Please provide the following information, and submit to the NOAA DM Plan Repository.

Reference to Master DM Plan (if applicable)

As stated in Section IV, Requirement 1.3, DM Plans may be hierarchical. If this DM Plan inherits provisions from a higher-level DM Plan already submitted to the Repository, then this more-specific Plan only needs to provide information that differs from what was provided in the Master DM Plan.

URL of higher-level DM Plan (if any) as submitted to DM Plan Repository:

1. General Description of Data to be Managed

1.1. Name of the Data, data collection Project, or data-producing Program:
2006 U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) Bare Earth Topographic LiDAR: North Puget Sound, Washington

1.2. Summary description of the data:
USGS Contract Number: 01CRCN0014

In Spring, 2006 Sanborn was contracted by the USGS to survey approximately 1,735 square miles of western Whatcom and Skagit Counties in Washington state. All data was collected during ideal conditions (rivers at or below mean level, leaf off, etc.). For this collect two sensors were used: The Leica ALS-50 (High Relief Collection) and Optech 2050 (High and Low Relief Collection). LiDAR calibrations were performed to determine and therefore eliminate systematic biases that occur between the two sensors. Once the biases were determined they were modeled out. The Leica ALS-50 produced an average point spacing of 1.4 meters. The Optech 2050 produced an average point spacing of 1.3 meters. All points are classified as Class 2 (Ground) which includes water points and any possibly remaining artifacts.

Leica ALS-50 LiDAR Acquisition Parameters:
Avg. Altitude: 1,500 meters AGL
Airspeed: ~120 Knots
Scan Freq: 36 Hertz
Scan Width Half Angle: 36 Degrees
Pulse Rate: 60,000 Hertz
Overlap: 44-60%

Optech 2050 LiDAR Acquisition Parameters:
Avg. Altitude: 1,200 meters AGL
Airspeed: ~120 Knots
Scan Freq: 30 Hertz
Scan Width Half Angle: 40 Degrees
Pulse Rate: 50,000 Hertz
Overlap: 30-50%

1.3. Is this a one-time data collection, or an ongoing series of measurements?
One-time data collection

1.4. Actual or planned temporal coverage of the data:
2006-05-05 to 2006-09-03

1.5. Actual or planned geographic coverage of the data:
W: -85.215673, E: -83.90863, N: 34.576794, S: 32.854996

1.6. Type(s) of data:
(e.g., digital numeric data, imagery, photographs, video, audio, database, tabular data, etc.)
las

1.7. Data collection method(s):
(e.g., satellite, airplane, unmanned aerial system, radar, weather station, moored buoy,
research vessel, autonomous underwater vehicle, animal tagging, manual surveys,
enforcement activities, numerical model, etc.)

1.8. If data are from a NOAA Observing System of Record, indicate name of system:

1.8.1. If data are from another observing system, please specify:

2. Point of Contact for this Data Management Plan (author or maintainer)

2.1. Name:
NOAA Office for Coastal Management (NOAA/OCM)

2.2. Title:
Metadata Contact

2.3. Affiliation or facility:
NOAA Office for Coastal Management (NOAA/OCM)

2.4. E-mail address:
coastal.info@noaa.gov
2.5. Phone number:
(843) 740-1202

3. Responsible Party for Data Management
Program Managers, or their designee, shall be responsible for assuring the proper management of the data produced by their Program. Please indicate the responsible party below.

3.1. Name:

3.2. Title:
Data Steward

4. Resources
Programs must identify resources within their own budget for managing the data they produce.

4.1. Have resources for management of these data been identified?

4.2. Approximate percentage of the budget for these data devoted to data management (specify percentage or "unknown"):

5. Data Lineage and Quality
NOAA has issued Information Quality Guidelines for ensuring and maximizing the quality, objectivity, utility, and integrity of information which it disseminates.

5.1. Processing workflow of the data from collection or acquisition to making it publicly accessible
(describe or provide URL of description):
Process Steps:
- 2006-01-01 00:00:00 - Final post-processing of LiDAR data involves several steps. The airborne GPS data was post-processed using Waypoint's GravNAVTM software (version 7.5). A fixed-bias carrier phase solution was computed in both the forward and reverse chronological directions. The data was processed for both base stations and combined. In the event that the solution worsened as a result of the combination of both solutions the best of both solutions was used to yield more accurate data. The GPS trajectory was combined with the raw IMU data and post-processed using Applanix Inc.’s POSPROC (version 4.3) Kalman Filtering software. This results in a two-fold improvement in the attitude accuracies over the real-time INS data. The best estimated trajectory (BET) and refined attitude data are then reintroduced into the LEICA ALS post processor or REALM Survey Suite OPTECH to compute the laser point-positions. The trajectory is then combined with the attitude data and laser range measurements to produce the 3-dimensional coordinates of the mass points. All return values are produced within ALS Post Processing software or REALM Survey Suite OPTECH software. The multi-return information minus the last return provides a useful depiction of the "canopy" within the project.
The last return is further processed to obtain the "Bare Earth Dataset" as a deliverable. All LiDAR data is processed using the binary LAS format 1.0 file format. LiDAR filtering was accomplished using TerraSolid, TerraScan LiDAR processing and modeling software. The filtering process reclassifies all the data into classes within the LAS formatted file based scheme set using the LAS format 1.0 specifications or by the client. Once the data is classified, the entire data set is reviewed and manually edited for anomalies that are outside the required guidelines of the product specification or contract guidelines, whichever apply. The coordinate and datum transformations were then applied to the data set to reflect the required deliverable projection, coordinate and datum systems as provided in the contract. The final data is in State Plane Washington North Coordinate System with the horizontal and vertical units being in feet.

