

Please provide the following information, and submit to the NOAA DM Plan Repository.

Reference to Master DM Plan (if applicable)

As stated in Section IV, Requirement 1.3, DM Plans may be hierarchical. If this DM Plan inherits provisions from a higher-level DM Plan already submitted to the Repository, then this more-specific Plan only needs to provide information that differs from what was provided in the Master DM Plan.

URL of higher-level DM Plan (if any) as submitted to DM Plan Repository:

1. General Description of Data to be Managed

1.1. Name of the Data, data collection Project, or data-producing Program:

Surficial Sediment Classification

1.2. Summary description of the data:

This data layer is a point coverage of known sediment samplings within the United States Economic Exclusion Zone (EEZ). The data comprising this layer were sourced from two U.S. Geological Survey databases (usSEABED and the East Coast Sediment Texture Database) as well as the NOAA Electronic Navigation Charts. There are many scientifically accepted sediment classification schemes in use today, and the wide variety represented in the data sources used in this product dictated the generalized attributes utilized herein. Additional details regarding the standardization of the data can be found in the data processing and attribute definitions sections of this document. In many cases, additional details such as Munsell coloration, grain size, and composition are available in the raw data from the source. Interested parties are encouraged to seek further information from the source of the data listed in the attribute table and further described in the sources section of this document.

1.3. Is this a one-time data collection, or an ongoing series of measurements?

One-time data collection

1.4. Actual or planned temporal coverage of the data:

2018-08-17

1.5. Actual or planned geographic coverage of the data:

W: -179.982331, E: 179.935255, N: 73.063461, S: -14.373513

1.6. Type(s) of data:

(e.g., digital numeric data, imagery, photographs, video, audio, database, tabular data, etc.)
Map (digital)

1.7. Data collection method(s):

(e.g., satellite, airplane, unmanned aerial system, radar, weather station, moored buoy, research vessel, autonomous underwater vehicle, animal tagging, manual surveys, enforcement activities, numerical model, etc.)

1.8. If data are from a NOAA Observing System of Record, indicate name of system:**1.8.1. If data are from another observing system, please specify:****2. Point of Contact for this Data Management Plan (author or maintainer)****2.1. Name:**

NOAA Office for Coastal Management (NOAA/OCM)

2.2. Title:

Metadata Contact

2.3. Affiliation or facility:

NOAA Office for Coastal Management (NOAA/OCM)

2.4. E-mail address:

coastal.info@noaa.gov

2.5. Phone number:

(843) 740-1202

3. Responsible Party for Data Management

Program Managers, or their designee, shall be responsible for assuring the proper management of the data produced by their Program. Please indicate the responsible party below.

3.1. Name:**3.2. Title:**

Data Steward

4. Resources

Programs must identify resources within their own budget for managing the data they produce.

4.1. Have resources for management of these data been identified?**4.2. Approximate percentage of the budget for these data devoted to data management (specify percentage or "unknown"):****5. Data Lineage and Quality**

NOAA has issued Information Quality Guidelines for ensuring and maximizing the quality, objectivity, utility, and integrity of information which it disseminates.

5.1. Processing workflow of the data from collection or acquisition to making it publicly

accessible

(describe or provide URL of description):

Process Steps:

- 2018-08-12 00:00:00 - Crosswalk schemas from each source into new schema as shown: Layer - (raw attribute that crosswalked to Source) - (raw attribute that crosswalked to Classification) - (raw attribute that crosswalked to AdditionalDescription). ENC - Appropriate portion of SORIND - NATSUR - NATQUA. ECSTDB - created field and populated with "USGS, East Coast Sediment Texture DataBase" - CLASSIFICATION - LITHOLOGY. PAC_EXT and GMX_EXT - created field and populated with "USGS, usSEABED" - SHEPARDCOD - FOLKCODE.
- 2018-08-15 00:00:00 - Delete duplicate features (identical Shape (location) and Classification)
- 2018-08-13 00:00:00 - Merge all Feature classes together
- 2018-08-09 00:00:00 - Merge all ENC feature classes into one
- 2018-08-08 00:00:00 - Select and delete all features that are completely outside the EEZ
- 2018-08-07 00:00:00 - Project all source data into World Mercator
- 2018-08-11 00:00:00 - Create and populate SampleDepth field as shown: Layer - (raw attribute) - (processing steps). ENC - attribute created and populated with "Surface" for all records. ECSTDB - T_DEPTH and B_DEPTH - add new field called "SampleDepth", convert X_DEPTH fields to text and divide by 100 to convert to meters, Concatenate text fields into SampleDepth with " to " between and " meters" at end, select by attribute to replace entries with at least one "-9999" value to "Unknown", select by attribute and replace entries where T_DEPTH and B_DEPTH are equal with "(depth) meters", select by attribute and replace any "0" values with "Surface". PAC_EXT and GMX_EXT - SAMPLETOP and SAMPLEBASE - follow same processing steps as ECSTDB, with exception of dividing by 100 (measurements are already in meters in these datasets).
- 2018-08-10 00:00:00 - Identify ENC duplicates via topology. Delete one feature if category (NATSUR) attribute is the same in both features, or if one is NULL. Otherwise, mark as exception.
- 2018-08-14 00:00:00 - Delete all records that lack a meaningful value in both Classification and Description.

