

Please provide the following information, and submit to the NOAA DM Plan Repository.

Reference to Master DM Plan (if applicable)

As stated in Section IV, Requirement 1.3, DM Plans may be hierarchical. If this DM Plan inherits provisions from a higher-level DM Plan already submitted to the Repository, then this more-specific Plan only needs to provide information that differs from what was provided in the Master DM Plan.

URL of higher-level DM Plan (if any) as submitted to DM Plan Repository:

1. General Description of Data to be Managed

1.1. Name of the Data, data collection Project, or data-producing Program:

Louisiana and Lower Mississippi River 2014 ESI RESOURCE MANAGEMENT Polygons, Points

1.2. Summary description of the data:

This data set contains vector polygons detailing designated management areas, including critical and essential habitats; and vector points representing locations of aquacultures, artificial reefs, and water intakes found in coastal Louisiana and the lower Mississippi river. Location specific type and source information are stored in relational data tables (described below) designed to be used in conjunction with this spatial data layer. ESI data characterize the marine and coastal environments and wildlife by their sensitivity to spilled oil. The ESI data include information for three main components: shoreline habitats, sensitive biological resources, and human-use resources.

This data set comprises a portion of the ESI data for Louisiana and the lower Mississippi River. The entirety of the ESI Human-Use data layers consists of: PARKS-MANAGED AREAS Polygons; POLITICAL-JURISDICTIONAL Points; RESOURCE MANAGEMENT Polygons, Points; SOCECON Points.

1.3. Is this a one-time data collection, or an ongoing series of measurements?

One-time data collection

1.4. Actual or planned temporal coverage of the data:

2012 to 2014

1.5. Actual or planned geographic coverage of the data:

W: -94, E: -88.0002, N: 31.1273, S: 25.6169

This geographic extent includes the entire coastal Louisiana and Lower Mississippi River ESI study area. The spatial extent of individual layers or feature classes may vary.

1.6. Type(s) of data:

(e.g., digital numeric data, imagery, photographs, video, audio, database, tabular data, etc.)
Map (digital)

1.7. Data collection method(s):

(e.g., satellite, airplane, unmanned aerial system, radar, weather station, moored buoy,

research vessel, autonomous underwater vehicle, animal tagging, manual surveys, enforcement activities, numerical model, etc.)

1.8. If data are from a NOAA Observing System of Record, indicate name of system:

1.8.1. If data are from another observing system, please specify:

2. Point of Contact for this Data Management Plan (author or maintainer)

2.1. Name:

ESI Program Manager

2.2. Title:

Metadata Contact

2.3. Affiliation or facility:

2.4. E-mail address:

orr.esi@noaa.gov

2.5. Phone number:

3. Responsible Party for Data Management

Program Managers, or their designee, shall be responsible for assuring the proper management of the data produced by their Program. Please indicate the responsible party below.

3.1. Name:

ESI Program Manager

3.2. Title:

Data Steward

4. Resources

Programs must identify resources within their own budget for managing the data they produce.

4.1. Have resources for management of these data been identified?

4.2. Approximate percentage of the budget for these data devoted to data management (specify percentage or "unknown"):

5. Data Lineage and Quality

NOAA has issued Information Quality Guidelines for ensuring and maximizing the quality, objectivity, utility, and integrity of information which it disseminates.

5.1. Processing workflow of the data from collection or acquisition to making it publicly accessible

(describe or provide URL of description):

Process Steps:

