

Western Regional Action Plan to Implement the NOAA Fisheries Climate Science Strategy Through 2024

Editors: Toby Garfield and Rich Zabel

Prepared by the Northwest Fisheries Science Center, the Southwest Fisheries Science Center and the West Coast Regional Office



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

National Marine Fisheries Service May 2023

Western Regional Action Plan to Implement the NOAA Fisheries Climate Science Strategy Through 2024

Editors: Toby Garfield¹ and Rich Zabel²

Prepared by the Northwest Fisheries Science Center, the Southwest Fisheries Science Center and the West Coast Regional Office

¹National Marine Fisheries Service, Southwest Fisheries Science Center, 8901 La Jolla Shores Drive, La Jolla, CA 92037

²National Marine Fisheries Service, Northwest Fisheries Science Center, 2725 Montlake Blvd East, Seattle, WA 98112

ABOUT THIS DOCUMENT

Implementation of the plan is contingent on available resources.

Table of Contents

Executive Summary	4
Introduction	6
Higher Level Activities	8
Coordination	8
Support	8
Assessment	9
Support of the Climate, Ecosystems, and Fisheries Initiative	9
Key Needs/Actions	10
Update on project status and management needs from the original WRAP	10
Ongoing efforts	11
Informing Management	11
Understanding mechanisms and projecting future conditions	13
Build and Maintain Infrastructure and Track Change	18
New Initiatives	18
Understanding the Effects of Oceanographic Conditions and Recruitment of Ocean Finfish	18
Human Dimensions	19
Engagement in Management Processes	21
Ecosystem Shifts	21
Actions and Metrics	24
References	29
Appendices	31
Appendix 1. Acronyms used in this document and their definitions.	31
Appendix 2: WCRO Climate Science Needs, July 2021	33
Climate Change Impacts in the Freshwater Environment	33
Climate Change Impacts in Estuaries and the Nearshore	35
Climate Change Impacts in the Ocean	35
Integration of Climate Change Impacts Across Ecosystems and Management Regimes	36
Use of Climate Change Science	37

Executive Summary

Changing climate and oceans are affecting the nation's valuable living marine resources and the many people, businesses and communities that depend on them. Warming oceans, melting sea ice, rising seas, extreme events, and acidification are affecting the distribution and abundance of species, and the structure of marine and coastal ecosystems in many regions. These impacts are expected to increase and there is much at risk.

To prepare for and respond to climate impacts on marine and coastal resources, the 2015 NOAA Fisheries Climate Science Strategy (NCSS) identified seven key objectives to increase the production, delivery, and use of climate-related information needed to fulfill the agency's mandates (e.g., fisheries management, protected resources conservation) in a changing climate. Beginning in 2016, NOAA Fisheries developed Regional Action Plans (RAPs) to implement the NCSS in each region based on regional needs and capabilities.

The initial Western Regional Action Plan (WRAP) was released in 2016 and focused on implementing the NCSS in the California Current Large Marine Ecosystem over three to five years. A summary of accomplishments can be found in the NCSS 5-year Progress Report. This updated WRAP builds on previous efforts and describes proposed actions to continue to implement the NCSS and provide decision makers with information to prepare for and respond to changing conditions in this region. These activities support NOAA's effort to build a climate ready nation.

The goals of this updated WRAP are to improve communication and coordination of climate science activities among the Northwest and Southwest Fisheries Science Centers and the West Coast Regional Office, support climate and ecosystem modeling, and help advance the use of climate related indices and the data collected by the many ship-based surveys. The WRAP also addresses a variety of other goals and objectives including development of the integrated ocean modeling and decision support system called for in the NOAA Climate, Ecosystems, and Fisheries Initiative.

Significant progress has been made on four of the seven actions in the 2016 WRAP. This WRAP will continue work on those four action areas and expand activities in the remaining three areas: (i) establish an internal framework for strategic planning of climate work, (ii) build scientific expertise within the Centers to address ongoing and expected changes, and (iii) review, coordinate, and standardize existing data-collection efforts and analyses to bring climate indices and projected trust species' responses into management applications.

The following is a summary of ongoing and anticipated actions, and the NCSS objectives they address.

Build and Maintain Infrastructure and Track Change (NCSS Objectives 6, 7)

- Maintain CCIEA Ecosystem Status Report.
- Enhance Strategic Planning and capacity building.
 - Data coordination collection and sharing.
 - Standardized reporting.

Understand Mechanisms and Project Future Conditions (NCSS Objectives 4, 5)

- Support and strengthen forecasting models (e.g. JSCOPE, Future Seas).
- Conduct salmon climate-driven lifecycle modeling.
- Advance ecosystem modeling of the California Current.
- Develop spatial distribution/abundance modeling papers (e.g. from the "Location, Location, Location" project).

