

Please provide the following information, and submit to the NOAA DM Plan Repository.

Reference to Master DM Plan (if applicable)

As stated in Section IV, Requirement 1.3, DM Plans may be hierarchical. If this DM Plan inherits provisions from a higher-level DM Plan already submitted to the Repository, then this more-specific Plan only needs to provide information that differs from what was provided in the Master DM Plan.

URL of higher-level DM Plan (if any) as submitted to DM Plan Repository:

1. General Description of Data to be Managed

1.1. Name of the Data, data collection Project, or data-producing Program:

2015 FEMA Lidar: Michigan Part 2 (Alger, Benzie, Delta, Grand Traverse, Leelanau, Mackinac, Manistee Counties)

1.2. Summary description of the data:

The State of Michigan (DTMB) contracted with Sanborn to provide LiDAR mapping services for 13 counties in the State of Michigan. These counties include Alger, Allegan, Baraga, Benzie, Berrien, Delta, Emmet, Grand Traverse, Leelanau, Mackinac, Manistee, Marquette, and Van Buren. Utilizing multi-return systems, Light Detection and Ranging (LiDAR) data in the form of 3-dimensional positions of a dense set of mass points was collected for the 13 counties beginning in December 2014 through Fall 2015.

The Leica ALS70-HP (Sanborn) and ALS80-HP (subcontractor) were used to collect data for the survey campaign. Multiple airborne GPS (Global Positioning System) base stations were used in the Michigan LiDAR 2015 Project. These base stations were provided by Sanborn and subcontractors. The acquired LiDAR data was processed to all return point data. The last return data was further filtered to yield a LiDAR surface representing the bare earth.

This metadata record describes the Classified Point Cloud (LAS) for the 2015 FEMA Michigan LiDAR project. This data meets FEMA "Guidelines and Specifications for Flood Hazard Mapping Partners" and USGS Lidar Base Specification 1.0. Thirteen counties were collected in total, but separated into three parts. This record includes the counties in part 2.

This metadata record includes information about these counties:

Alger - covers approximately 936 square miles

Benzie - covers approximately 347 square miles

Delta - covers approximately 1180 square miles

Grand Traverse - covers approximately 490 square miles

Leelanau - covers approximately 375 square miles

Mackinac - covers approximately 1187 square mile

Manistee - covers approximately 557 square miles

The NOAA Office for Coastal Management (OCM) downloaded the following laz files from this USGS site

<ftp://rockyftp.cr.usgs.gov/vdelivery/Datasets/Staged/Elevation/LPC/Projects/> and processed the data to the Data Access Viewer (DAV) and to https:

USGS_LPC_MI_AlgerCo_2015_LAS_2017

USGS_LPC_MI_BenzieCo_2015_LAS_2016

USGS_LPC_MI_13CoDeltaC16_2015_LAS_2018

USGS_LPC_MI_GrandTraverseCO_2015_LAS_2017

USGS_LPC_MI_LeelanauCo_2015_LAS_2017

USGS_LPC_MI_MackinacCo_2015_LAS_2018

USGS_LPC_MI_ManisteeCo_2015_LAS_2017

Hydro breaklines are also available. These data are available for download at the link provided in the URL section of this metadata record. Please note that these products have not been reviewed by the NOAA Office for Coastal Management (OCM) and any conclusions drawn from the analysis of this information are not the responsibility of NOAA or OCM.

1.3. Is this a one-time data collection, or an ongoing series of measurements?

One-time data collection

1.4. Actual or planned temporal coverage of the data:

2015-04-17 to 2015-04-24, 2015-04-18 to 2015-04-30, 2015-04-24 to 2015-04-30, 2015-04-24 to 2015-04-30, 2015-05-05 to 2015-05-16, 2015-05-20 to 2015-05-30

1.5. Actual or planned geographic coverage of the data:

W: -87.370692, E: -84.105216, N: 46.692694, S: 44.158078

1.6. Type(s) of data:

(e.g., digital numeric data, imagery, photographs, video, audio, database, tabular data, etc.)
Point Cloud (Digital)

1.7. Data collection method(s):

(e.g., satellite, airplane, unmanned aerial system, radar, weather station, moored buoy, research vessel, autonomous underwater vehicle, animal tagging, manual surveys, enforcement activities, numerical model, etc.)

1.8. If data are from a NOAA Observing System of Record, indicate name of system:

1.8.1. If data are from another observing system, please specify:

2. Point of Contact for this Data Management Plan (author or maintainer)

2.1. Name:

NOAA Office for Coastal Management (NOAA/OCM)

2.2. Title:

Metadata Contact

2.3. Affiliation or facility:

NOAA Office for Coastal Management (NOAA/OCM)

2.4. E-mail address:

coastal.info@noaa.gov

2.5. Phone number:

(843) 740-1202

3. Responsible Party for Data Management

Program Managers, or their designee, shall be responsible for assuring the proper management of the data produced by their Program. Please indicate the responsible party below.

