Please provide the following information, and submit to the NOAA DM Plan Repository.

Reference to Master DM Plan (if applicable)

As stated in Section IV, Requirement 1.3, DM Plans may be hierarchical. If this DM Plan inherits provisions from a higher-level DM Plan already submitted to the Repository, then this more-specific Plan only needs to provide information that differs from what was provided in the Master DM Plan.

URL of higher-level DM Plan (if any) as submitted to DM Plan Repository:

1. General Description of Data to be Managed

1.1. Name of the Data, data collection Project, or data-producing Program:
2017 OLC Lidar DEM: Grass Valley, OR

1.2. Summary description of the data:
No metadata record for this data set was provided to the NOAA Office for Coastal Management (OCM). This record was created with information from the data report. A link to the data report is provided in the URL section of this metadata record.

Geographic Extent: OLC Grass Valley Project Area, covering approximately 228,146 acres.

Dataset Description: Quantum Spatial, Inc. (QSI) acquired and processed lidar data describing the Oregon Lidar Consortium’s (OLC) Grass Valley Study Area. Lidar data acquisition occurred between April 22 and May 4, 2017. Settings for lidar data capture produced an average resolution of at least 8 points per square meter. The resolution of the DEM is 3 ft.

In addition to these bare earth Digital Elevation Model (DEM) data, the lidar point data that these DEM data were created from, are also available. These data are available for custom download at the link provided in the URL section of this metadata record.

1.3. Is this a one-time data collection, or an ongoing series of measurements?
One-time data collection

1.4. Actual or planned temporal coverage of the data:
2017-04-22 to 2017-05-04

1.5. Actual or planned geographic coverage of the data:
W: -121.048812, E: -120.390527, N: 45.659959, S: 44.721728

1.6. Type(s) of data:
(e.g., digital numeric data, imagery, photographs, video, audio, database, tabular data, etc.)
Model (digital)
1.7. Data collection method(s):
    (e.g., satellite, airplane, unmanned aerial system, radar, weather station, moored buoy, 
    research vessel, autonomous underwater vehicle, animal tagging, manual surveys, 
    enforcement activities, numerical model, etc.)

1.8. If data are from a NOAA Observing System of Record, indicate name of system:

1.8.1. If data are from another observing system, please specify:

2. Point of Contact for this Data Management Plan (author or maintainer)

2.1. Name:
    NOAA Office for Coastal Management (NOAA/OCM)

2.2. Title:
    Metadata Contact

2.3. Affiliation or facility:
    NOAA Office for Coastal Management (NOAA/OCM)

2.4. E-mail address:
    coastal.info@noaa.gov

2.5. Phone number:
    (843) 740-1202

3. Responsible Party for Data Management

Program Managers, or their designee, shall be responsible for assuring the proper management of 
the data produced by their Program. Please indicate the responsible party below.

3.1. Name:

3.2. Title:
    Data Steward

4. Resources

Programs must identify resources within their own budget for managing the data they produce.

4.1. Have resources for management of these data been identified?
    Yes

4.2. Approximate percentage of the budget for these data devoted to data management ( 
specify percentage or "unknown"):
    Unknown

5. Data Lineage and Quality
NOAA has issued Information Quality Guidelines for ensuring and maximizing the quality, objectivity, utility, and integrity of information which it disseminates.

5.1. Processing workflow of the data from collection or acquisition to making it publicly accessible (describe or provide URL of description):

Process Steps:
- The lidar survey utilized a Leica ALS 80 sensor mounted in a Cessna Grand Caravan. The system settings were developed to yield points with an average native density of greater than 8 pulses per square meter over terrestrial surfaces. The study area was surveyed with opposing flight line side-lap of greater than 60 percent with at least 100 percent overlap to reduce laser shadowing and increase surface laser painting. The system allows up to four range measurements per pulse, and all discernible laser returns were processed for the output data set.
- Ground control points and ground survey points were collected using real time kinematic (RTK), post-processed kinematic (PPK), and fast static (FS) survey techniques. For RTK surveys, a base receiver was positioned at a nearby monument to broadcast a kinematic correction to a roving receiver, for PPK and FS surveys, however, these corrections were post-processed. RTK and PPK surveys recorded observations for a minimum of 5 seconds, while FS surveys recorded observations for up to 15 minutes on each GCP/GSP in order to support longer baselines for post-processing. All GCP and GSP measurements were made during periods with a Position Dilution of Precision (PDOP) no greater than 3.0 and in view of at least 6 satellites for both receivers. Relative errors for the position were required to be less than 1.5 centimeters horizontal and 2.0 centimeters vertical in order to be accepted. In order to facilitate comparisons with high quality lidar data, GCP and GSP measurements were not taken on highly reflective surfaces such as center line stripes of lane markings on roads. GCPs and GSPs were taken no closer than one meter to any nearby terrain breaks such as road edges or drop offs. GCPs and GSPs were collected within as many flight lines as possible; however, the distribution depended on ground access constraints and may not be equitably distributed throughout the study area.
- 2019-10-17 00:00:00 - The NOAA Office for Coastal Management (OCM) received 25 raster DEM files in ESRI ArcGrid format from DOGAMI. The data were in Oregon Lambert NAD83(2011), international feet, coordinates and NAVD88 (Geoid12b) elevations in international feet. The bare earth raster files were at a 3 ft grid spacing. OCM performed the following processing on the data for Digital Coast storage and provisioning purposes: 1. Used internal script to assign the EPSG codes and convert to GeoTiff format. 2. Copied the files to https.