- 2014-05-01 00:00:00 - The following applies to features mapped as polygons along the Louisiana coast, in either the PARKS-MANAGED AREAS layer and/or the RESOURCE MANAGEMENT layer. The mapping extent was dependent upon information availability and location of mapped coastal habitats and shorelines. Sources of data used to depict human-use resources in this data layer include digital datasets provided by the Louisiana Department of Wildlife and Fisheries (LDWF), U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS), National Park Service (NPS), the Nature Conservancy (TNC), the Louisiana Office of State Lands, NOAA Fisheries' Office of Sustainable Fisheries and the Gulf of Mexico Fishery Management Council (Gulf of Mexico Fishery Management Council). Designated critical habitat was mapped for piping plover, black bear and Gulf sturgeon. The data were downloaded from the USFWS Critical Habitat Portal. Habitat Areas of Particular Concern (HAPC) for coral were obtained from the Gulf of Mexico Fishery Management Council. Bluefin Tuna HAPC was obtained from NOAA's Office of Sustainable Fisheries, Highly Migratory Species Division. Both HAPC are mapped as ESI type 'Essential Habitat'. State wildlife management areas and wildlife refuges were provided by LDWF and mapped as ESI type 'Management Area'. Locations of oyster leases and public oyster areas were provided by LDWF, and are also included as ESI type "Management Area". Oyster leases were merged and buffered by 50 meters to create a 'footprint' of lease areas. Gaps of less than a hectare were deleted and the footprint polygon was matched to the shoreline where necessary. In areas with high densities of lease polygons, their presence is indicated in 'Present throughout' boxes on the paper maps. Contact LDWF's oyster survey section for a list of specific lease owners in an area. National park boundaries were provided by the NPS. Boundaries of TNC properties and easements were provided by TNC. Boundaries of National Wildlife Refuges were provided by the USFWS, region 4. Locations of State Park lands were mapped using data from the Louisiana Office of State Lands and are included as ESI type 'Park'. The above digital and/or hardcopy sources were compiled by the project biologist to create the MGT data layer. Depending on the type of source data, three general approaches are used for compiling the data layer: 1) information gathered during initial interviews and from hardcopy sources are compiled onto USGS Geological Survey 1:24,000 topographic quadrangles and digitized; 2) hardcopy maps are digitized at their source scale; 3) digital data layers are evaluated and used "as is" or integrated with the hardcopy data sources. See the Lineage section for additional information on the type of source data for this data layer. The ESI, biology, and human-use data are compiled into the standard ESI digital data format. A second set of interviews with participating resource experts are conducted to review the compiled data. If necessary, edits to the MGT data layer are made based on the recommendations of the resource experts, and final hardcopy maps and digital data are created.

- 2014-05-01 00:00:00 - The following applies to features mapped as polygons along the lower Mississippi river, in either the PARKS-MANAGED AREAS layer and/or the RESOURCE MANAGEMENT layer. Sources of data used to depict human-use resources in this data layer include digital datasets provided by the Louisiana Department of Wildlife and Fisheries (LDWF), U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS), and the Nature Conservancy (TNC). Critical Habitat - Designated critical habitat was mapped for black bear. The data were downloaded from the USFWS Critical Habitat Portal. Management Areas - State Wildlife management areas and wildlife refuges were provided by LDWF and mapped as ESI type 'Management Area'. Nature Conservancy - Boundaries of TNC properties and easements were provided by TNC. Wildlife Refuge - Boundaries of National Wildlife Refuges were provided by the USFWS, Region 4. The above digital and/or hardcopy sources were compiled by the project biologist to create the MGT data layer. Depending on the type of source data, three general approaches are used for compiling the data layer: 1) information gathered during initial interviews and from hardcopy sources are compiled onto U.S. Geological Survey 1:24,000 topographic quadrangles and digitized; 2) hardcopy maps are digitized at their source scale; 3) digital data layers are evaluated and used "as is" or integrated with the hardcopy data sources. See the Lineage section for additional information on the type of source data for this data layer. The ESI, biology, and human-use data are compiled into the standard ESI digital data format. A second set of interviews with participating resource experts are conducted to review the compiled data. If necessary, edits to the MGT data layer are made based on the recommendations of the resource experts, and final hardcopy maps and digital data are created.

5.1.1. If data at different stages of the workflow, or products derived from these data, are subject to a separate data management plan, provide reference to other plan:

5.2. Quality control procedures employed (describe or provide URL of description):

6. Data Documentation

The EDMC Data Documentation Procedural Directive requires that NOAA data be well documented, specifies the use of ISO 19115 and related standards for documentation of new data, and provides links to resources and tools for metadata creation and validation.