3.1. Name:

3.2. Title:

Data Steward

4. Resources

Programs must identify resources within their own budget for managing the data they produce.

4.1. Have resources for management of these data been identified?

4.2. Approximate percentage of the budget for these data devoted to data management (specify percentage or "unknown"):

5. Data Lineage and Quality

NOAA has issued Information Quality Guidelines for ensuring and maximizing the quality, objectivity, utility, and integrity of information which it disseminates.

5.1. Processing workflow of the data from collection or acquisition to making it publicly accessible

(describe or provide URL of description):

Process Steps:

- 2015-01-01 00:00:00 - At selected locations throughout the site, accurate GPS

coordinates and elevations are surveyed and the points are marked with targets.

- 2015-01-01 00:00:00 - New LiDAR data is captured for the project area using a Leica ALS80 LiDAR instrument an integrated IPAS20 GPS/INS system mounted within a Aero Commander twin engine airplane.

- 2015-01-01 00:00:00 - The airborne GPS data is post-processed in Inertial Explorer software and LEICA CloudPro software to determine the LiDAR sensor's angle and orientation in the terrain (project) coordinate system and datums during the survey.

- 2015-01-01 00:00:00 - The post processed GPS/INS solution is applied to the raw lidar data to orient and project the data points into the project area reference system as an unclassified point cloud.

- 2015-01-01 00:00:00 - The georeferenced lidar data is then classified and edited in Terrasolid Terrascan software. Data is classified to produce: Class 1: unclassified, Class 2: ground, Class 7: low point, Class 9: water, Class 10: ignored ground, Class 11: withheld.

- 2015-01-01 00:00:00 - The classified lidar data is exported as 2500 X 2500 foot tiles in the LAS format with any or all classes required to produce derivative products.

- 2020-02-06 00:00:00 - The NOAA Office for Coastal Management (OCM) downloaded: 1. 4654 laz files from ftp://rockyftp.cr.usgs.gov/vdelivery/Datasets/Staged/Elevation/LPC/Projects/USGS_LPC_MI_AlgerCo_2015_LAS_2017/ 2. 1628 laz files from ftp://rockyftp.cr.usgs.gov/vdelivery/Datasets/Staged/Elevation/LPC/Projects/USGS_LPC_MI_BenzieCo_2015_LAS_2017/ 3. 6014 laz files from ftp://rockyftp.cr.usgs.gov/vdelivery/Datasets/Staged/Elevation/LPC/Projects/USGS_LPC_MI_13CoDeltaC16_2015_LAS_2018/ 4. 2342 laz files from ftp://rockyftp.cr.usgs.gov/vdelivery/Datasets/Staged/Elevation/LPC/Projects/USGS_LPC_MI_GrandTraverseCO_2015_LAS_2017/ 5. 1927 laz files from ftp://rockyftp.cr.usgs.gov/vdelivery/Datasets/Staged/Elevation/LPC/Projects/USGS_LPC_MI_LeelanauCo_2015_LAS_2017/ 6. 2596 laz files from ftp://rockyftp.cr.usgs.gov/vdelivery/Datasets/Staged/Elevation/LPC/Projects/USGS_LPC_MI_ManisteeCo_2015_LAS_2017/ 7. 5674 laz files from ftp://rockyftp.cr.usgs.gov/vdelivery/Datasets/Staged/Elevation/LPC/Projects/USGS_LPC_MI_MackinacCo_2015_LAS_2018/ The files contained elevation and intensity measurements for these Michigan counties. The data were in Michigan State Plane Central and North coordinates and NAVD88 (Geoid12A) elevations in Int feet. The data were classified as: 1 - Unclassified, 2 - Ground, 7 - Noise, 9 - Water, 10 - Ignored Ground, 11- Overlap. The NOAA Office for Coastal Management processed all classifications of points to the Digital Coast Data Access Viewer (DAV). Classes available on the DAV are: 1, 2, 7, 9, 10, 11 (Overlap). Benzie, Grand Traverse, Leelanau, and Manistee were processed in June 2019. Alger, Delta, and Mackinac were processed in February 2020. OCM performed the following processing on the data for Digital Coast storage and provisioning purposes: 1. The LAsTools software scripts lasinfo and lasvalidate were run on the laz files to check for errors. 2. An internal OCM script was run to check the number of points by classification and by flight ID and the gps and intensity ranges. 3. Internal OCM scripts were run on the laz files to convert from orthometric (NAVD88) elevations to ellipsoid elevations

using the Geoid 12A model, to convert from Michigan State Plane Central or North coordinates to geographic coordinates, to convert from feet to meters, to assign the geokeys, to sort the data by gps time and zip the data to database and to http.