Inform Management (NCSS Objectives 1-3)

- Develop and deliver the California Current Integrated Ecosystem Assessment (CCIEA) Ecosystem Status Report to the Fishery Management Council.
- Develop Management Strategy Evaluations for select species (sablefish, swordfish, sardine, albacore, coastal pelagic species).
- Conduct Climate Vulnerability Assessments (e.g. managed stocks, marine mammals, turtles, habitat).
- Improve the potential to use Adaptive and Dynamic Ocean Management
- Implement the Climate, Ecosystems, and Fisheries Initiative.
- Address recommendations from the Climate and Communities Initiative and scenario planning.

Address Human Dimensions (NCSS Objectives 5-7)

- Maintain and expand data collection.
- Understand the influence of fishing portfolios on community response to extreme events.

Introduction

Climate change unequivocally represents the most serious threat to our oceanic fishery resources, protected species, and marine and freshwater habitats. It has and will continue to alter the composition, and hence function, of marine and terrestrial ecosystems and has led to shifts in species distributions. It has also created deleterious conditions that can potentially lead to the extinction of many species, particularly endangered and threatened salmon. It has created conditions in the California Current that stimulate marine heat waves, increase ocean acidification, and create new conflict between human uses of the ocean and protected species. All of these will have negative impacts on coastal communities that rely on marine and freshwater resources. Our legal mandates to manage and protect the nation's living marine resources make it imperative that NOAA Fisheries study and understand the interacting effects of climate variability and change on the biological environment, living marine resources, and coastal communities.

The impacts of climate variability and change on fish stocks, fisheries, and fishing communities have been a long-standing NMFS concern that has led to multiple scientific efforts to help understand and prepare for the management challenges ahead. The NOAA Fisheries Climate Science Strategy¹ (NCSS) was developed to increase the agency's ability to prepare for and respond to climate variability and change, and identified seven objectives required to provide the science and tools needed to fulfill NMFS mission mandates in a changing climate. Each NOAA Fisheries region was tasked with developing a regional action plan for implementing the NCSS. In the California Current Large Marine Ecosystem (CCLME), the two Science Centers, NWFSC and SWFSC, and the West Coast Regional Office collaborated to produce the Western Regional Action Plan or WRAP.

The NCSS also calls for coordinating climate-related activities within regional ecosystems to enable a national discussion on climate impacts to marine ecosystems and managed species and fisheries. This provides the forum to: review what activities are ongoing; identify gaps in knowledge, expertise or activities; and provide guidance and advice on potential future activities and needs. The WRAP has fostered an expanded dialogue between the two Science Centers and the Regional Office. Through review of ongoing activities, identification of knowledge and activity gaps and advice on potential future needs, this group has fostered an ongoing west coast dialog that brings climate science to management and vice versa. The WRAP serves as a mechanism for coordinating activities from many programs, and a blueprint for future activities.

The 2016 Western Regional Action Plan 1.0^2 provided the west coast blueprint to prepare and mitigate for climate impacts on eastern north Pacific fisheries, managed and protected species, and habitats. To date, the WRAP process has helped organize west coast science related to climate and living marine resources, primarily by hosting a series of climate related workshops, and secondarily through conversations between the science centers and the regional office. There are a number of efforts to integrate ecosystem conditions and processes with fisheries science under the umbrella of ecosystem-based fisheries management (EBFM) including: CAFA

¹ Link et al. 2015. NOAA-TM-NMFS-F/SPO-155

² NOAA-TM-NMFS-SWFSC-565, 2016

(Climate and Fisheries Adaptation), CEFI³ (Climate, Ecosystems, and Fisheries Initiative), IEA (Integrated Ecosystem Assessment), WCOFS (West Coast Operational Forecast System), DisMAP (Distribution Mapping and Analysis Portal), NAMEs (National Marine Ecosystem Status web portal), CESC (Center Ecosystem Science Committee), HI-EBFM (Human Integrated EBFM Research Strategy), DFO/NMFS Climate and Fisheries Collaboration, and various applicable laws and executive orders. Ultimately, these efforts need to operate synergistically for advancing shared science and management goals under changing conditions. Long term success will require inter-fisheries science center (i.e., CCLME-wide) collaboration to capture and manage the ecosystem and its various components at the scales they operate.

The NMFS recently completed a synthesis of Regional Action Plan accomplishments between $2016 - 2020^4$. The West Coast chapter to this synthesis lists our accomplishments and highlights areas needing additional attention. WRAP 2.0 (this document) will highlight the continuation of successful efforts, examine areas where progress has stalled, and identify opportunities for expanding the use of climate science in management applications. These activities support NOAA's effort to build a climate ready nation.

