Please provide the following information, and submit to the NOAA DM Plan Repository.

Reference to Master DM Plan (if applicable)

As stated in Section IV, Requirement 1.3, DM Plans may be hierarchical. If this DM Plan inherits provisions from a higher-level DM Plan already submitted to the Repository, then this more-specific Plan only needs to provide information that differs from what was provided in the Master DM Plan.

URL of higher-level DM Plan (if any) as submitted to DM Plan Repository:

1. General Description of Data to be Managed

1.1. Name of the Data, data collection Project, or data-producing Program:
2009 OLC Lidar DEM: Malheur River, OR

1.2. Summary description of the data:
The lidar elevation dataset encompasses 399 square miles in the central region of Oregon, including portions of Grant County.

1.3. Is this a one-time data collection, or an ongoing series of measurements?
One-time data collection

1.4. Actual or planned temporal coverage of the data:
2008-11-19 to 2008-12-11, 2009-07-01 to 2009-07-05

1.5. Actual or planned geographic coverage of the data:
W: -124.126065, E: -124.084512, N: 42.12627, S: 42.097523

1.6. Type(s) of data:
(e.g., digital numeric data, imagery, photographs, video, audio, database, tabular data, etc.)
Model (digital)

1.7. Data collection method(s):
(e.g., satellite, airplane, unmanned aerial system, radar, weather station, moored buoy, research vessel, autonomous underwater vehicle, animal tagging, manual surveys, enforcement activities, numerical model, etc.)

1.8. If data are from a NOAA Observing System of Record, indicate name of system:

1.8.1. If data are from another observing system, please specify:

2. Point of Contact for this Data Management Plan (author or maintainer)

2.1. Name:
NOAA Office for Coastal Management (NOAA/OCM)
2.2. Title: 
Metadata Contact

2.3. Affiliation or facility: 
NOAA Office for Coastal Management (NOAA/OCM)

2.4. E-mail address: 
coastal.info@noaa.gov

2.5. Phone number: 
(843) 740-1202

3. Responsible Party for Data Management
Program Managers, or their designee, shall be responsible for assuring the proper management of 
the data produced by their Program. Please indicate the responsible party below.

3.1. Name:

3.2. Title: 
Data Steward

4. Resources
Programs must identify resources within their own budget for managing the data they produce.

4.1. Have resources for management of these data been identified? 
Yes

4.2. Approximate percentage of the budget for these data devoted to data management ( 
specify percentage or "unknown"): 
Unknown

5. Data Lineage and Quality
NOAA has issued Information Quality Guidelines for ensuring and maximizing the quality, 
objectivity, utility, and integrity of information which it disseminates.

5.1. Processing workflow of the data from collection or acquisition to making it publicly 
accessible (describe or provide URL of description):
Lineage Statement: 
Data was collected and processed by Watershed Sciences Inc. and delivered to the 
Oregon Department of Geology and Mineral Industries (DOGAMI). The data was 
obtained by NOAA from DOGAMI and ingested into the Digital Coast Data Access Viewer.

Process Steps:
- Acquisition. The LiDAR data was collected between August 19 and 27, 2008. The 
survey used a Leica ALS50 Pase II laser system mounted in a Cessna Caravan 208. 
Near nadir scan angles were used to increase penetration of vegetation to ground
surfaces. Ground level GPS and aircraft IMU were collected during the flight.
- Processing. 1. Flight lines and data were reviewed to ensure complete coverage
of the study area and positional accuracy of the laser points. 2. Laser point return
coordinates were computed using ALS Post Processor software and IPAS Pro GPS/
INS software, based on independent data from the LiDAR system, IMU, and aircraft.
3. The raw LiDAR file was assembled into flight lines per return with each point
having an associated x, y, and z coordinate. 4. Visual inspection of swath to swath
laser point consistencies within the study area were used to perform manual
refinements of system alignment. 5. Custom algorithms were designed to evaluate
points between adjacent flight lines. Automated system alignment was computed
based upon randomly selected swath to swath accuracy measurements that
consider elevation, slope, and intensities. Specifically, refinement in the
combination of system pitch, roll and yaw offset parameters optimize internal
consistency. 6. Noise (e.g., pits and birds) was filtered using ALS postprocessing
software, based on known elevation ranges and included the removal of any cycle
slips. 7. Using TerraScan and Microstation, ground classifications utilized custom
settings appropriate to the study area. 8. The corrected and filtered return points
were compared to the RTK ground survey points collected to verify the vertical and
horizontal accuracies. 9. Points were output as laser points, TINed and GRIDed
surfaces. (Citation: raw lidar)
- 2008-11-26 00:00:00 - Metadata imported.
- 2019-09-26 00:00:00 - Data was obtained by NOAA Office for Coastal Management
from DOGAMI. Data were in Oregon Lambert NAD83(HARN) international feet with
NAVD88(Geoid03) international feet vertically. Data were in ArcGrid proprietary
format and were converted to GeoTiff format using GDAL 2.4.0 to comply with the
open data policy and for ingest into the Digital Coast Data Access Viewer. (Citation:
processed lidar)

