

Please provide the following information, and submit to the NOAA DM Plan Repository.

Reference to Master DM Plan (if applicable)

As stated in Section IV, Requirement 1.3, DM Plans may be hierarchical. If this DM Plan inherits provisions from a higher-level DM Plan already submitted to the Repository, then this more-specific Plan only needs to provide information that differs from what was provided in the Master DM Plan.

URL of higher-level DM Plan (if any) as submitted to DM Plan Repository:

1. General Description of Data to be Managed

1.1. Name of the Data, data collection Project, or data-producing Program:

2018 - 2019 USGS Lidar: Washington FEMA QL2

1.2. Summary description of the data:

Product: Washington FEMA HQ Classified Lidar point cloud.

Geographic Extent: This dataset and derived products encompass the Washington FEMA HQ Lidar dataset, an area covering approximately 3,450,211 acres of QL2 data in Washington State spanning both UTM Zones 10 and 11 in the following 5 counties: Whitman, Skamania, Cowlitz, Clark, and Klickitat. A QL1 survey area in Pend Orielle County was also part of this collection and covers approximately 6,519 acres but is not included in this data set because it was collected using QL1 criteria. A link to the metadata record for the QL1 survey area is provided in the Related Items field at the end of this metadata record.

Dataset Description: RAW flight line swaths were processed to create classified LAS 1.4 files projected in UTM Zones 10 and 11 and delineated in 1,000m x 1,000m tiles. (Please note the following tiles do not exist as no points fall within their boundaries due their small size and/or the fact they fall completely over water: 10TGR1465, 10TER6244) Each LAS file contains lidar point information, which has been calibrated, controlled, and classified. Additional derived products include intensity images, hydro-flattened DEMs, 3D breaklines of rivers and lakes, and bridges within the study area.

Ground Conditions: Ground condition was free of snow. Acquisition below aircraft free of smoke, fog and cloud cover. Ground Control Points were acquired and calibrated by Quantum Spatial, Inc.

The NOAA Office for Coastal Management (OCM) downloaded the:

1. Lidar point data from this USGS site:

ftp://rockyftp.cr.usgs.gov/vdelivery/Datasets/Staged/Elevation/LPC/Projects/WA_FEMAHQ_2018_D18/WA_FEMAHQ_B1_QL2_2018/LAZ/

ftp://rockyftp.cr.usgs.gov/vdelivery/Datasets/Staged/Elevation/LPC/Projects/WA_FEMAHQ_2018_D18/WA_FEMAHQ_B2A_2018/LAZ/

ftp://rockyftp.cr.usgs.gov/vdelivery/Datasets/Staged/Elevation/LPC/Projects/
WA_FEMAHQ_2018_D18/WA_FEMAHQ_B2B_2018/LAZ/

ftp://rockyftp.cr.usgs.gov/vdelivery/Datasets/Staged/Elevation/LPC/Projects/
WA_FEMAHQ_2018_D18/WA_FEMAHQ_B2C_2018/LAZ/

2. Breaklines, reports, and metadata from this USGS site:

ftp://rockyftp.cr.usgs.gov/vdelivery/Datasets/Staged/Elevation/metadata/
WA_FEMAHQ_2018_D18/WA_FEMAHQ_B1_QL2_2018/

ftp://rockyftp.cr.usgs.gov/vdelivery/Datasets/Staged/Elevation/metadata/
WA_FEMAHQ_2018_D18/WA_FEMAHQ_B2A_2018/

ftp://rockyftp.cr.usgs.gov/vdelivery/Datasets/Staged/Elevation/metadata/
WA_FEMAHQ_2018_D18/WA_FEMAHQ_B2B_2018/

ftp://rockyftp.cr.usgs.gov/vdelivery/Datasets/Staged/Elevation/metadata/
WA_FEMAHQ_2018_D18/WA_FEMAHQ_B2C_2018/

The lidar point files were processed to the Data Access Viewer (DAV) and https. The total number of laz files downloaded and processed was 14,551.

The breakline data are available for download at the link provided in the URL section of this metadata record. Please note that these products have not been reviewed by the NOAA Office for Coastal Management (OCM) and any conclusions drawn from the analysis of this information are not the responsibility of NOAA or OCM.

1.3. Is this a one-time data collection, or an ongoing series of measurements?

One-time data collection

1.4. Actual or planned temporal coverage of the data:

2018-08-05 to 2019-05-06

1.5. Actual or planned geographic coverage of the data:

W: -123.225303, E: -117.023236, N: 47.269951, S: 45.537668

1.6. Type(s) of data:

(e.g., digital numeric data, imagery, photographs, video, audio, database, tabular data, etc.)
Model (digital)

1.7. Data collection method(s):

(e.g., satellite, airplane, unmanned aerial system, radar, weather station, moored buoy, research vessel, autonomous underwater vehicle, animal tagging, manual surveys, enforcement activities, numerical model, etc.)

