Harbor Seals in Tidewater Glacial Fiords in Alaska and Responses to Cruise Ships

Advance Notice of Proposed Rulemaking Public Meetings on Disturbance to Harbor Seals in Glacial Habitats

> Juneau, Alaska, April 22, 2013 Yakutat, Alaska, April 23, 2013

> > John Jansen National Marine Mammal Laboratory NOAA Fisheries







NOAA AFSC NMML





Harbor Seals in Alaska

Non-Migratory – Resident in Alaska Year Round

Mostly Within Near-shore (~20 mi) Habitats

Important Part of Coastal Marine Ecosystem

- Nutrition and culture
- Predators on many species of fish and invertebrates
- Prey of killer whales, wolves, bears, eagles
- Commercial, recreational, aesthetic value of healthy ecosystem
- Statewide: 152,000





Distribution of Harbor Seals



~2,500 miles



Diversity of Haul-out Sites







Most communities welcome tourists and their money, but to some, the cost to their environment is too high

BY CHARGE WELCH / Southle Timou stuff reporter

YAKUTAT, Alaska - Ray Senameier opped the .223-caliber rifle on the bow of the skiff and took aim at a plump harbor seal splayed out on a tradle of blue ice. The Tlingit Indian steadled his finger on

the trigger. Icebergs the size of garage doors acraped the boat's hull. Senameser cursed.

Behind his prey, drifting perilously into his line of fire, was a glearning white cruise ship, its 1,500 passengers and crew suddenly the biggest city for hundreds of miles. Unable to aafely take his shot, Senameier

jerked the harrel away, disgusted, Even in one of Southeast Alaska's most remote corners, where natives have been lusting seals for at least 1,000 years, it's hard to escape the presence of the cruiseship industry.

The industry has exploded in Alaska in the past decade, with more than 500 cruises. a summer now carting 750,000 people or more north from Scattle, San Francisco and Vancouver, B.C. --- most to a region with a combined population of roughly 60,000.

PLANE OF Alaska ON A 18

The allure of big boats



A look at the large, huxurious skips that carry thousands to Alaska; tips for booking a trip.

TRAVEL, SECTION M



How Close is Too Close?

Assessing the potential that shortterm disturbance of seals by cruise ships spells long-term impacts

Polar Ecosystem Program

National Marine Mammal Laboratory, Alaska Fisheries Science Center, NOAA, Seattle, WA USA





What defines disturbance?

Proximate (avoidance)







Glacial Ice Seals 101

Ice calved by tidewater glaciers provides a platform for seals any time of day

Represent 10-15% of the Alaska abundance

Aggregations of seals number up to 6000

Seal numbers peak during pupping and molting

All cruises to Alaska include a stop at a tidewater glacier causing daily visits of up to five ships





Icy Bay

Disenchantment Bay



ALASKA CRUISE SHIP TIMELINE



Dracant

	NOAA AFSC NMML

Trends in Cruise Ship Visits: 1970 to present





Empirical Data







Fine-scale sampling: conducted behavioral observations of harbor seals using cruise ships as platforms

Seal behavior was categorized as:1) resting, 2) alert, 3) active, or 4) entering the water

Estimates of the proportions of harbor seals entering the water in response to approaching cruise ships



J. K. Jansen et al. 2010. Reaction of harbor seals to cruise ships. Journal of Wildlife Management. 74: 6



Medium-scale sampling

Video camera recorded a 70-meter strip under the plane

Seasonal abundance of harbor seals (pupping to molting)





Seasonal distribution of harbor seals (pupping to molting)





Seasonal distribution of ships





Space-Time Regression Model

Tested hypotheses related to the effects of environmental factors (e.g. ship disturbance) on seal distribution and abundance separately

Tested all factors simultaneously:

ship activity, ship distance, number of ships, ice class, total ice cover, precip, wind speed.



Ver Hoef, J. M., and J. K. Jansen. 2007. Space-time zero-inflated count models of harbor seals. Environmetrics 18:697-712.



