

REPORT TO CONGRESS

MARINE RECREATIONAL INFORMATION PROGRAM: RESPONSE TO NATIONAL ACADEMIES OF SCIENCES, ENGINEERING, AND MEDICINE 2017 RECOMMENDATIONS

Developed pursuant to: The Modernizing Recreational Fisheries Management Act of 2018 (Public Law 115-405)

Janet Coit Assistant Administrator for Fisheries National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

Dr. Richard W. Spinrad Under Secretary of Commerce for Oceans and Atmosphere and NOAA Administrator

THE MODERNIZING RECREATIONAL FISHERIES MANAGEMENT ACT OF 2018, PUBLIC LAW 115-405, INCLUDED THE FOLLOWING LANGUAGE

Section 201(b). NAS REPORT RECOMMENDATIONS.

The Secretary of Commerce shall take into consideration and, to the extent feasible, implement the recommendations of the National Academy of Sciences in the report entitled "Review of the Marine Recreational Information Program (2017)," and shall submit, every 2 years following the date of enactment of this Act, a report to the appropriate committees of Congress detailing progress made implementing those recommendations...

THIS REPORT RESPONDS TO THE ABOVE REPORT REQUIREMENT IN THE ACT AND TO THE COMMITTEE'S REQUEST.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page
I.	Executive Summary	5
II.	2017 Review of the MRIP by NASEM	5
III.	NMFS Response to the NASEM Recommendations	7
Appendix A: 2017 NASEM Recommendations and NMFS Actions Taken in Response		8

I. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

In 2015, the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration's (NOAA) National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) contracted with the National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine (NASEM) to undertake a critical, independent review of its Marine Recreational Information Program (MRIP), the national Federal-regional-state partnership program that collects data for determining the catch of marine recreational fisheries. In 2017, NASEM issued its report, concluding that NOAA made substantial progress in improving survey and estimation methods and including 28 recommendations for further improvements. NOAA has made significant progress in addressing those recommendations, which was first reported to Congress on October 20, 2021. Further progress through December 2022 is detailed in this report.

II. 2017 REVIEW OF THE MRIP BY NASEM

NASEM was contracted by NMFS in 2015 to undertake a critical, independent, and comprehensive follow-up review to its 2006¹ independent, expert analysis of the agency's saltwater recreational information collection efforts. The Statement of Task for the review, initiated in 2015, included the following:

"An ad hoc NRC [National Research Council] committee will assess progress in updating marine recreational fisheries data collection through the Marine Recreational Information Program (MRIP) referencing the recommendations in the 2006 NRC report Review of Recreational Fisheries Survey Methods. Based on this assessment, the committee will identify potential areas for improvements or changes of direction that would substantially increase data quality for fisheries management, taking into consideration potential loss of information from disruption of the time series. The committee's report will:

- 1. Describe the approach and effectiveness of steps taken by NOAA Fisheries to improve the quality and accuracy of marine recreational fisheries catch, effort, and participation statistics (in response to NRC 2006), including, but not limited to:
 - a. Establishing registries of anglers and for-hire vessels and using the registries appropriately as sample frames for recreational catch and effort surveys;
 - b. Improving the effectiveness and appropriateness of sampling and estimation procedures, applicability to various kinds of management decisions, and usefulness for social and economic analyses; and
 - c. Providing for ongoing technical evaluation and modification, as needed to meet emerging management needs and changes in communication technologies (e.g., smartphone apps, internet-based social networking).

¹ Committee on the Review of Recreational Fisheries Survey Methods, Ocean Studies Board, Division of Earth and Life Studies, National Research Council of the National Academies. *Review of Recreational Fisheries Survey Methods*. 2006. The National Academies Press. 187+ xiv pp.

- 2. Assess the strength of the scientific process, including the engagement of external scientific and technical expertise, used by NOAA Fisheries in developing, testing, reviewing, and certifying new sampling and estimation procedures.
- 3. Evaluate the communication of information on survey method development, survey method descriptions, and survey results to stakeholders and evaluate application of stakeholder input in the design and implementation of new sampling and estimation procedures. Stakeholders include at least three distinct sub-groups (with some overlap among them):
 - a. Data collection partners, such as the Atlantic Coast Cooperative Statistics Program (ACCSP) and the Fishery Information Networks (FINs);
 - b. Data customers (parties that use NOAA Fisheries data for stock assessments, management actions, and social and economic studies);
 - c. Entities affected by the estimates (anglers and recreational fishing businesses, commercial fisheries, non-consumptive users, etc.).
- 4. Determine if the degree of coordination among Federal, state, and territorial survey programs is sufficient to provide a clear, national perspective on marine recreational fisheries; and,
- 5. Evaluate plans for maintaining continuity of data series to minimize disruption of management programs and stock assessments. This will include evaluation of the strategy for moving from the phone-based survey to a mail and web-based survey as a means to estimate fishing effort."

The Committee completed its review and released its findings and recommendations in a final report² issued in January 2017. The report included 28 recommendations in six topic areas, including: Sampling and Statistical Estimation for the Fishing Effort Survey; Sampling and Statistical Estimation for the Angler Intercept Survey; Framework for Continued Scientific Evaluation, Review, and Certification; Degree of Coordination; Communication and Outreach with Stakeholders; and Plans for Maintaining Continuity.

The principal conclusions and recommendations of the report can be summarized as follows:

- NASEM recognized that MRIP has greatly enhanced staff expertise and appropriately engaged external experts to facilitate development of survey design improvements by NMFS and its partners.
- Among other things, NASEM commended the agency for:
 - Improving the statistical soundness of survey designs by reducing sources of bias and increasing sampling efficiency;
 - Making significant advances in improving its communications and outreach strategy in the past 10 years, particularly with the program's website and its communications with the fishery commissions and state resource agencies; and

² Committee on the Review of the Marine Recreational Information Program, Ocean Studies Board, Division of Earth and Life Studies, the National Academies of Science, Engineering and Medicine. *Review of the Marine Recreational Information Program.* 2017. The National Academies Press. 185+ xii pp.

- Making progress evaluating and testing new technologies (such as smartphones and tablets) as a way to implement electronic reporting, avoid or decrease data transcription errors, and increase timeliness and reliability of recreational fisheries data collection.
- The review noted that current methods used in the Access Point Angler Intercept Survey (APAIS) are a vast improvement over the previous sampling and estimation procedures and reflect state-of-the-art methods in survey sampling.
- The methodologies associated with the current Fishing Effort Survey, including the address-based sampling mail survey design, are major improvements from the original Coastal Household Telephone Survey that used random-digit-dialing to contact anglers. This is a reflection of the immense effort on behalf of agency staff, contractors, and consultants.
- NASEM proposed 28 recommendations for further improvements to the survey and estimation methods, stakeholder communications, and program support and coordination with partners.

