Please provide the following information, and submit to the NOAA DM Plan Repository.

Reference to Master DM Plan (if applicable)

As stated in Section IV, Requirement 1.3, DM Plans may be hierarchical. If this DM Plan inherits provisions from a higher-level DM Plan already submitted to the Repository, then this more-specific Plan only needs to provide information that differs from what was provided in the Master DM Plan.

URL of higher-level DM Plan (if any) as submitted to DM Plan Repository:

1. General Description of Data to be Managed

1.1. Name of the Data, data collection Project, or data-producing Program:

1.2. Summary description of the data:
Axis Geospatial has contracted Atlantic to obtain professional services related to the development of Light Detection and Ranging (LiDAR) data between April 2015 and June 2015. This data set is consisting of LiDAR Point Cloud, Classified LiDAR, Digital Elevation Model, and LiDAR Intensity Images which all pieces encompasses the entire 2,424 Square miles (6,278 km) of the NY_WarrenWashingtonEssex_Spring2015 project area. The project area spans 5 counties including Warren, Washington, Essex, Hamilton, and Franklin. This data set consists of LiDAR point cloud with nominal pulse spacing of 0.5557m, LAS swath files (249), and tiled Las files. Each LAS file contains LiDAR point information, which has been calibrated, controlled, and classified. Each file represents a separate swath of LiDAR. Ground Conditions: water at normal levels; no unusual inundation; no snow; leaf off The calibrated point cloud data from the laser sensor was merged to produce processed (*.las) files including but not limited to 3D position, intensity, and time-stamp. A filtering methodology was utilized to produce a multi-return surface elevation model dataset with bare-earth conditions. GeoCue, TerraScan, and TerraModel software was used for the initial batch processing and manual editing of the (*.las) point clouds. The errors (noise columns) in classification were corrected by identifying the areas of dense noise in the point cloud and manually removing the incorrectly classified ground surfaces and above ground features. The Withheld and Overlap flags were utilized for the final LAS v1.4 file production. These classified LiDAR points were then used in the creation of other deliverables such as Bare-Earth Digital Elevation Models (DEMs) and LiDAR Intensity images. The final deliverables are in UTM and includes 2783 tiles. How the Withheld Points are Identified: Withheld (ignore) points were identified in the files using the standard LAS Withheld bit. Class Code:0
Class Item: Never Classified Class Code:1
Class Item: Undetermined/Unclassified Class Code:2
Class Item: Ground Class Code:7
Class Item: Low Point (Noise) Class Code:17
Class Item: Bridge Deck Class Code:18
Class Item: High Noise

In addition to these bare earth Digital Elevation Model (DEM) data, the lidar point data that these DEM data were created from are also available. These data are available for
1.3. Is this a one-time data collection, or an ongoing series of measurements?
One-time data collection

1.4. Actual or planned temporal coverage of the data:
2015-04-29 to 2015-05-23

1.5. Actual or planned geographic coverage of the data:
W: -74.556405, E: -73.223816, N: 44.297386, S: 43.339153

1.6. Type(s) of data:
(e.g., digital numeric data, imagery, photographs, video, audio, database, tabular data, etc.)
Model (digital)

1.7. Data collection method(s):
(e.g., satellite, airplane, unmanned aerial system, radar, weather station, moored buoy, research vessel, autonomous underwater vehicle, animal tagging, manual surveys, enforcement activities, numerical model, etc.)

1.8. If data are from a NOAA Observing System of Record, indicate name of system:

1.8.1. If data are from another observing system, please specify:

2. Point of Contact for this Data Management Plan (author or maintainer)

2.1. Name:
NOAA Office for Coastal Management (NOAA/OCM)

2.2. Title:
Metadata Contact

2.3. Affiliation or facility:
NOAA Office for Coastal Management (NOAA/OCM)

2.4. E-mail address:
coastal.info@noaa.gov

2.5. Phone number:
(843) 740-1202

3. Responsible Party for Data Management

Program Managers, or their designee, shall be responsible for assuring the proper management of the data produced by their Program. Please indicate the responsible party below.

3.1. Name:
3.2. Title:
Data Steward

4. Resources
Programs must identify resources within their own budget for managing the data they produce.

4.1. Have resources for management of these data been identified?
Yes

4.2. Approximate percentage of the budget for these data devoted to data management (specify percentage or "unknown"): 
Unknown

5. Data Lineage and Quality
NOAA has issued Information Quality Guidelines for ensuring and maximizing the quality, objectivity, utility, and integrity of information which it disseminates.

