Please provide the following information, and submit to the NOAA DM Plan Repository.

Reference to Master DM Plan (if applicable)

As stated in Section IV, Requirement 1.3, DM Plans may be hierarchical. If this DM Plan inherits provisions from a higher-level DM Plan already submitted to the Repository, then this more-specific Plan only needs to provide information that differs from what was provided in the Master DM Plan.

URL of higher-level DM Plan (if any) as submitted to DM Plan Repository:

1. General Description of Data to be Managed

1.1. Name of the Data, data collection Project, or data-producing Program:
   C-CAP Land Cover Change, Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands (CNMI), Anatahan, 2005 - 2016

1.2. Summary description of the data:
   This data set consists of land derived from high resolution imagery and was analyzed according to the Coastal Change Analysis Program (C-CAP) protocol to determine land cover. This data set utilized Worldview and Quickbird imagery to determine land cover change between 2005 and 2016.

1.3. Is this a one-time data collection, or an ongoing series of measurements?
   One-time data collection

1.4. Actual or planned temporal coverage of the data:
   2007-02-05 to 2016-06-03

1.5. Actual or planned geographic coverage of the data:

1.6. Type(s) of data:
   (e.g., digital numeric data, imagery, photographs, video, audio, database, tabular data, etc.)
   Image (digital)

1.7. Data collection method(s):
   (e.g., satellite, airplane, unmanned aerial system, radar, weather station, moored buoy, research vessel, autonomous underwater vehicle, animal tagging, manual surveys, enforcement activities, numerical model, etc.)

1.8. If data are from a NOAA Observing System of Record, indicate name of system:

   1.8.1. If data are from another observing system, please specify:
2. Point of Contact for this Data Management Plan (author or maintainer)

2.1. Name:
NOAA Office for Coastal Management (NOAA/OCM)

2.2. Title:
Metadata Contact

2.3. Affiliation or facility:
NOAA Office for Coastal Management (NOAA/OCM)

2.4. E-mail address:
coastal.info@noaa.gov

2.5. Phone number:
(843) 740-1202

3. Responsible Party for Data Management
Program Managers, or their designee, shall be responsible for assuring the proper management of the data produced by their Program. Please indicate the responsible party below.

3.1. Name:

3.2. Title:
Data Steward

4. Resources
Programs must identify resources within their own budget for managing the data they produce.

4.1. Have resources for management of these data been identified?

4.2. Approximate percentage of the budget for these data devoted to data management (specify percentage or "unknown"):

5. Data Lineage and Quality
NOAA has issued Information Quality Guidelines for ensuring and maximizing the quality, objectivity, utility, and integrity of information which it disseminates.

5.1. Processing workflow of the data from collection or acquisition to making it publicly accessible
(describe or provide URL of description):
Process Steps:
- 2020-02-03 00:00:00 - This dataset was created by NOAA's Ocean Service, Office for Coastal Management (OCM). This dataset contains the classifications of 2005 imagery and 2016 imagery. It is used for change analysis between these years. The attributes include a from-to change description category. There are 481 classes
corresponding to the 481 possible from-to change combinations. In this section of
the metadata, the 2005 classification procedure is described, then the 2016 change
detection classification procedure is described. Finally in the post-processing
section, the process of combining the maps to generate a spatial matrix of change is
discussed. The 2005 dataset was created by Sanborn (www.sanborn.com). This
version of the classification is the High Resolution Land Cover (2005-era) for the
Island of Anatahan, CNMI. This section outlines the classification procedure for
the Island of Anatahan, CNMI High Resolution C-CAP. This island has no man-made
impervious surfaces, thus an impervious layer was not created. The mapping
approach utilized a boundary summary and refinement procedure developed by
Sanborn to leverage previous United States Forest Service mapping efforts to create
the high resolution land cover data set. A calibration visit was conducted and
training data was collected for classification. Non impervious features were
mapped using a 0.5 acre minimum mapping unit (MMU). Pre-processing steps:
The Quickbird mosaic utilized for this project was provided from Digital Globe as
an orthorectified, georeferenced product and was consistent with the quoted 1:
12000 spatial accuracy. Field-Collected Data: Training data was collected in the
field between March 14th and 27th, 2008. Land cover observations were recorded
by analysts. The referenced dataset went through a QA/QC procedure to ensure it
met accuracy standards. Classification: Segmentation - Image segmentation was
completed at multiple scales using the multispectral (2.4 m) imagery in order to
group like spectral and textural objects within the imagery. For consistency, the
associated impervious data set was incorporated into the segmentation layer as a
boundary delimiter. Segments can share boundaries of an impervious surface, but
can never overlap an impervious surface. Automated Classification, Image
segments are classified using a decision tree classifier in See5 software and an
iterative nearest neighbor classifier in Definiens software. Nearest neighbor
training data is collected through analyst interpretation or ancillary data sets and
used to calibrate the classification algorithm. Automated Classification
Refinement - Models are built to refine or reclassify land cover areas by utilizing
the wealth of attribute information linked to each segment within Definiens.
Classification Edits - As with any automated or semi-automated land cover
classification there are often inconsistencies in the land cover map. The final step
before map finalization was to remove inaccuracies through manual segment
labeling as interpreted by an analyst. Map Finalization - Sanborn used
independent reviewer's comments to further refine the land cover map.
Attributes for this product are as follows: 0 Background 1 Unclassified 2
Impervious 3 4 5 Developed, Open Space 6 Cultivated Crops 7 Pasture/Hay 8
Grassland/Herbaceous 9 Deciduous Forest 10 Evergreen Forest 11 Mixed Forest
12 Scrub/Shrub 13 Palustrine Forested Wetland 14 Palustrine Scrub/Shrub
Wetland 15 Palustrine Emergent Wetland 16 Estuarine Forested Wetland 17
Estuarine Scrub/Shrub 18 Estuarine Emergent Wetland 19
Unconsolidated Shore 20 Bare Land 21 Open Water 22 Palustrine Acquatic Bed
For the 2016 land cover, areas of change were identified in the 2016 imagery and
the changes were added to the 2005 land cover to create the 2016 land cover.
- 2020-02-27 00:00:00 - Post-Processing Steps: A GIS matrix algorithm was run with 2005 and 2016 datasets as inputs. The algorithm generates a from-to file where each combination is uniquely labeled from 1 to 481.