5.1.1. If data at different stages of the workflow, or products derived from these data, are subject to a separate data management plan, provide reference to other plan:

5.2. Quality control procedures employed (describe or provide URL of description):

6. Data Documentation

The EDMC Data Documentation Procedural Directive requires that NOAA data be well documented, specifies the use of ISO 19115 and related standards for documentation of new data, and provides links to resources and tools for metadata creation and validation.

6.1. Does metadata comply with EDMC Data Documentation directive?

No

6.1.1. If metadata are non-existent or non-compliant, please explain:

Missing/invalid information:

- 1.7. Data collection method(s)
- 3.1. Responsible Party for Data Management
- 4.1. Have resources for management of these data been identified?
- 4.2. Approximate percentage of the budget for these data devoted to data management
- 5.2. Quality control procedures employed
- 7.1. Do these data comply with the Data Access directive?
- 7.1.1. If data are not available or has limitations, has a Waiver been filed?
- 7.1.2. If there are limitations to data access, describe how data are protected
- 7.4. Approximate delay between data collection and dissemination
- 8.1. Actual or planned long-term data archive location
- 8.3. Approximate delay between data collection and submission to an archive facility
- 8.4. How will the data be protected from accidental or malicious modification or deletion prior to receipt by the archive?

6.2. Name of organization or facility providing metadata hosting:

NMFS Office of Science and Technology

6.2.1. If service is needed for metadata hosting, please indicate:

6.3. URL of metadata folder or data catalog, if known:

<https://www.fisheries.noaa.gov/inport/item/56300>

6.4. Process for producing and maintaining metadata

(describe or provide URL of description):

Metadata produced and maintained in accordance with the NOAA Data Documentation Procedural Directive: https://nosc.noaa.gov/EDMC/DAARWG/docs/EDMC_PD-Data_Documentation_v1.pdf

7. Data Access

NAO 212-15 states that access to environmental data may only be restricted when distribution is explicitly limited by law, regulation, policy (such as those applicable to personally identifiable information or protected critical infrastructure information or proprietary trade information) or by security requirements. The EDMC Data Access Procedural Directive contains specific guidance, recommends the use of open-standard, interoperable, non-proprietary web services, provides information about resources and tools to enable data access, and includes a Waiver to be submitted to justify any approach other than full, unrestricted public access.

7.1. Do these data comply with the Data Access directive?

7.1.1. If the data are not to be made available to the public at all, or with limitations, has a Waiver (Appendix A of Data Access directive) been filed?

7.1.2. If there are limitations to public data access, describe how data are protected from unauthorized access or disclosure:

7.2. Name of organization of facility providing data access:

NOAA Office for Coastal Management (NOAA/OCM)

7.2.1. If data hosting service is needed, please indicate:

7.2.2. URL of data access service, if known:

<https://coast.noaa.gov/dataviewer/#/lidar/search/where:ID=8735>

<https://noaa-nos-coastal-lidar-pds.s3.amazonaws.com/laz/geoid18/8735/index.html>

7.3. Data access methods or services offered:

Data is available online for bulk and custom downloads.

7.4. Approximate delay between data collection and dissemination:

7.4.1. If delay is longer than latency of automated processing, indicate under what authority data access is delayed:

8. Data Preservation and Protection

The NOAA Procedure for Scientific Records Appraisal and Archive Approval describes how to identify, appraise and decide what scientific records are to be preserved in a NOAA archive.

8.1. Actual or planned long-term data archive location:

(Specify NCEI-MD, NCEI-CO, NCEI-NC, NCEI-MS, World Data Center (WDC) facility, Other, To Be Determined, Unable to Archive, or No Archiving Intended)

8.1.1. If World Data Center or Other, specify:

8.1.2. If To Be Determined, Unable to Archive or No Archiving Intended, explain:

8.2. Data storage facility prior to being sent to an archive facility (if any):

Office for Coastal Management - Charleston, SC

8.3. Approximate delay between data collection and submission to an archive facility:

8.4. How will the data be protected from accidental or malicious modification or deletion prior to receipt by the archive?

Discuss data back-up, disaster recovery/contingency planning, and off-site data storage relevant to the data collection

9. Additional Line Office or Staff Office Questions

Line and Staff Offices may extend this template by inserting additional questions in this section.