6.1. Does metadata comply with EDMC Data Documentation directive?

No

6.1.1. If metadata are non-existent or non-compliant, please explain:

Missing/invalid information:

- 1.7. Data collection method(s)
- 4.1. Have resources for management of these data been identified?
- 4.2. Approximate percentage of the budget for these data devoted to data

management

- 5.2. Quality control procedures employed
- 7.1. Do these data comply with the Data Access directive?
 - 7.1.1. If data are not available or has limitations, has a Waiver been filed?
 - 7.1.2. If there are limitations to data access, describe how data are protected
- 7.4. Approximate delay between data collection and dissemination
- 8.1. Actual or planned long-term data archive location
- 8.3. Approximate delay between data collection and submission to an archive facility
- 8.4. How will the data be protected from accidental or malicious modification or deletion prior to receipt by the archive?

6.2. Name of organization or facility providing metadata hosting:

NMFS Office of Science and Technology

6.2.1. If service is needed for metadata hosting, please indicate:

6.3. URL of metadata folder or data catalog, if known:

<https://www.fisheries.noaa.gov/inport/item/55294>

6.4. Process for producing and maintaining metadata

(describe or provide URL of description):

Metadata produced and maintained in accordance with the NOAA Data Documentation Procedural Directive:

https://nosc.noaa.gov/EDMC/DAARWG/docs/EDMC_PD-Data_Documentation_v1.pdf

7. Data Access

NAO 212-15 states that access to environmental data may only be restricted when distribution is explicitly limited by law, regulation, policy (such as those applicable to personally identifiable information or protected critical infrastructure information or proprietary trade information) or by security requirements. The EDMC Data Access Procedural Directive contains specific guidance, recommends the use of open-standard, interoperable, non-proprietary web services, provides information about resources and tools to enable data access, and includes a Waiver to be submitted to justify any approach other than full, unrestricted public access.

7.1. Do these data comply with the Data Access directive?

7.1.1. If the data are not to be made available to the public at all, or with limitations, has a Waiver (Appendix A of Data Access directive) been filed?

7.1.2. If there are limitations to public data access, describe how data are protected from unauthorized access or disclosure:

7.2. Name of organization of facility providing data access:

Office of Response and Restoration (ORR)

7.2.1. If data hosting service is needed, please indicate:

7.2.2. URL of data access service, if known:

https://response.restoration.noaa.gov/esi_download

7.3. Data access methods or services offered:

Data can be accessed by downloading the zipped ArcGIS geodatabase from the Download URL (see Distribution Information). Questions can be directed to the ESI Program Manager (Point Of Contact).

7.4. Approximate delay between data collection and dissemination:

7.4.1. If delay is longer than latency of automated processing, indicate under what authority data access is delayed:

8. Data Preservation and Protection

The NOAA Procedure for Scientific Records Appraisal and Archive Approval describes how to identify, appraise and decide what scientific records are to be preserved in a NOAA archive.

8.1. Actual or planned long-term data archive location:

(Specify NCEI-MD, NCEI-CO, NCEI-NC, NCEI-MS, World Data Center (WDC) facility, Other, To Be Determined, Unable to Archive, or No Archiving Intended)

8.1.1. If World Data Center or Other, specify:

8.1.2. If To Be Determined, Unable to Archive or No Archiving Intended, explain:

8.2. Data storage facility prior to being sent to an archive facility (if any):

Office of Response and Restoration - Seattle, WA

8.3. Approximate delay between data collection and submission to an archive facility:

8.4. How will the data be protected from accidental or malicious modification or deletion prior to receipt by the archive?

Discuss data back-up, disaster recovery/contingency planning, and off-site data storage relevant to the data collection

9. Additional Line Office or Staff Office Questions

Line and Staff Offices may extend this template by inserting additional questions in this section.