III. NMFS RESPONSE TO THE NASEM RECOMMENDATIONS

NMFS has undertaken numerous studies and program initiatives to address the NASEM recommendations. Appendix A provides an update as of December 2022 to the previously reported complete description of the status and actions taken (in progress) and planned for each of the 28 recommendations. As indicated therein, each of the recommendations is being actively addressed as of December 2022.

Appendix A: 2017 NASEM Recommendations and NOAA Fisheries Actions Taken in Response

December, 2022

NAS Recommendation	3.1 Recommendation: NOAA Fisheries should continue to evaluate the cognitive properties of a two-month recall period to confirm or update research on this topic conducted in the 1970s.
Projects/Actions Completed and Dates	 Completed "A Comparison of Recall Error in Recreational Fisheries Surveys with One- and Two-Month Reference Periods" (2018).
Projects/Actions in Progress/Planned and Dates	
Comments	1. Manuscript published in North American Journal of Fisheries Management, November 2018. Fishing Effort Survey (FES) estimates were not significantly different from estimates derived from one-month waves. However, estimates based upon a single month may be susceptible to telescoping and over-reporting of fishing activity.

NAS Recommendation	3.2 Recommendation: NOAA Fisheries should consider evaluating a prospective data collection methodology, such as asking people in advance to document fishing trips planned over the next two months, to reduce concerns about angler recall.
Projects/Actions Completed and Dates	 Completed "Testing a New Approach to Recreational Saltwater Fishing Participation Estimation" (October 2016).
Projects/Actions in Progress/Planned and Dates	

Comments	Team Responsible: RET
	 Results from a participation study found no significant differences between longitudinal and cross-sectional estimates of annual participation.
	2. As noted in the RET Review of the iAngler and iSnapper Reporting Programs, panel designs have a unique set of challenges including attrition and panel conditioning. In that report, the RET recommended that, "considering the positive aspects of the FES design an extensive evaluation of alternative methods for estimating general shore and private boat fishing effort is not recommended." The RET further suggested "that MRIP limit consideration of panel designs to specific research questions for which panel designs are uniquely suited."
	 3. The RET does not recommend further evaluation of prospective/panel designs for estimating shore and private boat fishing effort. 4. Panel/prospective designs may be appropriate for evaluating specific research questions.

NAS Recommendation	3.3 Recommendation: NOAA Fisheries should consider conducting targeted annual nonresponse studies as a standard component of MRIP. The purpose of these studies would be to continually monitor correlates of nonresponse and nonresponse bias in an effort to control its damaging effects on data quality.
Projects/Actions Completed and Dates	 Completed nonresponse follow-up study during FES field testing (2013). Completed Evaluating Nonresponse Bias in the MRIP Fishing Effort Survey.³
Projects/Actions in Progress/Planned and Dates	

-

³ https://apps-st.fisheries.noaa.gov/pims/main/public?method=DOWNLOAD_FR_DATA&record_id=2018

Comments	Team Responsible: RET
	 FES nonresponse follow-up studies found no significant differences in fishing activity between FES and nonresponse samples. Evaluation of nonresponse bias should be operationalized in all MRIP surveys through administration of regular follow-up studies, modeling, and sample weighting approaches. Weighting adjustments in the FES reduce bias resulting from nonresponse.

NAS Recommendation	3.4 Recommendation: As recommended in the 2006 report, NOAA Fisheries is encouraged to continue research on survey panels, where a portion of the sampled households is retained for one or more interviews, for the Fishing Effort Survey alone, or for an effort-catch combined study. The purpose of the survey panel would be to assess trends and any anomalies in those trends, to assess any improvements in data collection efficiency through increased participation, and possibly to lower measurement error associated with, for example, trip recall with a more engaged sample of anglers.
Projects/Actions Completed and Dates	
Projects/Actions in Progress/Planned and Dates	
Comments	Team Responsible: RET
	See comments for 3.2.

NAS Recommendation	3.5 Recommendation: NOAA Fisheries should evaluate the benefits of collaboration with another Federal survey (e.g., the American Time Use Survey) to include items related to fishing effort. These external estimates could provide corroboration of the fishing effort estimates and possibly provide useful variables for an enhanced Fishing Effort Survey weight calibration model to address sampling and non-sampling biases.
--------------------	---

Projects/Actions Completed and Dates	 Estimates from the American Community Survey and Current Population Survey are used as population control totals in the FES weighting calibration model (2017). Utilized co-indicators of fishing effort (e.g., boat registrations, rod and reel imports, charter boat effort, gasoline sales) to model post-recession (2010-2017) CHTS effort.
Projects/Actions in Progress/Planned and Dates	
Comments	 Weighting calibration, specifically raking, ensures that FES samples are representative of the household population with respect to demographic variables included in the calibration model. Effort covariates were collected from a variety of sources, including the for-hire survey, the Marine Manufacturers Association, the U.S. Energy Information Administration, and the U.S. International Trade Commission. In contrast to CHTS estimates, which declined steadily following the mid-2000s recession, effort covariates all recovered to pre-recession levels following a brief decline.

NAS Recommendation	3.6 Recommendation: As recommended in the 2006 report, electronic data collection should be evaluated further as an option for the Fishing Effort Survey, including smartphone apps, electronic diaries for prospective data collection, and a web option for all or just panel
	members.

Projects/Actions Completed and Dates	 iAngler project (2018). iSnapper project (2018). Commissioned "Review of Options for Electronic Reporting in Survey Research Applied to Estimating Fishing Effort"—Brick report (August 2018). Completed Marine Recreational Information Program Research and Evaluation Team Review of the iAngler and iSnapper Reporting Programs (March 2019). Completed a test of a web-push design for the FES (June 2020). Published A Review of Nonprobability Sampling Using Mobile Apps for Fishing Effort and Catch Surveys.⁴
Projects/Actions in Progress/Planned and Dates	
Comments	Team Responsible: RET
	 The web-push design resulted in response rates that were 7-11 percentage points lower than FES response rates. In addition to increasing the risk for nonresponse bias, lower response rates would increase data collection costs by approximately 15 percent on a per-complete basis. The web-push and FES designs were approximately equal in terms of data editing rates, while the web-push design had a longer median response time than the FES. At present, the current FES design is more cost effective and provides more timely survey results than the web-push design. Data derived from nonprobability samples, such as those obtained from angler apps, are likely to be highly biased and should not be used for population inference.

NAS Recommendation	3.7 Recommendation: Current or augmented variables on the address-based sampling frame should be evaluated to improve the efficiency of the Fishing Effort Survey weighting methodology.
Projects/Actions Completed and Dates	 Augment FES sample frame with fishing license data (2015). Augment FES sample with boat registration data (2018).

 $^4\ \underline{https://afspubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/epdf/10.1002/tafs.10342?af=R$

Projects/Actions in Progress/Planned and Dates	 We are currently evaluating the FES sampling and estimation designs to identify opportunities to improve sampling efficiency.
Comments	1. Fishing license status is used as a stratification variable, and both license status and boat registration are used in non-response weighting adjustments. We will continue to explore additional opportunities to incorporate auxiliary variables in sampling, weighting, and estimation designs.