5.1.1. If data at different stages of the workflow, or products derived from these data, are subject to a separate data management plan, provide reference to other plan:
5.2. Quality control procedures employed (describe or provide URL of description):

6. Data Documentation
The EDMC Data Documentation Procedural Directive requires that NOAA data be well documented, specifies the use of ISO 19115 and related standards for documentation of new data, and provides links to resources and tools for metadata creation and validation.

6.1. Does metadata comply with EDMC Data Documentation directive?
No

6.1.1. If metadata are non-existent or non-compliant, please explain:
- Missing/invalid information:
  - 1.7. Data collection method(s)
  - 3.1. Responsible Party for Data Management
  - 5.2. Quality control procedures employed
  - 7.1.1. If data are not available or has limitations, has a Waiver been filed?
  - 7.4. Approximate delay between data collection and dissemination
  - 8.3. Approximate delay between data collection and submission to an archive facility

6.2. Name of organization or facility providing metadata hosting:
NMFS Office of Science and Technology

6.2.1. If service is needed for metadata hosting, please indicate:

6.3. URL of metadata folder or data catalog, if known:
https://www.fisheries.noaa.gov/inport/item/58004

6.4. Process for producing and maintaining metadata
(describe or provide URL of description):
Metadata produced and maintained in accordance with the NOAA Data Documentation Procedural Directive: https://nosc.noaa.gov/EDMC/DAARWG/docs/EDMC_PD-Data_Documentation_v1.pdf

7. Data Access
NAO 212-15 states that access to environmental data may only be restricted when distribution is explicitly limited by law, regulation, policy (such as those applicable to personally identifiable information or protected critical infrastructure information or proprietary trade information) or by security requirements. The EDMC Data Access Procedural Directive contains specific guidance, recommends the use of open-standard, interoperable, non-proprietary web services, provides information about resources and tools to enable data access, and includes a Waiver to be submitted to justify any approach other than full, unrestricted public access.

7.1. Do these data comply with the Data Access directive?
Yes
7.1.1. If the data are not to be made available to the public at all, or with limitations, has a Waiver (Appendix A of Data Access directive) been filed?

7.1.2. If there are limitations to public data access, describe how data are protected from unauthorized access or disclosure:

7.2. Name of organization of facility providing data access:
   NOAA Office for Coastal Management (NOAA/OCM)

7.2.1. If data hosting service is needed, please indicate:

7.2.2. URL of data access service, if known:
   https://coast.noaa.gov/dataviewer/#/lidar/search/where:ID=8900
   https://coast.noaa.gov/htdata/raster2/elevation/OLC_Grass_Valley_DEM_2017_8900

7.3. Data access methods or services offered:
   Data is available online for bulk and custom downloads.

7.4. Approximate delay between data collection and dissemination:

7.4.1. If delay is longer than latency of automated processing, indicate under what authority data access is delayed:

8. Data Preservation and Protection
The NOAA Procedure for Scientific Records Appraisal and Archive Approval describes how to identify, appraise and decide what scientific records are to be preserved in a NOAA archive.

8.1. Actual or planned long-term data archive location:
   (Specify NCEI-MD, NCEI-CO, NCEI-NC, NCEI-MS, World Data Center (WDC) facility, Other, To Be Determined, Unable to Archive, or No Archiving Intended)
   NCEI-CO

8.1.1. If World Data Center or Other, specify:

8.1.2. If To Be Determined, Unable to Archive or No Archiving Intended, explain:

8.2. Data storage facility prior to being sent to an archive facility (if any):
   Office for Coastal Management - Charleston, SC

8.3. Approximate delay between data collection and submission to an archive facility:

8.4. How will the data be protected from accidental or malicious modification or
deletion prior to receipt by the archive?

Discuss data back-up, disaster recovery/contingency planning, and off-site data storage relevant to the data collection

Data is backed up to tape and to cloud storage.

9. Additional Line Office or Staff Office Questions

Line and Staff Offices may extend this template by inserting additional questions in this section.