- The NOAA Office for Coastal Management (OCM) received topographic file in ASCII .XYZ format. The files contained lidar elevation measurements. The data were received in Washington State Plane North Zone 4601, NAD83 coordinates and were vertically referenced to NAVD88 using the Geoid99 model. The vertical units of the data were feet. OCM performed the following processing for data storage and Digital Coast provisioning purposes: 1. The ASCII .XYZ files were converted to LAS version 1.1 using Global Mapper. 2. All points in Class 0 (Never Classified) were changed to Class 2 (Ground). This includes water points. 3. The topographic las files were converted from orthometric (NAVD88) heights to ellipsoidal heights using Geoid99. 4. The topographic las files were converted from a Projected Coordinate System (WA SP North) to a Geographic Coordinate system (GCS). 5. The topographic las files' vertical units were converted from feet to meters. 6. The topographic las files' horizontal units were converted from feet to decimal degrees.

5.2. Quality control procedures employed (describe or provide URL of description):

6. Data Documentation

The EDMC Data Documentation Procedural Directive requires that NOAA data be well documented, specifies the use of ISO 19115 and related standards for documentation of new data, and provides links to resources and tools for metadata creation and validation.

6.1. Does metadata comply with EDMC Data Documentation directive?

No

6.1.1. If metadata are non-existent or non-compliant, please explain:

Missing/invalid information:
- 1.7. Data collection method(s)
6.2. Name of organization or facility providing metadata hosting:
NMFS Office of Science and Technology

6.2.1. If service is needed for metadata hosting, please indicate:

6.3. URL of metadata folder or data catalog, if known:
https://www.fisheries.noaa.gov/inport/item/49602

6.4. Process for producing and maintaining metadata
(describe or provide URL of description):
Metadata produced and maintained in accordance with the NOAA Data Documentation Procedural Directive: https://nosc.noaa.gov/EDMC/DAARWG/docs/EDMC_PD-Data_Documentation_v1.pdf

7. Data Access
NAO 212-15 states that access to environmental data may only be restricted when distribution is explicitly limited by law, regulation, policy (such as those applicable to personally identifiable information or protected critical infrastructure information or proprietary trade information) or by security requirements. The EDMC Data Access Procedural Directive contains specific guidance, recommends the use of open-standard, interoperable, non-proprietary web services, provides information about resources and tools to enable data access, and includes a Waiver to be submitted to justify any approach other than full, unrestricted public access.

7.1. Do these data comply with the Data Access directive?

7.1.1. If the data are not to be made available to the public at all, or with limitations, has a Waiver (Appendix A of Data Access directive) been filed?

7.1.2. If there are limitations to public data access, describe how data are protected from unauthorized access or disclosure:
7.2. Name of organization of facility providing data access:
NOAA Office for Coastal Management (NOAA/OCM)

7.2.1. If data hosting service is needed, please indicate:

7.2.2. URL of data access service, if known:
https://coast.noaa.gov/dataviewer/#/lidar/search/where:ID=1451

7.3. Data access methods or services offered:
This data can be obtained on-line at the following URL:
https://coast.noaa.gov/dataviewer/#/lidar/search/where:ID=1451

7.4. Approximate delay between data collection and dissemination:

7.4.1. If delay is longer than latency of automated processing, indicate under what authority data access is delayed:

8. Data Preservation and Protection
The NOAA Procedure for Scientific Records Appraisal and Archive Approval describes how to identify, appraise and decide what scientific records are to be preserved in a NOAA archive.

8.1. Actual or planned long-term data archive location:
(Specify NCEI-MD, NCEI-CO, NCEI-NC, NCEI-MS, World Data Center (WDC) facility, Other, To Be Determined, Unable to Archive, or No Archiving Intended)

8.1.1. If World Data Center or Other, specify:

8.1.2. If To Be Determined, Unable to Archive or No Archiving Intended, explain:

8.2. Data storage facility prior to being sent to an archive facility (if any):
Office for Coastal Management - Charleston, SC

8.3. Approximate delay between data collection and submission to an archive facility:

8.4. How will the data be protected from accidental or malicious modification or deletion prior to receipt by the archive?
Discuss data back-up, disaster recovery/contingency planning, and off-site data storage relevant to the data collection
9. Additional Line Office or Staff Office Questions

*Line and Staff Offices may extend this template by inserting additional questions in this section.*