5.1. Processing workflow of the data from collection or acquisition to making it publicly accessible (describe or provide URL of description):
Lineage Statement:
Data were collected and processed by Atlantic for the New York State GIS Program Office (NYSGPO) and posted to their ftp site. The NOAA Office for Coastal Management (OCM) downloaded the data from the ftp site and processed it to make it available for custom download from the Data Access Viewer (DAV) and bulk download from https.

Process Steps:
- 2015-05-23 00:00:00 - Aerial LiDAR Acquisition: Aerial data collection was acquired in sixteen (16) missions, using the ALS70 SN#7123 at a max flying height of 3500 AGL. This was to support a 2.5 ppm^2 LiDAR point cloud. Airborne GPS and IMU data was collected during the acquisition and supported by Topcon Viper GPS, Data acquisition was completed on May 23rd 2015. Ground Control Survey: A survey was performed by Axis Geospatial to support the acquisition of Light Detection and Ranging (LiDAR). The control network involved a total of 673 check points (294 NVA + 379 VVA). The points were a combination of the following ground cover classification: Open Terrain, Urban Terrain, Bare Earth, and High Grass.
- 2015-06-01 00:00:00 - LiDAR Pre-processing: Airborne GPS and IMU data were merged to develop a Single Best Estimate (SBET) of the LiDAR system trajectory for each lift. LiDAR ranging data were initially calibrated using previous best parameters for this instrument and aircraft. Relative calibration was evaluated using advanced plane-matching analysis and parameter corrections derived. This process was repeated interactively until residual errors between overlapping swaths, across all project lifts, was reduced to 2 cm or less. Data was then block adjusted to match surveyed calibration control. Raw data NVA was checked using independently surveyed check points. Swath overage points were identified and tagged within each swath file.

Data Management Plan Template, v2.0.1
Noise Columns: The isolated dense erroneous points presenting as columns of noise both above and below the bare-earth surface are almost entirely in Blocks 1 and 2 (Affected Tiles shapefile attached). This type of noise is only present in the SN7123 ALS70 sensor acquired data in the southern half of the project area. A combination of the acquisition discriminator settings, flying height, FOV, terrain, and surface reflectance were the cause of these occurrences. In these instances the scanner's nadir position (scanner nadir as opposed to the center of the acquired swath) in correlation to the planar surface of a roof or waterbody exhibited a bias in the digitized intensity from the low-gain channels. As the laser output is scanned through the point where the laser output is perpendicular to the roof or water surface with very low reflectance the increased sensitivity from the acquisition discriminator settings resulted in an increase of laser backscatter reflected into the receiver. That backscatter was represented as columns of erroneous points or noise, while this did not affect the laser returns at ground level it did effect the automated high noise filtering in the initial classification process.

Initial processing of the GPS data was processed using Inertial Explorer. The solution file was generated and CloudPro software was used to generate georeferenced laser returns which were then processed in strip form allowing for the QC of the overlap between strips (lines). The data from each line were combined and automated classification routines run to determine the initial surface model. This initial surface model was then verified to the surveyed test points.

LiDAR Post-Processing: The calibrated and controlled LiDAR swaths were processed using automatic point classification routines in TerraSolid software. These routines operate against the entire collection (all swaths, all lifts), eliminating character differences between files. Data were then distributed as virtual tiles to experienced LiDAR analysts for localized automatic classification, manual editing, and peer-based QC checks. Supervisory QC monitoring of work in progress and completed editing ensured consistency of classification character and adherence to project requirements across the entire project. All classification tags are stored in the original swath files. After completion of classification and final QC approval, the NVA and VVA for the project are calculated. Sample areas for each land cover type present in the project were extracted and forwarded to the client, along with the results of the accuracy tests. Upon acceptance, the complete classified LiDAR swath files were delivered to the client.

LiDAR Classification: The calibrated LiDAR data was run through automated classification routines and then manually checked and edited. The data was classified into the following classes: 1-unclassified*, 2-ground, 7-low noise, 17-bridges, and 18-high noise

LiDAR Intensity Imagery Creation: All LiDAR Intensity Imagery was created from the final calibrated and classified LiDAR Point Cloud. Intensity Images where produced from all classified points and are posted to a 1.0 meter cell size. Intensity Images where cut to match the provided Tile Index and have corresponding names to match tile names.
- 2015-07-10 00:00:00 - Bare-Earth DEM Creation: Bare-Earth Digital Elevation Models (DEMs) were derived using bare-earth (ground) LiDAR points, all DEMs were created with a grid spacing of 1 meter. The DEMs were cut to tiles of 1500 meters X 1500 meters index, provided by Axis Geospatial.