5.1.1. If data at different stages of the workflow, or products derived from these data, are subject to a separate data management plan, provide reference to other plan:

5.2. Quality control procedures employed (describe or provide URL of description):

6. Data Documentation
The EDMC Data Documentation Procedural Directive requires that NOAA data be well documented, specifies the use of ISO 19115 and related standards for documentation of new data, and provides links to resources and tools for metadata creation and validation.

6.1. Does metadata comply with EDMC Data Documentation directive?
No

6.1.1. If metadata are non-existent or non-compliant, please explain:
Missing/invalid information:
- 1.7. Data collection method(s)
- 3.1. Responsible Party for Data Management
- 4.1. Have resources for management of these data been identified?
- 4.2. Approximate percentage of the budget for these data devoted to data management
- 5.2. Quality control procedures employed
- 7.1. Do these data comply with the Data Access directive?
- 7.1.1. If data are not available or has limitations, has a Waiver been filed?
- 7.1.2. If there are limitations to data access, describe how data are protected
- 7.3. Data access methods or services offered
- 7.4. Approximate delay between data collection and dissemination
- 8.1. Actual or planned long-term data archive location
- 8.3. Approximate delay between data collection and submission to an archive facility
- 8.4. How will the data be protected from accidental or malicious modification or deletion prior to receipt by the archive?

6.2. Name of organization or facility providing metadata hosting:
NMFS Office of Science and Technology

6.2.1. If service is needed for metadata hosting, please indicate:

6.3. URL of metadata folder or data catalog, if known:
6.4. Process for producing and maintaining metadata
(describe or provide URL of description):
Metadata produced and maintained in accordance with the NOAA Data Documentation Procedural Directive: https://nosc.noaa.gov/EDMC/DAARWG/docs/EDMC_PD-Data_Documentation_v1.pdf

7. Data Access
NAO 212-15 states that access to environmental data may only be restricted when distribution is explicitly limited by law, regulation, policy (such as those applicable to personally identifiable information or protected critical infrastructure information or proprietary trade information) or by security requirements. The EDMC Data Access Procedural Directive contains specific guidance, recommends the use of open-standard, interoperable, non-proprietary web services, provides information about resources and tools to enable data access, and includes a Waiver to be submitted to justify any approach other than full, unrestricted public access.

7.1. Do these data comply with the Data Access directive?

7.1.1. If the data are not to be made available to the public at all, or with limitations, has a Waiver (Appendix A of Data Access directive) been filed?

7.1.2. If there are limitations to public data access, describe how data are protected from unauthorized access or disclosure:

7.2. Name of organization of facility providing data access:
NOAA Office for Coastal Management (NOAA/OCM)

7.2.1. If data hosting service is needed, please indicate:

7.2.2. URL of data access service, if known:

7.3. Data access methods or services offered:

7.4. Approximate delay between data collection and dissemination:

7.4.1. If delay is longer than latency of automated processing, indicate under what authority data access is delayed:

8. Data Preservation and Protection
The NOAA Procedure for Scientific Records Appraisal and Archive Approval describes how to
identify, appraise and decide what scientific records are to be preserved in a NOAA archive.

8.1. Actual or planned long-term data archive location:
(Specify NCEI-MD, NCEI-CO, NCEI-NC, NCEI-MS, World Data Center (WDC) facility, Other, To Be Determined, Unable to Archive, or No Archiving Intended)

8.1.1. If World Data Center or Other, specify:

8.1.2. If To Be Determined, Unable to Archive or No Archiving Intended, explain:

8.2. Data storage facility prior to being sent to an archive facility (if any):
Office for Coastal Management - Charleston, SC

8.3. Approximate delay between data collection and submission to an archive facility:

8.4. How will the data be protected from accidental or malicious modification or deletion prior to receipt by the archive?
Discuss data back-up, disaster recovery/contingency planning, and off-site data storage relevant to the data collection

9. Additional Line Office or Staff Office Questions
Line and Staff Offices may extend this template by inserting additional questions in this section.