

Please provide the following information, and submit to the NOAA DM Plan Repository.

Reference to Master DM Plan (if applicable)

As stated in Section IV, Requirement 1.3, DM Plans may be hierarchical. If this DM Plan inherits provisions from a higher-level DM Plan already submitted to the Repository, then this more-specific Plan only needs to provide information that differs from what was provided in the Master DM Plan.

URL of higher-level DM Plan (if any) as submitted to DM Plan Repository:

1. General Description of Data to be Managed

1.1. Name of the Data, data collection Project, or data-producing Program:

2006 Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) Lidar: Nueces County, Texas

1.2. Summary description of the data:

Spectrum Mapping, LLC was tasked by MAPVI - URS Corporation, Albuquerque, to provide airborne Light Detection and Ranging (Lidar)

of approximately 620 square miles around Nueces County, Texas. MAPVI (Mapping Alliance Partnership VI for FEMA Region IV) is a

joint venture of URS Corporation, Greenhorne & O'Mara and Spectrum Mapping. Spectrum followed data collection and processing

procedures for Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) Guidelines and Specifications Appendix A.

Data product deliverables included Lidar to support 2 foot contours. Spectrum's acquisition division collected the Lidar data

on June 19, 22-25, 27, 28 and July 16 and 17, 2006. The project was collected with a Rams ALS50 Lidar sensor, including an

inertial measurement unit (IMU) and a dual frequency Global Positioning System (GPS) receiver and antenna.

Upon receipt of this data, the NOAA Coastal Services Center reclassified all non-ground data to a classification of 1 (Unclassified)

and all ground points to a classification of 2 (Ground).

Original contact information:

Contact Org: Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA)

Phone: 877-336-2627

Email: miphelp@mapmodteam.com

1.3. Is this a one-time data collection, or an ongoing series of measurements?

One-time data collection

1.4. Actual or planned temporal coverage of the data:

2006-06-19, 2006-06-22, 2006-06-23, 2006-06-24, 2006-06-25, 2006-06-27, 2006-06-28, 2006-07-16, 2006-07-17

1.5. Actual or planned geographic coverage of the data:

W: -97.94417, E: -97.04157, N: 28.016539, S: 27.556339

1.6. Type(s) of data:

(e.g., digital numeric data, imagery, photographs, video, audio, database, tabular data, etc.)

1.7. Data collection method(s):

(e.g., satellite, airplane, unmanned aerial system, radar, weather station, moored buoy, research vessel, autonomous underwater vehicle, animal tagging, manual surveys, enforcement activities, numerical model, etc.)

1.8. If data are from a NOAA Observing System of Record, indicate name of system:**1.8.1. If data are from another observing system, please specify:****2. Point of Contact for this Data Management Plan (author or maintainer)****2.1. Name:**

NOAA Office for Coastal Management (NOAA/OCM)

2.2. Title:

Metadata Contact

2.3. Affiliation or facility:

NOAA Office for Coastal Management (NOAA/OCM)

2.4. E-mail address:

coastal.info@noaa.gov

2.5. Phone number:

(843) 740-1202

3. Responsible Party for Data Management

Program Managers, or their designee, shall be responsible for assuring the proper management of the data produced by their Program. Please indicate the responsible party below.

3.1. Name:**3.2. Title:**

Data Steward

4. Resources

Programs must identify resources within their own budget for managing the data they produce.

4.1. Have resources for management of these data been identified?

4.2. Approximate percentage of the budget for these data devoted to data management (specify percentage or "unknown"):

5. Data Lineage and Quality

NOAA has issued Information Quality Guidelines for ensuring and maximizing the quality, objectivity, utility, and integrity of information which it disseminates.

5.1. Processing workflow of the data from collection or acquisition to making it publicly accessible

(describe or provide URL of description):

Process Steps:

- 2006-10-02 00:00:00 - Spectrum Mapping, LLC was tasked by MAPVI - URS Corporation, Albuquerque, to provide airborne Light Detection and Ranging (Lidar) of approximately 620 square miles around Nueces County, Texas. MAPVI (Mapping Alliance Partnership VI for FEMA Region IV) is a joint venture of URS Corporation, Greenhorne & O'Mara and Spectrum Mapping. Spectrum followed data collection and processing procedures for Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) Guidelines and Specifications Appendix A. Data product deliverables included Lidar to support 2 foot contours. Spectrum's acquisition division collected the Lidar data on June 19, 22 - 25, 27, 28 and July 16 and 17, 2006. The project was collected with a Rams ALS50 Lidar sensor, including an inertial measurement unit (IMU) and a dual frequency Global Positioning System (GPS) receiver and antenna. Dates of data processing were June 19 - October 2, 2006.