1.8. If data are from a NOAA Observing System of Record, indicate name of system:

1.8.1. If data are from another observing system, please specify:**2. Point of Contact for this Data Management Plan (author or maintainer)****2.1. Name:**

NOAA Office for Coastal Management (NOAA/OCM)

2.2. Title:

Metadata Contact

2.3. Affiliation or facility:

NOAA Office for Coastal Management (NOAA/OCM)

2.4. E-mail address:

coastal.info@noaa.gov

2.5. Phone number:

(843) 740-1202

3. Responsible Party for Data Management

Program Managers, or their designee, shall be responsible for assuring the proper management of the data produced by their Program. Please indicate the responsible party below.

3.1. Name:**3.2. Title:**

Data Steward

4. Resources

Programs must identify resources within their own budget for managing the data they produce.

4.1. Have resources for management of these data been identified?

Yes

4.2. Approximate percentage of the budget for these data devoted to data management (specify percentage or "unknown"):

Unknown

5. Data Lineage and Quality

NOAA has issued Information Quality Guidelines for ensuring and maximizing the quality, objectivity, utility, and integrity of information which it disseminates.

5.1. Processing workflow of the data from collection or acquisition to making it publicly accessible

(describe or provide URL of description):

Lineage Statement:

Data collected by QSI, Inc. for USGS. The data were downloaded from the USGS rockyftp

site and processed to make the data available for download from the NOAA OCM Data Access Viewer (DAV).

Process Steps:

- 2019-05-06 00:00:00 - LiDAR Pre-Processing: 1. Review flight lines and data to ensure complete coverage of the study area and positional accuracy of the laser points. 2. Resolve kinematic corrections for aircraft position data using kinematic aircraft GPS and static ground GPS data. 3. Develop a smoothed best estimate of trajectory (SBET) file that blends post-processed aircraft position with sensor head position and attitude recorded throughout the survey. 4. Calculate laser point position by associating SBET position to each laser point return time, scan angle, intensity, etc. Create raw laser point cloud data for the entire survey in *.las format. Convert data to orthometric elevations by applying a Geoid12B correction. 5. Import raw laser points into manageable blocks to perform manual relative accuracy calibration and filter erroneous points. Classify ground points for individual flight lines. 6. Using ground classified points per each flight line, test the relative accuracy. Perform automated line-to-line calibrations for system attitude parameters (pitch, roll, heading), mirror flex (scale) and GPS/IMU drift. Calculate calibrations on ground classified points from paired flight lines and apply results to all points in a flight line. Use every flight line for relative accuracy calibration. 7. Adjust the point cloud by comparing ground classified points to supplemental ground control points.

- 2019-05-06 00:00:00 - LiDAR Post-Processing: 1. Classify data to ground and other client designated classifications using proprietary classification algorithms. 2. Manually QC data classification 3. After completion of classification and final QC approval, calculate NVA and VVA, and density information for the project

- 2020-12-11 00:00:00 - The NOAA Office for Coastal Management (OCM) downloaded the lidar point data from this USGS site: ftp://rockyftp.cr.usgs.gov/vdelivery/Datasets/Staged/Elevation/LPC/Projects/WA_FEMAHQ_2018_D18/WA_FEMAHQ_B1_QL2_2018/LAZ/ ftp://rockyftp.cr.usgs.gov/vdelivery/Datasets/Staged/Elevation/LPC/Projects/WA_FEMAHQ_2018_D18/WA_FEMAHQ_B2A_2018/LAZ/ ftp://rockyftp.cr.usgs.gov/vdelivery/Datasets/Staged/Elevation/LPC/Projects/WA_FEMAHQ_2018_D18/WA_FEMAHQ_B2B_2018/LAZ/ ftp://rockyftp.cr.usgs.gov/vdelivery/Datasets/Staged/Elevation/LPC/Projects/WA_FEMAHQ_2018_D18/WA_FEMAHQ_B2C_2018/LAZ/ The lidar point files were processed to the Data Access Viewer (DAV) and https. The total number of laz files downloaded and processed was 14,551. The data were in UTM Zones 10 (Folders B2A, B2B, B2C) and 11 (Folder B1) (NAD83 2011), meters and NAVD88 (Geoid12B) elevations in meters. The data were classified as: 1 - Unclassified, 2 - Ground, 7 - Low Noise, 9 - Water, 17 - Bridge Decks, 20 - Ignored Ground. OCM processed all classifications of points to the Digital Coast Data Access Viewer (DAV). Classes available on the DAV are: 1, 2, 7, 9, 17, 20. OCM performed the following processing on the data for Digital Coast storage and provisioning purposes: 1. An internal OCM script was run to check the number of points by classification and by flight ID and the gps and intensity ranges. 2. Internal OCM scripts were run on the laz files to convert from orthometric (

NAVD88) elevations to ellipsoid elevations using the Geoid 12B model, to convert from UTM Zones 10 and 11 (NAD83 2011), meters, to geographic coordinates, to assign the geokeys, to sort the data by gps time, and zip the data to database and to http.

