

Please provide the following information, and submit to the NOAA DM Plan Repository.

Reference to Master DM Plan (if applicable)

As stated in Section IV, Requirement 1.3, DM Plans may be hierarchical. If this DM Plan inherits provisions from a higher-level DM Plan already submitted to the Repository, then this more-specific Plan only needs to provide information that differs from what was provided in the Master DM Plan.

URL of higher-level DM Plan (if any) as submitted to DM Plan Repository:

1. General Description of Data to be Managed**1.1. Name of the Data, data collection Project, or data-producing Program:**

2018 USACE/NCMP Hyperspectral Imagery: Ontario, NY

1.2. Summary description of the data:

The mosaic images are comprised of multiple CASI 1500 scanlines that were collected by the Coastal Zone Mapping and Imaging Lidar (CZMIL) system along the shoreline of New York. CZMIL integrates a lidar sensor with topographic and bathymetric capabilities, a digital camera, and a Itres' CASI-1500 hyperspectral imager on a single remote sensing platform for use in coastal mapping and charting activities. The CASI-1500 imagery was collected at an altitude of 2000m, a ground swath of 1500m and at a resolution of 1m by 1m ground sample distance (GSD). Native CASI-1500 imagery data is not generally in a format accessible to most Geographic Information Systems (GIS). Specialized in-house and commercial software packages process the imagery data into GIS-compatible products for visualization and further analysis. Horizontal positions, projected in Universal Transverse Mercator (UTM), are referenced to the North American Datum of 1983 (NAD83). Vertical positions are referenced to the NAD83 ellipsoid. Individual image strips have been rectified and mosaicked into blocks commensurate with the collection blocks. The data file naming convention is based on the year, project, area name, product type, collection block, and survey altitude. These files have been separated and delivered by JALBTCX collection blocks. An example file name is "2018_NCMP_NY_Ontario_18TVQ2008_HSI.tif", where 2018 is the year of data collection, NCMP is the Effort under which data were collected, NY_Ontario is the area of data collection, and "HSI" is the product type. The supplementary header file is of the same name.

1.3. Is this a one-time data collection, or an ongoing series of measurements?

One-time data collection

1.4. Actual or planned temporal coverage of the data:

2018-09-07 to 2018-09-15

1.5. Actual or planned geographic coverage of the data:

W: -77.848891, E: -75.790921, N: 44.444527, S: 43.174637

Overall area of data. Data only covers a small part of this area.

1.6. Type(s) of data:

(e.g., digital numeric data, imagery, photographs, video, audio, database, tabular data, etc.)
raster digital data

1.7. Data collection method(s):

(e.g., satellite, airplane, unmanned aerial system, radar, weather station, moored buoy, research vessel, autonomous underwater vehicle, animal tagging, manual surveys, enforcement activities, numerical model, etc.)

1.8. If data are from a NOAA Observing System of Record, indicate name of system:

1.8.1. If data are from another observing system, please specify:

2. Point of Contact for this Data Management Plan (author or maintainer)

2.1. Name:

NOAA Office for Coastal Management (NOAA/OCM)

2.2. Title:

Metadata Contact

2.3. Affiliation or facility:

NOAA Office for Coastal Management (NOAA/OCM)

2.4. E-mail address:

coastal.info@noaa.gov

2.5. Phone number:

(843) 740-1202

3. Responsible Party for Data Management

Program Managers, or their designee, shall be responsible for assuring the proper management of the data produced by their Program. Please indicate the responsible party below.

3.1. Name:

3.2. Title:

Data Steward

4. Resources

Programs must identify resources within their own budget for managing the data they produce.

4.1. Have resources for management of these data been identified?

4.2. Approximate percentage of the budget for these data devoted to data management (specify percentage or "unknown"):

5. Data Lineage and Quality

NOAA has issued Information Quality Guidelines for ensuring and maximizing the quality, objectivity, utility, and integrity of information which it disseminates.

5.1. Processing workflow of the data from collection or acquisition to making it publicly accessible

(describe or provide URL of description):

Process Steps:

- 2019-03-15 00:00:00 - These data were collected using Itres Research Limited's Compact Airborne Spectrographic Imager (CASI) - 1500 owned by the USACE Army Corps of Engineers Joint Airborne Lidar Bathymetry Technical Center of eXpertise (JALBTCX) and operated through contract. The CASI-1500 is a fully programmable, pushbroom hyperspectral imager. All raw data streams were transferred to the office for downloading and processing. Optech Incorporated's HydroFusion (HF) software, which is built upon Exelis Visual Information Solutions' ENVI and IDL uses Itres' proprietary software to radiometrically correct and extract navigation data from each scanline. Proprietary atmospheric algorithms convert radiance to reflectance - depending on product type delivered. Glint and ripple correction algorithms were used on the water surface. Final mosaics are then generated using HF function calls to Itres executables. Ground elevation Digital Elevation Models (DEM) were created from CZMIL lidar data for reference for commensurately flown hyperspectral data at 400m. USGS NED elevation data were used for hyperspectral data collected at 2000m. The USGS NED data was warped using GDAL and then elevations were moved from NAVD88 to NAD83 Ellipsoid using VDATUM. Each scan line was geometrically corrected with the ground elevation DEMs and mosaicked using ground lookup tables (GLU) placing pixels closest to nadir where scanlines overlap. Final mosaics were QA/QC in ENVI and ArcMAP and compared to field collected, ground spectrum samples where available. Finally metadata were generated. (Citation: raw CASI scans)
- Data were received by NOAA Office for Coastal Management from USACE National Coastal Mapping Program. Data were in GeoTiff format. NOAA transformed the data to cloud optimized GeoTiff format with deflate compression and ingested the data into the Digital Coast Data Access Viewer.