- 2021-08-23 00:00:00 - The NOAA Office for Coastal Management (OCM) downloaded 2781 digital elevation model (DEM) files in img format from the NY State GIS ftp site ftp://ftp.gis.ny.gov/elevation/DEM/NYSGPO_WarrenWashingtonEssex2015/. The bare earth raster files were at a 1 m grid spacing. The data were in UTM Zone 18 NAD83 (2011), meters coordinates and NAVD88 (Geoid 12A) elevations in meters. OCM assigned the appropriate EPSG codes (Horiz - 6347, Vert - 5703) and copied the raster files to https for Digital Coast storage and provisioning purposes.

5.1.1. If data at different stages of the workflow, or products derived from these data, are subject to a separate data management plan, provide reference to other plan:

5.2. Quality control procedures employed (describe or provide URL of description):

6. Data Documentation

The EDMC Data Documentation Procedural Directive requires that NOAA data be well documented, specifies the use of ISO 19115 and related standards for documentation of new data, and provides links to resources and tools for metadata creation and validation.

6.1. Does metadata comply with EDMC Data Documentation directive?
No

6.1.1. If metadata are non-existent or non-compliant, please explain:

Missing/invalid information:
- 1.7. Data collection method(s)
- 3.1. Responsible Party for Data Management
- 5.2. Quality control procedures employed
- 7.1.1. If data are not available or has limitations, has a Waiver been filed?
- 7.4. Approximate delay between data collection and dissemination
- 8.3. Approximate delay between data collection and submission to an archive facility

6.2. Name of organization or facility providing metadata hosting:
NMFS Office of Science and Technology

6.2.1. If service is needed for metadata hosting, please indicate:

6.3. URL of metadata folder or data catalog, if known:
https://www.fisheries.noaa.gov/inport/item/65199

6.4. Process for producing and maintaining metadata
7. Data Access

NAO 212-15 states that access to environmental data may only be restricted when distribution is explicitly limited by law, regulation, policy (such as those applicable to personally identifiable information or protected critical infrastructure information or proprietary trade information) or by security requirements. The EDMC Data Access Procedural Directive contains specific guidance, recommends the use of open-standard, interoperable, non-proprietary web services, provides information about resources and tools to enable data access, and includes a Waiver to be submitted to justify any approach other than full, unrestricted public access.

7.1. Do these data comply with the Data Access directive?
Yes

7.1.1. If the data are not to be made available to the public at all, or with limitations, has a Waiver (Appendix A of Data Access directive) been filed?

7.1.2. If there are limitations to public data access, describe how data are protected from unauthorized access or disclosure:

7.2. Name of organization of facility providing data access:
NOAA Office for Coastal Management (NOAA/OCM)

7.2.1. If data hosting service is needed, please indicate:

7.2.2. URL of data access service, if known:
https://coast.noaa.gov/dataviewer/#/lidar/search/where:ID=9352/details/9352
https://coast.noaa.gov/htdata/raster5/elevation/NY_Warr_Wash_Essex_DEM_2015_9352

7.3. Data access methods or services offered:
Data is available online for bulk and custom downloads.

7.4. Approximate delay between data collection and dissemination:

7.4.1. If delay is longer than latency of automated processing, indicate under what authority data access is delayed:

8. Data Preservation and Protection

The NOAA Procedure for Scientific Records Appraisal and Archive Approval describes how to identify, appraise and decide what scientific records are to be preserved in a NOAA archive.
8.1. Actual or planned long-term data archive location:
(Specify NCEI-MD, NCEI-CO, NCEI-NC, NCEI-MS, World Data Center (WDC) facility, Other, To Be Determined, Unable to Archive, or No Archiving Intended)
NCEI_CO

8.1.1. If World Data Center or Other, specify:

8.1.2. If To Be Determined, Unable to Archive or No Archiving Intended, explain:

8.2. Data storage facility prior to being sent to an archive facility (if any):
Office for Coastal Management - Charleston, SC

8.3. Approximate delay between data collection and submission to an archive facility:

8.4. How will the data be protected from accidental or malicious modification or deletion prior to receipt by the archive?
Discuss data back-up, disaster recovery/contingency planning, and off-site data storage relevant to the data collection
Data is backed up to tape and to cloud storage.

9. Additional Line Office or Staff Office Questions
Line and Staff Offices may extend this template by inserting additional questions in this section.