- 2009-01-05 00:00:00 - The NOAA Office for Coastal Management (OCM) received the files in las format. The files contained Lidar elevation and intensity measurements. The data was in Texas State Plane coordinates - South (FIPS Zone 4205), NAD83 and NAVD88 Geoid 03 vertical datum and US Survey Feet. OCM performed the following processing to the data to make it available within the Digital Coast: 1. The data were converted from Texas State Plane coordinates to geographic coordinates. 2. The data were converted from NAVD88 (orthometric) heights to GRS80 (ellipsoid) heights using Geoid 03. 3. The data were converted from US Survey feet to meters. 4. The LAS data were sorted by latitude and the headers were updated. 5. All non-ground data reclassified to a classification of 1 (Unclassified) and all ground points reclassified to a classification of 2 (Ground).

5.1.1. If data at different stages of the workflow, or products derived from these data, are subject to a separate data management plan, provide reference to other

plan:

5.2. Quality control procedures employed (describe or provide URL of description):

6. Data Documentation

The EDMC Data Documentation Procedural Directive requires that NOAA data be well documented, specifies the use of ISO 19115 and related standards for documentation of new data, and provides links to resources and tools for metadata creation and validation.

6.1. Does metadata comply with EDMC Data Documentation directive?

No

6.1.1. If metadata are non-existent or non-compliant, please explain:

Missing/invalid information:

- 1.6. Type(s) of data
- 1.7. Data collection method(s)
- 3.1. Responsible Party for Data Management
- 4.1. Have resources for management of these data been identified?
- 4.2. Approximate percentage of the budget for these data devoted to data management
- 5.2. Quality control procedures employed
- 7.1. Do these data comply with the Data Access directive?
 - 7.1.1. If data are not available or has limitations, has a Waiver been filed?
 - 7.1.2. If there are limitations to data access, describe how data are protected
- 7.4. Approximate delay between data collection and dissemination
- 8.1. Actual or planned long-term data archive location
- 8.3. Approximate delay between data collection and submission to an archive facility
- 8.4. How will the data be protected from accidental or malicious modification or deletion prior to receipt by the archive?

6.2. Name of organization or facility providing metadata hosting:

NMFS Office of Science and Technology

6.2.1. If service is needed for metadata hosting, please indicate:

6.3. URL of metadata folder or data catalog, if known:

<https://www.fisheries.noaa.gov/inport/item/50041>

6.4. Process for producing and maintaining metadata

(describe or provide URL of description):

Metadata produced and maintained in accordance with the NOAA Data Documentation Procedural Directive: https://nosc.noaa.gov/EDMC/DAARWG/docs/EDMC_PD-Data_Documentation_v1.pdf

7. Data Access

NAO 212-15 states that access to environmental data may only be restricted when distribution is explicitly limited by law, regulation, policy (such as those applicable to personally identifiable information or protected critical infrastructure information or proprietary trade information) or by security requirements. The EDMC Data Access Procedural Directive contains specific guidance, recommends the use of open-standard, interoperable, non-proprietary web services, provides information about resources and tools to enable data access, and includes a Waiver to be submitted to justify any approach other than full, unrestricted public access.

7.1. Do these data comply with the Data Access directive?

7.1.1. If the data are not to be made available to the public at all, or with limitations, has a Waiver (Appendix A of Data Access directive) been filed?

7.1.2. If there are limitations to public data access, describe how data are protected from unauthorized access or disclosure:

7.2. Name of organization of facility providing data access:

NOAA Office for Coastal Management (NOAA/OCM)

7.2.1. If data hosting service is needed, please indicate:

7.2.2. URL of data access service, if known:

<https://coast.noaa.gov/dataviewer/#/lidar/search/where:ID=548>

<https://noaa-nos-coastal-lidar-pds.s3.amazonaws.com/laz/geoid18/548/index.html>

7.3. Data access methods or services offered:

This data can be obtained on-line at the following URL: <https://coast.noaa.gov/dataviewer/>;

7.4. Approximate delay between data collection and dissemination:

7.4.1. If delay is longer than latency of automated processing, indicate under what authority data access is delayed:

8. Data Preservation and Protection

The NOAA Procedure for Scientific Records Appraisal and Archive Approval describes how to identify, appraise and decide what scientific records are to be preserved in a NOAA archive.

8.1. Actual or planned long-term data archive location:

(Specify NCEI-MD, NCEI-CO, NCEI-NC, NCEI-MS, World Data Center (WDC) facility, Other, To Be Determined, Unable to Archive, or No Archiving Intended)

8.1.1. If World Data Center or Other, specify:

8.1.2. If To Be Determined, Unable to Archive or No Archiving Intended, explain:

8.2. Data storage facility prior to being sent to an archive facility (if any):

Office for Coastal Management - Charleston, SC

8.3. Approximate delay between data collection and submission to an archive facility:

8.4. How will the data be protected from accidental or malicious modification or deletion prior to receipt by the archive?

Discuss data back-up, disaster recovery/contingency planning, and off-site data storage relevant to the data collection

9. Additional Line Office or Staff Office Questions

Line and Staff Offices may extend this template by inserting additional questions in this section.