NAS Recommendation	3.8 Recommendation: Other variance estimation methods should be evaluated for fishing effort estimates to account for weight adjustments, especially those associated with nonresponse. These include replication methods and the so-called reverse approach.
Projects/Actions Completed and Dates	
Projects/Actions in Progress/Planned and Dates	A project involving variance estimation procedures was initiated in 2020. The first phase is working to develop replication-based methods for use with the MRIP survey public-use datasets. Later phases will look at variance estimation methods in the standard estimation procedures.
Comments	1. MRIP will continue to evaluate alternative estimation methods for all MRIP surveys.

NAS Recommendation	4.1 Recommendation: The appropriateness of probability proportional-to-size sampling should be evaluated, and alternative sampling designs should be considered if needed. For example, with a stratified design (based on the site pressure as a stratification variable), one may avoid very small selection probabilities, which in turn, may lead to more stable estimates. Otherwise, methods dealing with influential values should be considered. These methods include weight smoothing (Beaumont, 2008) and weight trimming procedures (Potter, 1990).
Projects/Actions Completed and Dates	 Apply post-stratification of primary stage weights based on counts of PSUs from sample frame (2013). Apply NAEP weight trimming procedure to final stage weights to minimize impact of influential weights (2014).
Projects/Actions in Progress/Planned and Dates	
Comments	Team Responsible: RET 1. We will continue to evaluate sampling designs and weight adjustment procedures.

NAS Recommendation	4.2 Recommendation: For data users requiring domain estimates at a fine level, design-based estimators tend to exhibit very large variances. To address this, small area estimation procedures should be investigated for obtaining estimates for small domains.
Projects/Actions Completed and Dates	 MRIP statistical consultants completed an analysis of several moving average multi-year data aggregation approaches to improving estimation for rare-event species in 2020.
Projects/Actions in Progress/Planned and Dates	The rare-event species project will be focused on next steps based on reported findings by the MRIP statistical consultants.

Comments	Team Responsible: RET
	 MRIP can develop a framework for small-area estimations to support customers of survey data. However, one model will not fit all uses. Rare-event species project was initiated to address imprecise estimates for species rarely encountered in the APAIS.

NAS Recommendation	4.3 Recommendation: NOAA Fisheries should conduct pilot studies to determine the optimal method for collecting accurate information on total catch differences between public and private access points. For example, NOAA Fisheries could add a question to the Fishing Effort Survey questionnaire to ask whether the anglers have used a private site or a public-access site. Geographic maps used to identify public-access points within the state (see Chapter 3) could help distinguish public from private sites.
Projects/Actions Completed and Dates	 FES follow-up study (2016). Completed Recreational Fishing Boat Survey (2021).
Projects/Actions in Progress/Planned and Dates	
Comments	 Team Responsible: RET FES follow-up study: data were collected via follow-up mail and online survey. Response rates were low and sample sizes were too small to evaluate non-coverage error in APAIS. The survey utilized the FES sampling design and will estimate the distribution of boat trips by access type, fishing area, and boat type. Estimates derived from the RBFS demonstrated that APAIS coverage error in AL, FL, and MD ranged from approximately 30-70% for private boat fishing. However, differences in area fished distributions between public- (covered) and private-access (not covered) trips were not significant, suggesting that coverage error in the APAIS does not result in large biases for area fished distributions.

NAS Recommendation	4.4 Recommendation: Interviewers administering the APAIS should attempt to collect some paradata, to help in reducing the potential bias due to missing interview data.
Projects/Actions Completed and Dates	APAIS implementation of Computer Assisted Personal Interviewing (CAPI) (2019).
Projects/Actions in Progress/Planned and Dates	1. CAPI to be extended to Hawaii in 2023
Comments	 APAIS replaced paper forms with CAPI, which will facilitate questionnaire changes and the collection of additional data, including paradata. Tablets currently collect paradata including GPS location, start/stop times, and interview duration. CAPI will be implemented for Gulf coast states in 2021.

NAS Recommendation	4.5 Recommendation: There is a growing interest from anglers to report their catches electronically (use of tablets and smartphones). NOAA Fisheries should conduct a study for comparing anglers reporting catch using an app with anglers reporting catch through a traditional interview.
Projects/Actions	1. iAngler (2018).
Completed and Dates	 iSnapper (2018). RET Review of the iAngler and iSnapper Reporting Programs (March 2019). Snapper Check (2018). Tails n' Scales (2018). Participated in AFS symposium and panel discussion examining challenges and solutions for citizen science in fisheries.
Projects/Actions in Progress/Planned and Dates	

Comments	Team Responsible: RET
	 The RET review cited in #3 of the Recommendation 4.5 Project/Actions Completed and Dates documents benefits and limitations of smartphone applications for collecting recreational fishing data. In summary, non-probability designs, including optin angler apps, are not recommended for estimating population statistics such as total fishing effort, catch, or catch-per-trip. Snapper Check and Tails n' Scales include electronic reporting and validation via dockside sampling. Previous and existing citizen science angling applications (angler apps) have achieved low participation and retention rates suggesting that the perception of angler interest is overstated.

NAS Recommendation	4.6 Recommendation: MRIP should develop and incorporate validation programs for the estimation of the numbers of fish discarded at sea by recreational anglers. These efforts should integrate with other NOAA Fisheries initiatives concerning estimation of discard mortality.
Projects/Actions Completed and Dates	1. Recreational Released Catch Workshop (2017). ⁵
Projects/Actions in Progress/Planned and Dates	 ACCSP's Recreational Technical Committee is developing a proposal to pilot test a new method to estimate the numbers of fish discarded at sea by recreational anglers. Proposal is scheduled to be completed in June 2023 with sampling potential in 2024.
Comments	1. MRIP, ACCSP, and GulfFIN held a collaborative workshop to identify methods to validate self-reported discard data. The workshop reaffirmed the importance of monitoring discards as well as challenges.

⁵ www.gsmfc.org/pubs/fin/released_catch_workshop/fin-rew.php, Recreational Released Catch Workshop, Nov. 6-7, 2017, Gulf States Marine Fisheries Commission, Number 275.

