

## Trinity Reservoir Levels and Trinity River Flows Stakeholder Meeting with Congressman Jared Huffman



Seth Naman California Coastal Office, Arcata, CA May 1, 2023



Reservoir Inflow: 1.6 MAF

Restoration flow release: 702 TAF (42%)

Diversion to Sacramento: 426 TAF (25%)

Reservoir Storage 9/30: 2.0 MAF



Reservoir Inflow: 472 TAF

Restoration flow release: 369 TAF (78%)

Diversion to Sacramento: 811 TAF (172%)

Reservoir Storage 9/30: 1.3 MAF



Reservoir Inflow: 343 TAF

Restoration flow release: 372 TAF (108%)

Diversion to Sacramento: 604 TAF (176%)

Reservoir Storage 9/30: 710 TAF



Reservoir Inflow: 507 TAF

Restoration flow release: 364 TAF (72%)

Diversion to Sacramento: 252 TAF (50%)

Reservoir Storage 9/30: 553 TAF



### Annual average Since 2004

Reservoir Inflow: 1.2 MAF

Restoration flow release: 555 TAF (46%)

Diversion to Sacramento: 601 TAF (50%)

Other river releases (dam safety, ceremonial releases, etc): 45 TAF (4%)



# Winter flow action uses the same amount of water as has been used in the last 20 years

- In some years, the winter flow action benefits Trinity Reservoir storage, in other years storage is the same
- Never reduces reservoir storage to less than it would be otherwise





"Simplistic, static, environmental flow rules are misguided and will ultimately contribute to further degradation of river ecosystems"-Arthington et al 2006

DOI: 10.1007/s00267-002-2737-0

## Basic Principles and Ecological Consequences of Altered Flow Regimes for Aquatic Biodiversity<sup>1</sup>

#### STUART E. BUNN\* ANGELA H. ARTHINGTON

Cooperative Research Centre for Freshwater Ecology Centre for Calchment and In-Steam Research Resulty of Envformmental Sciences Griffith University Nathan, Queercland, Australia 4111

ABSTRACT / The flow regime is regarded by many aq ecologists to be the key driver of river and floodplain v land ecceystems. We have focused this literature reviaround lour key principles to highlight the important m anisms that link hydrology and aquatic biodiversity an illustrate the consequent impacts of altered flow regim Firstly, flow is a major determinant of physical habitat straams, which in turn is a major determinant of biotic position; Secondly, aquatic species have evolved life it strategies primarily in direct response to the natural fit regimes; Thirdly, maintenance of natural patterns of longitudinal and lateral connectivity is essential to the viability of populations of many riverine species; Finally, the Invasion and success of exotic and Introduced secies in rivers is

© CSIRO Australia 1998

Mar. Freshwater Res., 1998, 49, 55–72

#### Flow variability and the ecology of large rivers

J. T. Puckridge<sup>A</sup>, F. Sheldon<sup>AB</sup>, K. F. Walker<sup>A</sup> and A. J. Boulton<sup>C</sup>

<sup>A</sup>Cooperative Research Centre for Freshwater Ecology, Department of Zoology, University of Adelaide, SA 5005, Australia. email: jpuckrid@zoology.adelaide.edu.au

<sup>B</sup>Cooperative Research Centre for Freshwater Ecology, University of Canberra, Belconnen, ACT 2616, Australia <sup>C</sup>Department of Ecosystem Management, University of New England, Armidale, NSW 2351, Australia

Abstract. Ecological processes in large rivers are controlled by their flow variability. However, it is difficult to find measures of hydrological variability that characterize groups of rivers and can also be used to generate hypotheses about their ecology. Multivariate analyses of the hydrographs of 52 rivers worldwide revealed distinctive patterns of flow variability that were often correlated with climate. For example, there were groups of rivers that corresponded broadly with 'tropical' and 'dryland' climates. However, some rivers from continental climates occupy both extremes of this range, illustrating the limitations of simple classification. Individual rivers and groups of rivers may also have different

### COMMUNICATIONS

Ecological Applications, 16(4), 2006, pp. 1311-1318 © 2006 by the the Ecological Society of America

#### THE CHALLENGE OF PROVIDING ENVIRONMENTAL FLOW BULLES TO SUSTAIL

ANGELA H. ARTHINGTON, 1,4 STUART

<sup>1</sup>Centre for Riverine Landscapes and eWater Cooperati <sup>2</sup>Department of Biology, Colorado S <sup>3</sup>School of Aquatic and Fishery Sciences, Box :

### The Natural Flow Regime

A paradigm for river conservation and restoration

N. LeRoy Poff, J. David Allan, Mark B. Bain, James R. Karr, Karen L. Prestegaard, Brian D. Richter, Richard E. Sparks, and Julie C. Stromberg

Handred by the dynamism of free-flowing waters. Yet we have expended great effort to tame rivers for transportation, water supply, flood corrot, agriculture, and power generation. It is now recognized that harnessing of streams and rivers comes at great cost: Many ued native species or sustain healthy ecosystems that provide important The ecological integrity of river ecosystems depends on their natural dynamic character

ued native species or sustain healthy ecosystems that provide important tion and loss of biological diversity

ing. However, current management approaches often fail to recognize the fundamental scientific principle that the integrity of flowing water systems depended largely on their natutises methods frequently prevent successful river conservation or restoration. Streamflow quantity and timing are critical components of water supply, water quality, and the ecological integrity of river systems. In-





## Constant low flows in the winter are harmful to rivers





## To move sediment at the right time of year



Page 11 U.S. Department of Commerce | National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration | National Marine Fisheries Service



### To make more habitat and more food for young salmon and steelhead





To provide the best temperatures we can for growing young salmon and steelhead



## Why do we need winter flow releases? Ask me anytime! seth.naman@noaa.gov



