How Close Is Too Close?



Acting on short-term disturbance to seals amidst uncertainty about long-term impacts and vessel compliance under the U.S. Marine Mammal Protection Act (MMPA)

John Jansen • Peter Boveng • Shawn Dahle • Gavin Brady • Jay Ver Hoef • John Bengtson • Kaja Brix¹ • Aleria Jensen¹

National Marine Mammal Laboratory, Alaska Fisheries Science Center, NMFS, NOAA, Seattle, WA, USA, john.jansen@noaa.gov Protected Resources Division, Alaska Regional Office, NMFS, NOAA, Juneau, AK, USA

The Problem

he largest aggregations of harbor seals in the world occur on floating ice calved from tidewater glaciers in Alaska, USA. Some populations are inexplicably declining (aerial photo of Icy Bay, Alaska; NMML/AFSC)





Il cruise ships **A**visiting Alaska stopover at one or more tidewater glacial fjords. Three of the 4 most visited sites – Tracy Arm, College Fjord, and Disenchantment Bay are unregulated (map of fjords targeted by ships; apx. # of ship visits in 2009 is shown)

The Evidence

C eals increasingly flush from the ice when cruis \bigcirc closer than 400 m, 90% flushing at 91 m (100 y guideline for minimum approach distance.



significant number of seals are estimated to flush from the ice in response to a single ship passing through the haulout area)isenchantment Bay shown).

The Solution

The U.S. MMPA prohibits the "taking" of marine mammals, which is defined to include disturbance, but currently there are no binding or enforceable limits on approaches to seals in Alaska State waters. Despite the short-term nature of individual disturbance events, the likelihood of long-term impacts points to the need for management action.

VCAs Regs OR (Codified Regulations) (Voluntary Conservation Agreements) Less confrontational Code of conduct is specific Compliance is mandatory and enforceable **Require less time** Less costly to implement More flexibility in designing and Universal awareness of expectations Conservation goals are clearly testable and implementing policies more likely to be achieved Use traditional/local knowledge Use traditional/local knowledge Highlight gov't-industry cooperation Legal penalties for non-compliance **Compliance is voluntary** May not be universally accepted (Industry diverse glacial sites coalition?)

Past efficacy has been equivocal (e.g., whale watching) Pressure to meet business goals may cause operators to ignore VCAs No penalities for non-compliance

Could involve costly litigation and delays Less flexibility in tailoring solutions across Less industry commitment to shared conservation goals Industry likely to be more resistant; less cooperation

Potential Conservation Measures



Use observers to keep ship to seal separation greater than 400 m

laska Natives have traditionally Aharvested seals on glacial ice, perhaps for 1000 years or more (Tlingit sealing camp in Yakutat Bay, Alaska, 1899)











our vessels have been attracted to tidewater glacial fjords with seals for 100 years (steamship Queen in Glacier Bay, Alaska, early 1900's; inset from travel brochure)

C ince the 1980s, cruise ship visits **O**to tidewater glaciers have increased an order of magnitude to 150-300 per year; annual passengers now exceed 1 million (ship in Disenchantment Bay, Alaska; headline & chart from Seattle P.I., 2003)





urrent guidelines for approach distance to seals vary by region, are not binding or enforceable, and are not strictly adhered to by cruise and tour operators (ship near seals in Glacier Bay, Alaska)









estimated 2% of population (~24 ls, incl. 9 pups)

• 4% of the population (~62 seals, incl. 11 pups) were predicted to have • 1% of the population (~7 non-pups) were predicted to have n the path; none



Exclude ships during pupping and molting (e.g., Glacier Bay NP)

Conclusions

ehaviors and physiological measures altered on short time Dscales are readily discernable, but long-term impacts are not. Documented and suspected declines in glacial-fjord seal populations visited by cruise ships — as well as frequent flushing of seals (with energetic consequences) — point to the need for precautionary conservation measures. VCAs, regulations, or a combination of the two, will be required to reduce disturbance to levels that minimize the chance of long-term impacts.

Acknowledgments The scientific findings were derived from research supported financially or logisitically by the Yakutat Tlingit Tribe, the NorthWest CruiseShip Assoc., Cruiseline Agencies of Alaska, and the U.S. National Park, Forest, Weather, and Marine Fisheries Services.



Dups are at risk from **F** temperature stress ncreases in time subme vater of 3-5 °C.



Dup productivity at a site, Disenchantmer bay in figure), appears le other sites with little or traffic.