5.1.1. If data at different stages of the workflow, or products derived from these data, are subject to a separate data management plan, provide reference to other plan:

5.2. Quality control procedures employed (describe or provide URL of description):

6. Data Documentation

The EDMC Data Documentation Procedural Directive requires that NOAA data be well documented, specifies the use of ISO 19115 and related standards for documentation of new data, and provides links to resources and tools for metadata creation and validation.

6.1. Does metadata comply with EDMC Data Documentation directive?

No

6.1.1. If metadata are non-existent or non-compliant, please explain:

Missing/invalid information:

- 1.7. Data collection method(s)
- 3.1. Responsible Party for Data Management
- 5.2. Quality control procedures employed
- 7.1.1. If data are not available or has limitations, has a Waiver been filed?
- 7.4. Approximate delay between data collection and dissemination
- 8.3. Approximate delay between data collection and submission to an archive facility

6.2. Name of organization or facility providing metadata hosting:

NMFS Office of Science and Technology

6.2.1. If service is needed for metadata hosting, please indicate:

6.3. URL of metadata folder or data catalog, if known:

<https://www.fisheries.noaa.gov/inport/item/63373>

6.4. Process for producing and maintaining metadata

(describe or provide URL of description):

Metadata produced and maintained in accordance with the NOAA Data Documentation Procedural Directive: https://nosc.noaa.gov/EDMC/DAARWG/docs/EDMC_PD-Data_Documentation_v1.pdf

7. Data Access

NAO 212-15 states that access to environmental data may only be restricted when distribution is

explicitly limited by law, regulation, policy (such as those applicable to personally identifiable information or protected critical infrastructure information or proprietary trade information) or by security requirements. The EDMC Data Access Procedural Directive contains specific guidance, recommends the use of open-standard, interoperable, non-proprietary web services, provides information about resources and tools to enable data access, and includes a Waiver to be submitted to justify any approach other than full, unrestricted public access.

7.1. Do these data comply with the Data Access directive?

Yes

7.1.1. If the data are not to be made available to the public at all, or with limitations, has a Waiver (Appendix A of Data Access directive) been filed?

7.1.2. If there are limitations to public data access, describe how data are protected from unauthorized access or disclosure:

7.2. Name of organization of facility providing data access:

NOAA Office for Coastal Management (NOAA/OCM)

7.2.1. If data hosting service is needed, please indicate:

7.2.2. URL of data access service, if known:

<https://coast.noaa.gov/dataviewer/#/lidar/search/where:ID=9211/details/9211>

<https://noaa-nos-coastal-lidar-pds.s3.amazonaws.com/laz/geoid18/9211/index.html>

7.3. Data access methods or services offered:

Data is available online for bulk and custom downloads.

7.4. Approximate delay between data collection and dissemination:

7.4.1. If delay is longer than latency of automated processing, indicate under what authority data access is delayed:

8. Data Preservation and Protection

The NOAA Procedure for Scientific Records Appraisal and Archive Approval describes how to identify, appraise and decide what scientific records are to be preserved in a NOAA archive.

8.1. Actual or planned long-term data archive location:

(Specify NCEI-MD, NCEI-CO, NCEI-NC, NCEI-MS, World Data Center (WDC) facility, Other, To Be Determined, Unable to Archive, or No Archiving Intended)

NCEI_CO

8.1.1. If World Data Center or Other, specify:

8.1.2. If To Be Determined, Unable to Archive or No Archiving Intended, explain:

8.2. Data storage facility prior to being sent to an archive facility (if any):

Office for Coastal Management - Charleston, SC

8.3. Approximate delay between data collection and submission to an archive facility:

8.4. How will the data be protected from accidental or malicious modification or deletion prior to receipt by the archive?

Discuss data back-up, disaster recovery/contingency planning, and off-site data storage relevant to the data collection

Data is backed up to tape and to cloud storage.

9. Additional Line Office or Staff Office Questions

Line and Staff Offices may extend this template by inserting additional questions in this section.