5.1.1. If data at different stages of the workflow, or products derived from these data, are subject to a separate data management plan, provide reference to other plan:

5.2. Quality control procedures employed (describe or provide URL of description):

6. Data Documentation

The EDMC Data Documentation Procedural Directive requires that NOAA data be well documented, specifies the use of ISO 19115 and related standards for documentation of new data, and provides links to resources and tools for metadata creation and validation.

6.1. Does metadata comply with EDMC Data Documentation directive?

No

6.1.1. If metadata are non-existent or non-compliant, please explain:

Missing/invalid information:

- 1.7. Data collection method(s)
- 3.1. Responsible Party for Data Management
- 4.1. Have resources for management of these data been identified?
- 4.2. Approximate percentage of the budget for these data devoted to data management
- 5.2. Quality control procedures employed
- 7.1. Do these data comply with the Data Access directive?
 - 7.1.1. If data are not available or has limitations, has a Waiver been filed?
 - 7.1.2. If there are limitations to data access, describe how data are protected
- 7.4. Approximate delay between data collection and dissemination
- 8.1. Actual or planned long-term data archive location
- 8.3. Approximate delay between data collection and submission to an archive facility
- 8.4. How will the data be protected from accidental or malicious modification or deletion prior to receipt by the archive?

6.2. Name of organization or facility providing metadata hosting:

NMFS Office of Science and Technology

6.2.1. If service is needed for metadata hosting, please indicate:

6.3. URL of metadata folder or data catalog, if known:

<https://www.fisheries.noaa.gov/inport/item/58305>

6.4. Process for producing and maintaining metadata

(describe or provide URL of description):

Metadata produced and maintained in accordance with the NOAA Data Documentation Procedural Directive: [https://nosc.noaa.gov/EDMC/DAARWG/docs/EDMC_PD-](https://nosc.noaa.gov/EDMC/DAARWG/docs/EDMC_PD-Data_Documentation_v1.pdf)

[Data_Documentation_v1.pdf](https://nosc.noaa.gov/EDMC/DAARWG/docs/EDMC_PD-Data_Documentation_v1.pdf)

7. Data Access

NAO 212-15 states that access to environmental data may only be restricted when distribution is explicitly limited by law, regulation, policy (such as those applicable to personally identifiable information or protected critical infrastructure information or proprietary trade information) or by

security requirements. The EDMC Data Access Procedural Directive contains specific guidance, recommends the use of open-standard, interoperable, non-proprietary web services, provides information about resources and tools to enable data access, and includes a Waiver to be submitted to justify any approach other than full, unrestricted public access.

7.1. Do these data comply with the Data Access directive?

7.1.1. If the data are not to be made available to the public at all, or with limitations, has a Waiver (Appendix A of Data Access directive) been filed?

7.1.2. If there are limitations to public data access, describe how data are protected from unauthorized access or disclosure:

7.2. Name of organization of facility providing data access:

NOAA Office for Coastal Management (NOAA/OCM)

7.2.1. If data hosting service is needed, please indicate:

7.2.2. URL of data access service, if known:

<https://coast.noaa.gov/dataviewer/#/imagery/search/where:ID=8969>

https://coastalimagery.blob.core.windows.net/digitalcoast/USACE_Ontario_HSI_2018_8969/index.htm

7.3. Data access methods or services offered:

Data is available online for bulk or custom downloads

7.4. Approximate delay between data collection and dissemination:

7.4.1. If delay is longer than latency of automated processing, indicate under what authority data access is delayed:

8. Data Preservation and Protection

The NOAA Procedure for Scientific Records Appraisal and Archive Approval describes how to identify, appraise and decide what scientific records are to be preserved in a NOAA archive.

8.1. Actual or planned long-term data archive location:

(Specify NCEI-MD, NCEI-CO, NCEI-NC, NCEI-MS, World Data Center (WDC) facility, Other, To Be Determined, Unable to Archive, or No Archiving Intended)

8.1.1. If World Data Center or Other, specify:

8.1.2. If To Be Determined, Unable to Archive or No Archiving Intended, explain:

8.2. Data storage facility prior to being sent to an archive facility (if any):

Office for Coastal Management - Charleston, SC

8.3. Approximate delay between data collection and submission to an archive facility:

8.4. How will the data be protected from accidental or malicious modification or deletion prior to receipt by the archive?

Discuss data back-up, disaster recovery/contingency planning, and off-site data storage relevant to the data collection

9. Additional Line Office or Staff Office Questions

Line and Staff Offices may extend this template by inserting additional questions in this section.