Results of space-time model (cont.)

 Precipitation, wind speed, and total ice cover did not have a significant effect on the distribution or abundance of seals or mother-pup pairs

Frequency of ship visits did not have an effect on the abundance or distribution of seals



Seals did not appear to avoid ship corridors

Mother-pup pairs showed no reactions that differed significantly from other seals





Interpolative density surface model

Generates grid of expected seal densities from areas outside images

Behavioral response data used to estimate probability of flushing seals

An estimated 1544 seals were present just prior to the arrival of the cruise ship

An estimated 4% (62 seals) were flushed into the water on the inbound and 16% (247) on the outbound



Estimated Effects Population-wide



2% estimated to flush (24 seals; 9 pups)



16% estimated to flush (247 seals; 12 pups)



1% estimated to flush (7 seals)



Findings

Seals < 500 m from ships enter the water with increasing frequency

A significant number of seals present may be disturbed on a given day

The gist: Cruise ships cause seals to spend more time in the water (mothers and pups respond similarly)



So.....seals go into the water

S O A H A M



Impacts on Survival



Fig. 4. First year winter survival of Harbour Seal pups in the northern Skagerrak is significantly related to their body mass in the autumn. Error bars denote 95% confidence limits for each given weight.

Harding et al. 2005

Examine the risk to survival by modeling the energy budget of harbor seal pups

Premise: If heat loss exceeds energy production pups must boost metabolism by the same amount to maintain body temperature

Seal parameter	Value	Location	Source
Pup birth weight	9.97 kg	Icy and Dbay, Alaska	Pitcher 1979
Pup growth rate	0.6 kg/day	Sable I., NS	Bowen et al. 2001
Seal body temperature	38 ° C	Captive	Hind and Gurney 1998
Lactation duration	24 d	Sable I.	Bowen et al. 2001
Field metabolic rate (FMR)	Mass dependent	Generalized	Boyd 2002
Resting metabolic rate (RMR)	Mass dependent	Captive	Rosen and Renouf 1998
Swimming metabolic rate (SMR)	Activity dependent ^a	Captive	Davis et al. 1985
% time in water – newborn	40	Sable I. ; Svalbard Arch.	Bowen et al. 1999; Jorgensen et al. 2001
% time in water –	70	PWS, Alaska;	Rehberg and Small
weaning age		Svalbard Arch.	2001; Jorgensen et al. 2001
Blubber thermal	0.19	Captive	Kvadshein and
conductivity	W• m ⁻¹ • K ⁻¹		Folkow 1997
Blubber thickness	Mass dependent	Generalized	Harding et al. 2005
Body surface area	Mass Dependent	Generalized	Lavigne 1982
			NOAA AFSC NI



Generalized FMR Model



Pups (<15 d age) that spend >50% time in water have increased risk of negative energy balance

An energy deficit means compromised mass (blubber) and lower survival to weaning or first winter



Example of large-scale photogrammetry

Glacial ice in Icy Bay (near Guyot Glacier)

2000' altitude

1837 harbor seals hauled out on ice are visible in this image frame (3000'x3000')





Comparison of seasonal abundance: disturbed vs. undisturbed sites



Comparison of pup productivity between glacial sites







Allowable Levels of Disturbance









Use observers to maintain seal-ship separation

Require ships to maintain 400 m from ice habitat

Restrict ship movement to a static corridor on the eastern shoreline away from main density of seals

Exclude ships during pupping and molting, e.g., Glacier NP



Tidewater Glacial Fjords visited by cruise ships

College Fjord (166) Icy Bay (2) Disenchantment Bay (136) Glacier Bay (224) Tracy Arm (289) Endicott Arm (?)

4

Sere and



DB

IR

CF

GB

ΤΑ ΕΑ

Funding and assistance provided by:

a P.O. e. No. Person March 10 (1995)

A. A. S. L.

North West CruiseShip Association U.S. National Park Service U.S. Forest Service NOAA Fisheries Yakutat Tlingit Tribe U.S. National Weather Service