NAS Recommendation	4.7 Recommendation: MRIP should expand this program to cover the majority of the large charter and for-hire fleets, through outreach training in electronic logbook use, and implementation of software to run off standard tablets or smartphones.
Projects/Actions Completed and Dates	 Implement Pilot Study to Test the Feasibility of Logbook Reporting in Gulf of Mexico (2013). Use of APAIS Intercepts to Validate Logbooks and Calculate Combined Estimates of Catch—SC for-hire logbook validation (March 2018). For-Hire Workshop (2019). ACCSP is developing a For Hire Comprehensive Program and has submitted an initial certification package to MRIP in Aug 2022
Projects/Actions in Progress/Planned and Dates	 MRIP is supporting development and the 2021 implementation of Southeast For-Hire Electronic Reporting program run by the NOAA Fisheries Southeast Regional Office.
Comments	Teams Responsible: RET, SOT

NAS Recommendation	4.8 Recommendation: MRIP should invest some time and effort in providing and organizing up-to-date documentation, describing in detail each step of both the Fishing Effort Survey and Access Point Angler Intercept Survey methodologies and any changes that are made to them.
Projects/Actions Completed and Dates	 "MRIP Survey Design and Statistical Methods for Estimation of Recreational Fisheries Catch and Effort" (published in 2018, last updated in June 2022). 2019 APAIS Procedures Manual (annually, beginning in 2019). Fishing Effort Survey 2020 Annual Report (2019, 2020, 2021). Data User Handbook (2021).

www.fisheries.noaa.gov/resource/document/mrip-survey-design-and-statistical-methods
 www.fisheries.noaa.gov/resource/document/annual-report-fishing-effort-survey
 https://media.fisheries.noaa.gov/2022-06/MRIP-Data-User-Handbook-Updated-2022-06-21.pdf

Projects/Actions in Progress/Planned and Dates	 Established the Recreational Fishing Survey and Data Standards⁹ (2020) and developed Recreational Fishing Survey and Data Standards Documentation Guidance¹⁰ (2022). Data User Seminar Series (2021-2022).¹¹
Comments	Team Responsible: SOT
	1. Survey documentation is available on the MRIP website.

NAS Recommendation	5.1 Recommendation: MRIP should develop a strategy to better articulate the complexities, costs, and timelines needed for implementation of new and emerging technologies in recreational fisheries data collection and monitoring. This communication strategy should focus not only on regional partners but also address questions and concerns expressed by private anglers and for-hire operators. It should involve both the MRIP communications team and the NOAA Fisheries Office of Communications
	communications team and the NOAA Fisheries Office of Communications.

 $^{^9\,}www.fisheries.noaa.gov/recreational-fishing-data/recreational-fishing-survey-and-data-standards\\ ^{10}\,\underline{https://media.fisheries.noaa.gov/2022-04/MRIP-Survey-and-Data-Standards-Documentation-Guidance-2022-04-data-standards-dat$

^{01.}pdf

www.fisheries.noaa.gov/recreational-fishing-data/outreach-and-education-marine-recreational-information-program#data-user-seminar-series

Projects/Actions Completed and Dates

- 1. Developed a poster that was prominently displayed at the fourth National Saltwater Recreational Fisheries Summit (March 2018).
- 2. Added a page on the Electronic Reporting Action Plan to the new MRIP brochure and incorporated information on the plan in presentations to a variety of partners and stakeholders.
- 3. Published "Developing For-Hire Electronic Logbooks: The MRIP Road Map," a fact sheet that describes the program's efforts to replace the random sampling of charter vessels with a complete census of all for-hire trips, as reported by vessel operators through electronic logbooks (April 2019).
- 4. Published "Electronic Reporting At-a-Glance," a web page that describes the program's efforts to advance the use of electronic technologies and outlines the benefits and limitations of using electronic technologies to collect data from private anglers. Worked with the NOAA Fisheries Office of Communications to promote this web page during a National Fishing and Boating Week social media campaign (April-June 2019).
- Executed communications around "Electronic Reporting Options for the Marine Recreational Information Program's Fishing Effort Survey," a report to Congress that described the program's work to explore the suitability of electronic data collection as a supplement to recreational fishing surveys (July 2019).
- Executed communications around "Marine Recreational Information Program, Research and Evaluation Team Review of the iAngler and iSnapper Reporting Programs," a report that evaluates the role of opt-in angler reporting apps in collecting recreational fishing data (Oct. 2019).
- 7. Created a regional rack card that answers frequently asked questions about the Southeast For-Hire Electronic Reporting Program (Aug. 2020).
- Executed communications around the program's work to evaluate an online reporting option for the mail Fishing Effort Survey (2022).
- 9. Provided the Marine Fisheries Advisory Committee (MAFAC) Electronic Reporting Task Force with information about the state of science, research, and analyses related to private angler electronic reporting to ensure the Task Force's recommendations reflect what is known about the complexities, costs, and timelines associated with implementing new and emerging electronic reporting technologies (2021-2022).

¹² Marine Recreational Information Program Research, <u>www.fisheries.noaa.gov/recreational-fishing-data/marine-recreational-information-program-research</u>

10. Conducted outreach to charter and guide operators in Alaska around eLogBook requirements, including "how-to" videos and demonstrations at industry meetings. Improve understanding and use of eLogBooks, especially where use will be mandatory to provide Chinook salmon harvest data (2021-2022).

Projects/Actions in Progress/Planned and Dates	1. Continue to conduct outreach to for-hire owners and operators in the Southeast regarding the implementation and transition to new Federal for-hire electronic reporting requirements (In Progress).
Comments	Teams Responsible: Program Management Team (PMT), Communications and Education Team (CET)

NAS Recommendation	6.1 Recommendation: Evaluate whether the design of MRIP for the purposes of stock assessment and the determination of stock management reference points is compatible with the needs of in-season management of annual catch limits. If these needs are incompatible, the evaluation should determine an alternative method for in-season management.
Projects/Actions Completed and Dates	1. This recommendation was adopted as a required agency action in section 202 of the Modernizing Recreational Fisheries Management Act (MFA). In September, 2019, NOAA Fisheries contracted with NASEM to conduct a review to "evaluate, and report how the design of MRIP, for the purposes of stock assessment and the determination of stock management reference points, can be improved to better meet the needs of in-season management of annual catch, and what actions the Secretary, Councils, and States could take to improve the accuracy and timeliness of data collection and analysis to improve the Marine Recreational Information Program and facilitate in-season management."

	 NASEM completed its study on in-season management titled Data and Management Strategies for Recreational Fisheries with Annual Catch Limits (Dec. 2021). 13 NOAA Fisheries has completed and submitted a Report to Congress including its responses to the conclusions and recommendations in the NASEM 2021 Study Report Data and
	Management Strategies for Recreational Fisheries with Annual Catch Limits) (Date to be added).
Projects/Actions in Progress/Planned and Dates	 The agency developed a roll-out plan for publication of NOAA Fisheries' Report to Congress in response to National Academies in-season study. Will execute the roll-out plan upon transmission to Congress (In Progress).
Comments	Team Responsible: PMT (with Office of Sustainable Fisheries (OSF))

NAS Recommendation	6.2 Recommendation: MRIP should continue and expand the investments made in coordination, financial, logistical, and technical support with regional Interstate Marine Fisheries Commissions and state partners.
Projects/Actions Completed and Dates	 MRIP Strategic Plan Goals 5 (Operate Collaboratively) and 6 (Meet Program Resources and Funding Needs) establish a comprehensive suite of objectives and tactics related to this recommendation. The implementation of actions to address the relevant tactics are included in MRIP Annual Implementation Plans. In fiscal year (FY) 2020, Congress directed NOAA Fisheries to provide not less than \$3.0 million of appropriated funds, within funds available, to support collaborative programs focused on improving states' recreational fishery data collection, as articulated in sections 201 and 202 of Public Law 115-405 (the MFA). Pursuant to the requirements of section 202 of the MFA, MRIP completed a Plan for State Partnerships¹⁴ in August, 2021, in

