coastal Management (NOAA/OCM)

2.4. **E-mail address:**
coastal.info@noaa.gov

2.5. **Phone number:**
(843) 740-1202

3. **Responsible Party for Data Management**

Program Managers, or their designee, shall be responsible for assuring the proper management of the data produced by their Program. Please indicate the responsible party below.

3.1. **Name:**

3.2. **Title:**
Data Steward

4. **Resources**

Programs must identify resources within their own budget for managing the data they produce.

4.1. **Have resources for management of these data been identified?**

4.2. **Approximate percentage of the budget for these data devoted to data management (specify percentage or "unknown"):**
5. Data Lineage and Quality
NOAA has issued Information Quality Guidelines for ensuring and maximizing the quality, objectivity, utility, and integrity of information which it disseminates.

5.1. Processing workflow of the data from collection or acquisition to making it publicly accessible
(describe or provide URL of description):
Process Steps:
- 2010-01-01 00:00:00 - The county level (BLS) data 1990-2011 were obtained from the BLS public web site (ftp://ftp.bls.gov/pub/special.requests/cew/). The GDP and RGDP data were obtained from the BEA public site at the State level (http://www.bea.gov/regional/gsp). The method of disaggregating State level data to County level data is explained in "A Guide to the Measurement of the Market Data for the Ocean and Coastal Economy" (http://www.oceaneconomics.org/Download/Market_Guide.asp). The files were then imported into the Statistical Analysis System (SAS) software for further processing and analysis. a) County level data were disaggregated to several place based management boundaries (ex. NERRS, NEP), Floodplains (ex. FEMA Coastal Flood Hazard Area), NOAA and CAF watersheds, and other boundaries using a block group area proration-county disaggregation methodology as follows: The county data were distributed accordingly to the population of block groups in the county. Then, the block group areas were intersected with the geography of interest (ex. watershed) using the ESRI ArcGIS software. Any block group that was partially included in the geography of interest was areally prorated. (Citation: Quarterly Census of Employment and Wages (QCEW))

5.1.1. If data at different stages of the workflow, or products derived from these data, are subject to a separate data management plan, provide reference to other plan:

5.2. Quality control procedures employed (describe or provide URL of description):

6. Data Documentation
The EDMC Data Documentation Procedural Directive requires that NOAA data be well documented, specifies the use of ISO 19115 and related standards for documentation of new data, and provides links to resources and tools for metadata creation and validation.

6.1. Does metadata comply with EDMC Data Documentation directive?
No

6.1.1. If metadata are non-existent or non-compliant, please explain:
Missing/invalid information:
- 1.6. Type(s) of data
1.7. Data collection method(s)
3.1. Responsible Party for Data Management
4.1. Have resources for management of these data been identified?
4.2. Approximate percentage of the budget for these data devoted to data management
5.2. Quality control procedures employed
7.1. Do these data comply with the Data Access directive?
7.1.1. If data are not available or has limitations, has a Waiver been filed?
7.1.2. If there are limitations to data access, describe how data are protected
7.3. Data access methods or services offered
7.4. Approximate delay between data collection and dissemination
8.1. Actual or planned long-term data archive location
8.3. Approximate delay between data collection and submission to an archive facility
8.4. How will the data be protected from accidental or malicious modification or deletion prior to receipt by the archive?

6.2. Name of organization or facility providing metadata hosting:
   NMFS Office of Science and Technology

6.2.1. If service is needed for metadata hosting, please indicate:

6.3. URL of metadata folder or data catalog, if known:
   https://www.fisheries.noaa.gov/inport/item/48031

6.4. Process for producing and maintaining metadata
   (describe or provide URL of description):
   Metadata produced and maintained in accordance with the NOAA Data Documentation Procedural Directive: https://nosc.noaa.gov/EDMC/DAARWG/docs/EDMC_PD-Data_Documentation_v1.pdf

7. Data Access
NAO 212-15 states that access to environmental data may only be restricted when distribution is explicitly limited by law, regulation, policy (such as those applicable to personally identifiable information or protected critical infrastructure information or proprietary trade information) or by security requirements. The EDMC Data Access Procedural Directive contains specific guidance, recommends the use of open-standard, interoperable, non-proprietary web services, provides information about resources and tools to enable data access, and includes a Waiver to be submitted to justify any approach other than full, unrestricted public access.

7.1. Do these data comply with the Data Access directive?

7.1.1. If the data are not to be made available to the public at all, or with limitations, has a Waiver (Appendix A of Data Access directive) been filed?
7.1.2. If there are limitations to public data access, describe how data are protected from unauthorized access or disclosure:

7.2. Name of organization of facility providing data access:
NOAA Office for Coastal Management (NOAA/OCM)

7.2.1. If data hosting service is needed, please indicate:

7.2.2. URL of data access service, if known:

7.3. Data access methods or services offered:

7.4. Approximate delay between data collection and dissemination:

7.4.1. If delay is longer than latency of automated processing, indicate under what authority data access is delayed:

8. Data Preservation and Protection
The NOAA Procedure for Scientific Records Appraisal and Archive Approval describes how to identify, appraise and decide what scientific records are to be preserved in a NOAA archive.

8.1. Actual or planned long-term data archive location:
(Specify NCEI-MD, NCEI-CO, NCEI-NC, NCEI-MS, World Data Center (WDC) facility, Other, To Be Determined, Unable to Archive, or No Archiving Intended)

8.1.1. If World Data Center or Other, specify:

8.1.2. If To Be Determined, Unable to Archive or No Archiving Intended, explain:

8.2. Data storage facility prior to being sent to an archive facility (if any):
Office for Coastal Management - Charleston, SC

8.3. Approximate delay between data collection and submission to an archive facility:

8.4. How will the data be protected from accidental or malicious modification or deletion prior to receipt by the archive?
Discuss data back-up, disaster recovery/contingency planning, and off-site data storage relevant to the data collection
9. Additional Line Office or Staff Office Questions

*Line and Staff Offices may extend this template by inserting additional questions in this section.*