5.1.1. If data at different stages of the workflow, or products derived from these data, are subject to a separate data management plan, provide reference to other plan:

5.2. Quality control procedures employed (describe or provide URL of description):

6. Data Documentation

The EDMC Data Documentation Procedural Directive requires that NOAA data be well documented, specifies the use of ISO 19115 and related standards for documentation of new data, and provides

links to resources and tools for metadata creation and validation.

6.1. Does metadata comply with EDMC Data Documentation directive?

No

6.1.1. If metadata are non-existent or non-compliant, please explain:

Missing/invalid information:

- 1.7. Data collection method(s)
- 3.1. Responsible Party for Data Management
- 4.1. Have resources for management of these data been identified?
- 4.2. Approximate percentage of the budget for these data devoted to data management
- 5.2. Quality control procedures employed
- 7.1. Do these data comply with the Data Access directive?
 - 7.1.1. If data are not available or has limitations, has a Waiver been filed?
 - 7.1.2. If there are limitations to data access, describe how data are protected
- 7.2. Name of organization of facility providing data access
 - 7.2.1. If data hosting service is needed, please indicate
- 7.3. Data access methods or services offered
- 7.4. Approximate delay between data collection and dissemination
- 8.1. Actual or planned long-term data archive location
- 8.2. Data storage facility prior to being sent to an archive facility
- 8.3. Approximate delay between data collection and submission to an archive facility
- 8.4. How will the data be protected from accidental or malicious modification or deletion prior to receipt by the archive?

6.2. Name of organization or facility providing metadata hosting:

NMFS Office of Science and Technology

6.2.1. If service is needed for metadata hosting, please indicate:

6.3. URL of metadata folder or data catalog, if known:

<https://www.fisheries.noaa.gov/inport/item/54406>

6.4. Process for producing and maintaining metadata

(describe or provide URL of description):

Metadata produced and maintained in accordance with the NOAA Data Documentation Procedural Directive: https://nosc.noaa.gov/EDMC/DAARWG/docs/EDMC_PD-Data_Documentation_v1.pdf

7. Data Access

NAO 212-15 states that access to environmental data may only be restricted when distribution is explicitly limited by law, regulation, policy (such as those applicable to personally identifiable information or protected critical infrastructure information or proprietary trade information) or by security requirements. The EDMC Data Access Procedural Directive contains specific guidance,

recommends the use of open-standard, interoperable, non-proprietary web services, provides information about resources and tools to enable data access, and includes a Waiver to be submitted to justify any approach other than full, unrestricted public access.

7.1. Do these data comply with the Data Access directive?

7.1.1. If the data are not to be made available to the public at all, or with limitations, has a Waiver (Appendix A of Data Access directive) been filed?

7.1.2. If there are limitations to public data access, describe how data are protected from unauthorized access or disclosure:

7.2. Name of organization of facility providing data access:

7.2.1. If data hosting service is needed, please indicate:

7.2.2. URL of data access service, if known:

<ftp://ftp.coast.noaa.gov/pub/MSP/ORT/SurficialSedimentClassification.zip>
<https://coast.noaa.gov/arcgis/rest/services/OceanReportingTool/>

7.3. Data access methods or services offered:

7.4. Approximate delay between data collection and dissemination:

7.4.1. If delay is longer than latency of automated processing, indicate under what authority data access is delayed:

8. Data Preservation and Protection

The NOAA Procedure for Scientific Records Appraisal and Archive Approval describes how to identify, appraise and decide what scientific records are to be preserved in a NOAA archive.

8.1. Actual or planned long-term data archive location:

(Specify NCEI-MD, NCEI-CO, NCEI-NC, NCEI-MS, World Data Center (WDC) facility, Other, To Be Determined, Unable to Archive, or No Archiving Intended)

8.1.1. If World Data Center or Other, specify:

8.1.2. If To Be Determined, Unable to Archive or No Archiving Intended, explain:

8.2. Data storage facility prior to being sent to an archive facility (if any):

Charleston, SC

8.3. Approximate delay between data collection and submission to an archive facility:

8.4. How will the data be protected from accidental or malicious modification or deletion prior to receipt by the archive?

Discuss data back-up, disaster recovery/contingency planning, and off-site data storage relevant to the data collection

9. Additional Line Office or Staff Office Questions

Line and Staff Offices may extend this template by inserting additional questions in this section.