¹³ www.nationalacademies.org/our-work/data-and-management-strategies-for-recreational-fisheries-with-annual-catch-limits
14 www.fisheries.noaa.gov/resource/document/mrip-plan-state-partnerships

Projects/Actions in Progress/Planned and Dates 1. In FY20, NOAA Fisheries executed a \$3.0 million Modern Fish Act investment plan that is responsive to state priorities identified in MRIP Regional Implementation Plans and that addresses Senate priorities including support for state angler ER initiatives. Funds were allocated to states through direct cooperative agreements with the regional Fishery Information Networks (ACCSP), Gulffin, and Pacific RecFin. Increasing sample sizes in ongoing surveys to improve the precision of catch estimates for ACL-managed fisheries was identified as a high priority in each of the regional plans. In addition, support for specialized state surveys that provide options for electronic reporting by private anglers was a high priority in the Gulf plan. This increase in MRIP funding to states has been maintained annually since FY20 and is now incorporated in the base annual Spend Plan for MRIP. Funds are allocated regionally as follows: 1.1. Atlantic: \$0.9 million to improve precision of estimates in targeted fisheries—supports highest priority in regional plan. 1.2. Gulf of Mexico: \$1.2 million to restore base APAIS sample sizes and support state specialized survey programs—supports highest priorities in regional plan: 1.2.1. \$0.9 million to restore base APAIS and supplement LA Creel.	
investment plan that is responsive to state priorities identified in MRIP Regional Implementation Plans and that addresses Senate priorities including support for state angler ER initiatives. Funds were allocated to states through direct cooperative agreements with the regional Fishery Information Networks (ACCSP), GulfFIN, and Pacific RecFIN. Increasing sample sizes in ongoing surveys to improve the precision of catch estimates for ACL-managed fisheries was identified as a high priority in each of the regional plans. In addition, support for specialized state surveys that provide options for electronic reporting by private anglers was a high priority in the Gulf plan. This increase in MRIP funding to states has been maintained annually since FY20 and is now incorporated in the base annual Spend Plan for MRIP. Funds are allocated regionally as follows: 1.1. Atlantic: \$0.9 million to improve precision of estimates in targeted fisheries—supports highest priority in regional plan. 1.2. Gulf of Mexico: \$1.2 million to restore base APAIS sample sizes and support state specialized survey programs—supports highest priorities in regional plan: 1.2.1. \$0.9 million to restore base APAIS and supplement LA Creel.	
survey programs that provide angler ER options (GRFS, Snapper Check, Tails n' Scales) in Florida, Alabama, and Mississippi. 1.3. Pacific: \$0.9 million to restore base sample sizes for state programs—supports highest priority in regional plan. 2. FINs have initiated monitoring of estimate precision for4-6 species of interest in an effort to evaluate the impacts of increased funding to reduce PSE.	investment plan that is responsive to state priorities identified in MRIP Regional Implementation Plans and that addresses Senate priorities including support for state angler ER initiatives. Funds were allocated to states through direct cooperative agreements with the regional Fishery Information Networks (ACCSP), GulfFIN, and Pacific RecFIN. Increasing sample sizes in ongoing surveys to improve the precision of catch estimates for ACL-managed fisheries was identified as a high priority in each of the regional plans. In addition, support for specialized state surveys that provide options for electronic reporting by private anglers was a high priority in the Gulf plan. This increase in MRIP funding to states has been maintained annually since FY20 and is now incorporated in the base annual Spend Plan for MRIP. Funds are allocated regionally as follows: 1.1. Atlantic: \$0.9 million to improve precision of estimates in targeted fisheries—supports highest priority in regional plan. 1.2. Gulf of Mexico: \$1.2 million to restore base APAIS sample sizes and support state specialized survey programs—supports highest priorities in regional plan: 1.2.1. \$0.9 million to restore base APAIS and supplement LA Creel. 1.2.2. \$0.3 million to support specialized state survey programs that provide angler ER options (GRFS, Snapper Check, Tails n' Scales) in Florida, Alabama, and Mississippi. 1.3. Pacific: \$0.9 million to restore base sample sizes for state programs—supports highest priority in regional plan. 2. FINs have initiated monitoring of estimate precision for4-6 species of interest in an effort to evaluate

Comments	Teams Responsible: PMT, Regional Implementation Council (RIC)

NAS Recommendation	6.3 Recommendation: MRIP should continue to support effective communication and coordination with Pacific coast states. Coordination should be focused not only on continuing the logistical and technical support needed for survey improvements and subsequent MRIP certification, but also to better articulate the benefits of a flexible regional approach to data collection, and interstate survey coordination for broad-scale stock assessment and fisheries management.
Projects/Actions Completed and Dates	 The Pacific RecFIN Regional Implementation Plan was completed and approved by MRIP in 2020. This plan will become the basis of future MRIP funding and technical support for the Pacific coast states recreational surveys (see 6.2, for example).
Projects/Actions in Progress/Planned and Dates	MRIP is working with the RecFIN members to complete certification of the states' RecFIN surveys in FY23.
Comments	Teams Responsible: PMT, Pacific Regional Implementation Team (RIT)

NAS Recommendation	6.4 Recommendation: MRIP should increase efforts to clearly articulate to regional and state partners, as well as anglers and other user groups, the meaning, significance, and importance of the current approach used to implement its national perspective on recreational fishing surveys. MRIP should also be clear that this national approach incorporates the appropriate amount of flexibility required to meet unique regional and state needs. The benefits of a cohesive, integrated, and statistically robust recreational fisheries survey framework to stock assessments and regional fisheries management should be made clear.
Projects/Actions Completed and Dates	 Audited the content of 30+ MRIP web pages, updating content and improving information architecture to make the website more useful and usable for target audiences (Feb. 2019). Began regular assessments of website analytics to improve understanding of acquisition channels, user characteristics, and visitor behavior (Feb. 2019).