5.1.1. If data at different stages of the workflow, or products derived from these
data, are subject to a separate data management plan, provide reference to other
plan:

5.2. Quality control procedures employed (describe or provide URL of description):

6. Data Documentation
The EDMC Data Documentation Procedural Directive requires that NOAA data be well documented,
specifies the use of ISO 19115 and related standards for documentation of new data, and provides
links to resources and tools for metadata creation and validation.

6.1. Does metadata comply with EDMC Data Documentation directive?
No

6.1.1. If metadata are non-existent or non-compliant, please explain:
Missing/invalid information:
- 1.7. Data collection method(s)
- 3.1. Responsible Party for Data Management
- 5.2. Quality control procedures employed
- 7.1.1. If data are not available or has limitations, has a Waiver been filed?
- 7.4. Approximate delay between data collection and dissemination
- 8.3. Approximate delay between data collection and submission to an archive facility

6.2. Name of organization or facility providing metadata hosting:
NMFS Office of Science and Technology

6.2.1. If service is needed for metadata hosting, please indicate:

6.3. URL of metadata folder or data catalog, if known:
https://www.fisheries.noaa.gov/inport/item/57857

6.4. Process for producing and maintaining metadata
(describe or provide URL of description):
Metadata produced and maintained in accordance with the NOAA Data Documentation Procedural Directive: https://nosc.noaa.gov/EDMC/DAARWG/docs/EDMC_PD-Data_Documentation_v1.pdf

7. Data Access
NAO 212-15 states that access to environmental data may only be restricted when distribution is explicitly limited by law, regulation, policy (such as those applicable to personally identifiable information or protected critical infrastructure information or proprietary trade information) or by security requirements. The EDMC Data Access Procedural Directive contains specific guidance, recommends the use of open-standard, interoperable, non-proprietary web services, provides information about resources and tools to enable data access, and includes a Waiver to be submitted to justify any approach other than full, unrestricted public access.

7.1. Do these data comply with the Data Access directive?
Yes

7.1.1. If the data are not to be made available to the public at all, or with limitations, has a Waiver (Appendix A of Data Access directive) been filed?

7.1.2. If there are limitations to public data access, describe how data are protected from unauthorized access or disclosure:

7.2. Name of organization of facility providing data access:
NOAA Office for Coastal Management (NOAA/OCM)

7.2.1. If data hosting service is needed, please indicate:
7.2.2. URL of data access service, if known:
https://coast.noaa.gov/dataviewer/#/lidar/search/where:ID=8880
https://coast.noaa.gov/htdata/raster2/elevation/OLC_Malhuer_River_OR_8880

7.3. Data access methods or services offered:
Data is available online for custom downloads

7.4. Approximate delay between data collection and dissemination:

7.4.1. If delay is longer than latency of automated processing, indicate under what authority data access is delayed:

8. Data Preservation and Protection
The NOAA Procedure for Scientific Records Appraisal and Archive Approval describes how to identify, appraise and decide what scientific records are to be preserved in a NOAA archive.

8.1. Actual or planned long-term data archive location:
(Specify NCEI-MD, NCEI-CO, NCEI-NC, NCEI-MS, World Data Center (WDC) facility, Other, To Be Determined, Unable to Archive, or No Archiving Intended)
NCEI_CO

8.1.1. If World Data Center or Other, specify:

8.1.2. If To Be Determined, Unable to Archive or No Archiving Intended, explain:

8.2. Data storage facility prior to being sent to an archive facility (if any):
Office for Coastal Management - Charleston, SC

8.3. Approximate delay between data collection and submission to an archive facility:

8.4. How will the data be protected from accidental or malicious modification or deletion prior to receipt by the archive?
Discuss data back-up, disaster recovery/contingency planning, and off-site data storage relevant to the data collection
Data is backed up to tape and to cloud storage.

9. Additional Line Office or Staff Office Questions
Line and Staff Offices may extend this template by inserting additional questions in this section.