- 3. Published brochure to explain how the program collects recreational fishing data and calculates catch and effort estimates (March 2019).
- 4. Supported FES Response Team, producing communications materials to address questions and concerns about estimates produced by the FES (March 2019 to present).
- Revised "MRIP 101" presentation delivered at council member trainings, Marine Resource Education Program sessions, and other venues (October 2019).
- 6. Published a web story and e-newsletter on the Pacific Islands Region evaluating improvements to Hawaii's Marine Recreational Fishing Survey (April 2020).
- 7. Provided educational briefing to Sea Grant outreach staff (June 2020).
- 8. Collaborated with Mid-Atlantic Fishery Management Council staff on an MRIP web page for the council's website (Aug. 2020).
- 9. Collaborated with GARFO port agents to develop FY21 MRIP outreach plan (Oct. 2020).
- 10. Produced infographic illustrating the role of regional for-hire data collection programs in supporting science and management (June 2021).
- 11. Launched "Ask MRIP" blog series that answers questions about the science and statistics that support sustainable fishing, and the key roles state and regional partners play in the process (Sept. 2021 present).
- 12. Provided support for a data user seminar series to provide regional stock assessors, fisheries analysts, and other recreational data users with best practices for accessing, analyzing, and using the program's recreational fishing data (Oct. 2021 March 2022).
- 13. Developed "From an Interview to an Estimate" presentation, video recording, and e-newsletter targeted at partners, customers, and stakeholders including recreational anglers. These materials explain how the agency estimates recreational catch per angler trip, number of angler trips, and total catch, including key concepts such as statistical weighting and precision (Oct. 2021).
- 14. Collaborated with partners from the Delaware Division of Fish and Wildlife to develop a state-specific for-hire rack card and letter encouraging captains to participate in the For-Hire Survey and to ask their clients to participate in the Access Point Angler Intercept Survey (Dec. 2021).
- 15. Created a statistical calibration overview webpage to explain the complex process of calibrating different surveys into a common currency (Dec. 2021).

16. Created Marine Recreational Fishing Expenditure Survey FAQs and for-hire rack card to allay for-hire captains' concerns about their clients sharing economic information (Jan. 2022). 17. Created and posted on MRIP website a survey coverage map to illustrate the numerous Federal, regional, and state data collection programs that support estimation of recreational fishing catch and effort (January 2022). 18. Formed the inter-organizational Gulf Transition Communications Working Group (consisting of state, council, and commission representatives) to support implementation of the Gulf State Surveys Transition Plan and ensure partners and stakeholders are aware of progress towards key milestones (Ongoing). 19. Wrote and published web story and e-newsletter on the outcomes of the February 2022 Gulf State Recreational Catch and Effort Surveys Transition Workshop, a precursor to the development of the Transition Plan for Gulf State Recreational Fishing Surveys (March 2022). 20. In collaboration with state and regional partners, developed fish ruler stickers to be used by (a) state field interviewers to increase MRIP survey participation and (b) as outreach materials at stakeholder events including fishing expos (July 2022). 21. Collaborated with Atlantic Coastal Cooperative Statistics Program staff on a dedicated MRIP page for the organization's website (August 2022). 22. Developed "Your Fishing Counts" video that provides an overview of state, regional, and Federal partners' work to collect recreational fishing data and produce the recreational catch statistics that help NOAA Fisheries assess and maintain sustainable U.S. fish stocks (Sept. 2022). 23. Work with Commissions to update website information related to MRIP (2022-2023) **Projects/Actions in** 1. As part of the MRIP Listening Tour in the mid-Atlantic, South **Progress/Planned and Dates** Atlantic, and Gulf, evaluate stakeholder understanding of MRIP and adjust communications strategies, messaging, and materials, as needed (Ongoing). 2. Continue to support FES communications by addressing questions and concerns about FES estimates (Ongoing). 3. Support staff attendance at fishery management council, scientific and statistical committee, and marine fisheries commission meetings, ensuring presentation requests are met (Ongoing). 4. Support the roll-out of NOAA Fisheries' Report to Congress in Response to the NASEM In-season Management Study. Produce a web feature, MRIP Newscast, and FishNews blurb (In Progress).

- Create interactive survey coverage map to illustrate the numerous Federal, regional, and state data collection programs that support estimation of recreational fishing catch and effort (In Progress).
- Produce "Day in the Life of a Headboat Sampler" web feature to illustrate the role state field interviewers play in the data collection process (In Progress).
- 7. Table at saltwater fishing expos, using the venues as a way to meet and build relationships with tackle shop owners, influencers, and other key stakeholders (Ongoing).
- Continue to support the phased implementation of MRIP Survey and Data Standards to guide the design, improvement, and quality of the information produced by the recreational fishing surveys that are administered or funded through the MRIP (Ongoing).
- Maintain content, regularly assess analytics, and provide opportunities for feedback to ensure our website, e-newsletter, and other digital communications channels are effective components of our communications and outreach efforts (Ongoing).
- Maintain active engagement in angler education programs, including the Marine Resource Education Program and Introductory Fisheries Science for Stakeholders (Ongoing).
- 11. In conjunction with the regional communications working groups, collaborate with Regional Implementation Teams to meet the communications and outreach needs identified in the Regional Implementation Plans (e.g., Alaska, Atlantic, Atlantic HMS, Gulf) (Ongoing).
- 12. Continue work to make complex information accessible by creating additional infographics on topics such as data quality assurance/quality control and MRIP's role in fisheries science and management (Ongoing).
- 13. Working with the Office of Legislative and Intergovernmental Affairs, support the engagement of congressional staff through all-interested and/or targeted briefings about recreational saltwater fisheries data collection; national and regional programmatic priorities; implementation plans; and other related actions or issues (Ongoing).
- 14. Conduct Customer Needs and Satisfaction Assessments to evaluate results against 2020 benchmarks and respond to new findings (2023-2024).
- 15. Expand work to include recreational fishing data-related content to state websites, state fishing guides, and other state fishing publications such as newsletters and magazines (Ongoing).

	16. Host MRIP 101 sessions with regional fishery management council and interstate marine fisheries commission members and staff; nongovernmental organizations; and fishing clubs and associations (2023).
Comments	Team Responsible: CET

NAS Recommendation	7.1 Recommendation: NOAA Fisheries should develop and lead an integrated communications strategy involving state and Federal partners to explain and seek support for the management of the Nation's fisheries within which the role of MRIP is clearly defined. The MRIP communication plan should be an element – albeit for species in which removals are dominated by recreational fisheries, an essential component – of such a broader, integrated overall communication plan.
Projects/Actions Completed and Dates	
Projects/Actions in Progress/Planned and Dates	 NOAA Fisheries continues to consider and refine communications elements of the broader agency communication strategy around recreational fisheries and of the National Recreational Engagement Initiative (NREI). The MRIP strategic communications plan, which includes strong collaboration with Federal and state partners, is being updated, and serves as the recreational data collection component of this larger agency communication effort (Ongoing). As part of the NREI, NOAA Fisheries has initiated a social media video series for the recreational fishing community. MRIP has a video in development that provides an overview of state, regional, and Federal partners' work to collect recreational fishing data and produce the recreational catch statistics that help ensure the sustainability of U.S. fish stocks (In Progress).
Comments	Teams Responsible: NOAA Fisheries Office of Communications (OC), NOAA Fisheries Office of the Recreational Fisheries Policy Adviser (RecFish)

NAS Recommendation	7.2 Recommendation: MRIP should further develop its communications plan, include a specific needs analysis, and develop a specific and detailed implementation plan. Greater emphasis should be placed on interactive (two-way) communication, which may involve spending time in the field with anglers, than is currently in the plan.
Projects/Actions Completed and Dates	 Completed a stakeholder needs assessment (2017). Developed and implemented annual strategic communications plans (2018, 2019), and developed and implemented discrete communications plans for high-profile actions, such as an FES information campaign in the South Atlantic and Gulf of Mexico (2019, 2020). Developed, tested, and completed a Partner Needs and Satisfaction Assessment, which assessed the strength of our relationship with partners, their understanding of our program, and satisfaction with our communications channels and the products we develop (June 2020). Developed outreach materials based on the results of the assessment (2021). Completed customer satisfaction assessment to assess customer engagement and satisfaction with our communications and outreach efforts (July 2020). Completed the first phase of the recreational angler social network analysis, examining the information-sharing habits of saltwater recreational anglers, as well as their opinions about recreational fishing data collection and management (July 2020). Completed and implemented FY21 and FY22 MRIP strategic communications plans (Oct. 2020 and Nov. 2021). Completed the second phase of the recreational angler Social Network Analysis, implementing a qualitative, virtual survey to examine angler information networks. Incorporated findings and recommendations from the recreational angler social network analysis into communications strategies, as appropriate (Oct. 2021). In conjunction with state and regional partners and RecFish coordinators, hosted six virtual for-hire listening sessions with captains in the Greater Atlantic (Jan March 2022).
Projects/Actions in Progress/Planned and Dates	 Continue to develop and implement communications plans with a focus on two-way dialogue with key audiences (Ongoing). Develop materials to support an onboarding process for new customers, such as webinars and/or user guides (Ongoing). Conduct Customer Needs and Satisfaction Assessments to evaluate results against 2020 benchmarks and respond to new findings with respect to communications and outreach, and to ensure customers understand and have access to information

- about our statistical methods, the assumptions and limitations of our data, the appropriate ways to work with our data, and the trends in the data themselves (2023).
- 4. Continue communications activities that foster two-way dialogue with all audiences, including but not limited to "Ask MRIP" posts responding to stakeholder questions and concerns, outreach at stakeholder venues such as trade shows and tackle shops, and data user seminars that provide opportunities for customers and other data users to dialogue with subject matter experts (Ongoing).
- 5. Continue to support communications about the FES, addressing questions and concerns about FES estimates (CET).
- In conjunction with the regional communications working groups, collaborate with Regional Implementation Teams to meet the communications and outreach needs identified in the Regional Implementation Plans (Ongoing).
- Continue to leverage regional communications working groups to execute Regional Implementation Plan priorities and related communications and outreach efforts, establishing additional regional working groups as appropriate (Ongoing).
- Host MRIP 101 sessions with regional fishery management council and interstate marine fisheries commission members; nongovernmental organizations; and fishing clubs and associations (2023).
- Support staff attendance at fishery management council, scientific and statistical committee, and marine fisheries commission meetings, ensuring presentation and information needs are met (Ongoing).
- 10. Working with the Office of Legislative and Intergovernmental Affairs, support the engagement of congressional staff through all-interested and/or targeted briefings about recreational saltwater fisheries data collection, national and regional programmatic priorities, implementation plans, and other related actions or issues (Ongoing).
- 11. Create interactive survey coverage map to illustrate the numerous Federal, regional, and state data collection programs that support estimation of recreational fishing catch and effort activities, and the role of each survey in these processes (In Progress).
- 12. Produce "Day in the Life of a Headboat Sampler" web feature to illustrate the role state field interviewers play in the data collection process and the importance of angler data in supporting sound science and management (2023).

- 13. Participate in saltwater fishing expos, using the venues as a way to meet and build relationships with anglers, for-hire operators, tackle shop owners, and other key stakeholders (Ongoing).
- 14. Continue to support the phased implementation of MRIP Survey and Data Standards developed to guide the design, improvement, and quality of information produced by the recreational fishing surveys that are administered or funded through MRIP. A major focus of FY23 will be communicating the new publication procedures and standards (Ongoing).
- 15. Maintain content, regularly assess analytics, and provide opportunities for feedback to ensure our website, e-newsletter, and other digital communications channels are effective components of our communications and outreach efforts (Ongoing).
- Maintain active engagement in angler education programs, including the Marine Resource Education Program and Introductory Fisheries Science for Stakeholders (Ongoing).
- 17. Continue to engage national and regional partners through the Communications and Education Team and its working groups, building connections, improving information flow, and engaging others in strategic communications and outreach (Ongoing).
- 18. Support relevant outcomes and recommendations stemming from the 2022 National Recreational Saltwater Fishing Summit (2023).
- 19. As a means of enhancing understanding of recreational fishing surveys, the estimation process, and the important role angler data play in ensuring sustainable fisheries, research, write, and publish "Ask MRIP" blog posts that answer questions from anglers, for-hire captains, and others in the recreational fishing community about the science and statistics behind MRIP (Ongoing).
- Continue work to make complex information accessible by creating additional infographics on topics such as data quality assurance/quality control and MRIP's role in fisheries science and management (Ongoing).
- 21. Support the roll-out of NOAA Fisheries' Report to Congress in Response to the NASEM In-season Management Study. Produce a web feature, MRIP Newscast, and FishNews blurb (2023).
- 22. Building on research conducted through the Social Network Analysis, engage with state partners to leverage the important role of bait and tackle shop operators as conduits of information to the recreational fishing community (2023).
- 23. Further building on the SNA's findings, expand work to include recreational fishing data-related content to state websites, state

	fishing guides, and other state fishing publications such as newsletters and magazines (Ongoing).
Comments	Team Responsible: CET

NAS Recommendation	7.3 Recommendation: The success of MRIP depends to a large degree on clear, accurate, and timely communications, and on engaging all the various stakeholder groups, including anglers. Therefore, whether as permanent full-time equivalents or as consultants, MRIP should consider expanding its communications team to support the required needs analysis and implementation plans identified by the committee. One way of achieving this expansion would be to partner with national and regional organizations, such as the Sea Grant colleges, who already have communications capacity and expertise, and who would be able to identify opinion leaders and constituencies.
Projects/Actions Completed and Dates	 Established the Greater Atlantic and Southeast Regional Communications Working Groups to involve fisheries management councils, interstate fisheries commissions, fisheries information networks, and other partners, including Sea Grant, in the development and execution of our communications and outreach initiatives (Oct. 2017). Expanded membership of the Communications and Education Team to include representatives from the West Coast Regional Office and Southeast Fisheries Science Center (Feb. 2020) and Northeast Fisheries Science Center (March 2020).
Projects/Actions in Progress/Planned and Dates	 Continue to engage national and regional partners through the Communications and Education Team and its working groups, building connections, improving information flow, and engaging others in strategic communications and outreach (Ongoing). Expand the CET, when appropriate, to include representatives from additional regions (Ongoing). Continue to leverage regional communications working groups to execute Regional Implementation Plan priorities and related communications and outreach efforts, establishing additional regional working groups as appropriate (Ongoing).
Comments	Team Responsible: CET

NAS Recommendation	7.4 Recommendation: NOAA Fisheries should develop a system for indexing and cross-referencing documentation of survey methods and statistical analysis. Because of the evolving nature of the program that includes many different elements, maintaining the organization of the technical documents is a challenge. NOAA Fisheries should increase its efforts to ensure the documentation includes key pieces of information. For example, NOAA Fisheries should ensure that the statistical basis for the stratified and total estimates of total effort, catch per unit effort, and their variances for all fisheries and areas are readily available and consistent among current documents.
Projects/Actions Completed and Dates	 "MRIP Survey Design and Statistical Methods for Estimation of Recreational Fisheries Catch and Effort" ¹⁵ (published in 2018, last updated in June 2022)." Developed an internal revision log and more formal process for program documentation updates to maintain organization and ensure technical documents remain up-to-date (2021).
Projects/Actions in Progress/Planned and Dates	
Comments	Teams Responsible: PMT

NAS Recommendation	7.5 Recommendation: MRIP should take a more active role in communicating with anglers, whether through its partners or through its own efforts. The committee recognizes that MRIP defers to the states and regions in communications with anglers. Further, the committee recognizes that an approach coordinated with the states may be most successful in building trust and aligning the understanding of these stakeholders with the reality of how MRIP is deployed. However, MRIP should play a leading role in providing the vision and implementation strategies that partners can follow.
Projects/Actions Completed and Dates	 Met with recreational anglers in Plymouth, Massachusetts, and Jupiter, Florida, to discuss strengthening our relationship and improving information-sharing (Dec. 2017). Held MRIP Listening Tours in New England, the Mid-Atlantic, and the South Atlantic, which included presentations to partners, meetings with stakeholders, and focus groups and listening

-

¹⁵ www.fisheries.noaa.gov/resource/document/mrip-survey-design-and-statistical-methods

- sessions with private anglers and for-hire captains. Focus groups explored angler understanding of recreational fishing data collection and response to our communications messaging and materials. Held an additional angler focus group in Hollywood, Florida (Sept. and Dec. 2018, Nov. 2019, Jan. 2020).
- 3. Joined the Greater Atlantic Regional Fisheries Office at the New England Saltwater Fishing Show and (New Jersey) Saltwater Fishing Expo (March 2018, March 2019).
- Participated in Talk Stories with recreational and non-commercial fishermen in Hawaii to discuss our program and its role in developing a state non-commercial marine fishing registry, permit, or license system (Nov. 2018 and August 2019).
- Reviewed state agency websites in Hawaii and along the Atlantic and Gulf coasts, developing and sharing agency-specific content recommendations to encourage state partners to create or update content about recreational fisheries data collection (2019).
- Developed rack cards for Access Point Angler Intercept Survey and Large Pelagics Survey samplers to distribute to anglers, as well as state-specific outreach materials for Delaware, Maryland, and New Jersey (2019-2020).
- 7. Added information about MRIP to the curricula of the Greater Atlantic and Southeast Marine Resource Education Programs, which teach anglers, industry representatives, for-hire owners and operators, and other interested stakeholders about fisheries science and management (2019).
- 8. Facilitated conversations with state survey coordinators and field interviewers to improve our understanding of the tools and techniques that help samplers obtain cooperative survey responses (Oct. 2019 and Jan. 2020).
- 9. Developed a print graphic to promote the Public Access Fishing Site Register in state fishing digests (Oct. 2019).
- 10. Developed APAIS and Fishing Effort Survey FES At-a-Glance fact sheets to answer common questions about our shoreside intercept and mail surveys, as well as a fact sheet to explain the differences between new and legacy estimates of recreational saltwater fishing effort in the Mid-Atlantic (May-Aug. 2020).
- 11. Collaborated with Massachusetts, Rhode Island, New York, and Georgia state agency partners to redesign APAIS rack cards. Also created a wallet card that explains how anglers in RI can contribute to fisheries science and management by sharing their catch information with state field interviewers (July 2020).
- Updated Atlantic and Gulf coast rack cards to explain how we use shoreside intercept surveys to collect catch data from recreational anglers (Aug. 2020).

	 Created a Greater Atlantic Region for-hire fact sheet (Sept. 2020). Hosted six virtual for-hire listening sessions with captains in the Greater Atlantic (Jan March 2022). MRIP specifically, and recreational data collection more broadly, were key agenda topics at the 2018 and 2022 National Saltwater Recreational Fisheries Summits, which were co-hosted by NOAA Fisheries and the Atlantic States Marine Fisheries Commission.
Projects/Actions in Progress/Planned and Dates	 Continue to host listening sessions with private anglers and the for-hire sector (Ongoing). Maintain active participation in the Marine Resource Education Program in the Northeast, Greater Atlantic, and Southeast, and at saltwater fishing shows, seminar series, and fishing club meetings, with outreach materials, where possible (Ongoing). Prioritize the development of infographics, videos, and similar communications and outreach materials as a means of quickly and effectively communicating about MRIP products, activities, and priorities to recreational anglers (Ongoing). Create print and digital collateral to run in state fishing digests and on websites to promote participation in the shoreside intercept and explain what MRIP does (Ongoing).
Comments	Team Responsible: CET

NAS Recommendation	7.6 Recommendation: MRIP should allow the for-hire captains a method to review their own data submittals to provide further quality assurance of these data. The committee recognizes that MRIP must follow Federal regulations to maintain data privacy and anonymity. The committee also recognizes that this additional step for data submittal would assuage concerns for an important fishing sector about the quality and accuracy of their own data that were expressed to the panel.
Projects/Actions Completed and Dates	
Projects/Actions in Progress/Planned and Dates	ACCSP and MRIP staff will study cost and feasibility of providing periodic reports of FHS respondents' trip reports, pending review of data confidentiality safeguards. Options for e-logbook submission review will be discussed with the Southeast For-Hire Electronic Reporting program.

Comments	Team Responsible: SOT

NAS Recommendation	8.1 Recommendation: MRIP should continue development of a statistically sound calibration methodology as improvements to the Access Point Angler Intercept Survey and Fishing Effort Survey methodologies are incorporated. In the interim, the existing ratio-based calibration should be continued. For statistical catch-at-age based (SCA) assessments, scientists should employ alternative catchability functions applied to the combined time series as a means to accommodate potential imprecision in the calibration of MRFSS data to MRIP data. For non-SCA frameworks, assessment scientists should exercise caution in the interpretation of trends in catch data.
Projects/Actions Completed and Dates	 FES calibration model peer review (June 2017). APAIS calibration model peer review (March 2018). Application of both calibrations to full MRIP catch and effort estimate time series (July 2018).
Projects/Actions in Progress/Planned and Dates	
Comments	Team Responsible: Transition Team (TT) 1. FES and APAIS calibrations complete.