From its inception, the WRAP has been connected to and, when possible, integrated with the other west coast efforts to embed climate change considerations into the science and management of harvested species, protected species and habitats. In particular, the WRAP has collaborated with the California Current Integrated Ecosystem Assessment (CCIEA), the EBFM Western Region Implementation Plan (WRIP), and the Pacific Fishery Management Council's (PFMC) Fishery Ecosystem Plan (FEP). The proposed Climate, Ecosystems, and Fisheries Initiative (CEFI) calls for development of an end-to-end ocean modeling and decision support system to provide resource managers, communities and other decision makers with climate-informed advice. The WRAP identified activities to date have included working with both Centers on developing ocean, fisheries and human dimension indices that are used in the annual CCIEA Ecosystem Status Report (ESR) given to the PFMC. The CEFI System will build on these foundational elements to better understand impacts, identify risks and evaluate best management strategies for changing ocean ecosystems.

Funding resources bear mention. Over the past decade, Fisheries budgets have either held steady or decreased. The agency has requested permanent funding to begin building the infrastructure required to execute the programs coordinated in the WRAP, but progress is incremental, at best, and it will take time to build programs to scale. Much of the available funding for climate science has been temporary funds; permanent staff are largely yet to be hired, but will be critical as programs advance. To date, increasing scientific expertise and impact have been met with temporary affiliate staff hiring through contracting agencies, National Research Council (NRC), or via Cooperative Institutes. The progress that is being made with support of these affiliates has been excellent; however, this means that the human capital 'infrastructure' and expertise the agency uses for much of this work is largely temporary, and the agency will need to be able to transition to more permanent staff for the WRAP objectives to be realized. Ongoing research will need to be transitioned into operational scientific products to support managers and decision makers and this requires sustained oversight by qualified federal staff. In addition to these

³ NOAA Climate, Ecosystem and Fisheries Initiative Implementation Approach

⁴ NCSS 5-year Progress Report (Peterson et al. 2021)

challenges at the Centers, the Regional Office must build a similar permanent capability and capacity to facilitate the use of climate science in management programs. Supporting the science-to-management interface will be critical as the products outlined in the WRAP are developed and implemented and constituencies for them grow. Efforts to include these new capabilities have been requested for future budgets.

Going forward, WRAP-led coordination will promote data standards and metrics for gauging progress, connect projects with appropriate models, and engage social scientists with other programs to understand climate change impacts on coastal communities. The overall goal is to ensure, through expanded communication, that climate and ecosystems activities across the US West Coast are aligned.

Higher Level Activities

We will begin by expanding upon the aforementioned higher-level activities and then discussing some of the specific projects we plan to promote in the next three years.

Coordination

The WRAP strives to improve communication across climate projects and better coordination with the WCRO. The Region and Centers will prioritize twice-yearly joint meetings between the Centers' WRAP team and the Region's Climate Team. The focus of these meetings would be to: review WRAP research progress and review and prioritize tool development to address WCRO climate science needs.

The CCIEA team has produced a 3-year plan. WRAP is coordinated with the IEA 3-year plan and we propose a joint workshop addressing the coordination of the portfolio of the various NOAA Ocean Surveys along the West Coast.

We plan to have better coordination with other regions, particularly Alaska. We had a joint workshop scheduled with the Alaska Fisheries Science Center to discuss our efforts and look for avenues to collaborate. We will convene this workshop in the next year or so, depending in part on COVID-19 restrictions.

Support

We plan to support the development of standardized Ocean and Ecosystem Models. Many WRAP projects combine environmental data (e.g. from an ocean model such as ROMS (Regional Ocean Modeling System)) with a statistical (e.g. species distribution model, mechanistic model) or an ecosystem model (e.g. Atlantis, or EcoTran) to assess the impact of climate change on a target species. WRAP will support the development of these models by providing a forum for sharing information on the data inputs needed by these models, dissemination of model outputs and the application of the models to longer time scales and broader geographic coverage. We will attempt to ease the burden on individual projects by promoting a common modeling platform for west coast scientists. This includes working to support common remotely-sensed data streams (e.g. integrated chlorophyll measurements), ROMS from academic partners, and the development of MOM6 and WCOFS by Oceanic and Atmospheric Research (OAR) and National Ocean Survey (NOS) line offices.

Species distribution models have been used to examine historical patterns of habitat use for longterm citing efforts but also to provide near real time information on where species are most likely to be (e.g. EcoCast, WhaleWatch). With funding efforts from NOAA's Climate Program Office, skill at seasonal forecast and decadal projection scales is being explored so these models can be both tactical, and proactively used for planning. These offer spatially-explicit products to support climate-ready management, but rely on stationarity between species-environment relationships to ensure future skill. Operationalizing and continued validation of these tools are critical to ensure their utility as part of a broader management portfolio.

Assessment

What data are we collecting? There are numerous fisheries-directed CCLME research surveys hosted by the two Centers. The data from these surveys need to be collected and processed in a consistent manner that will allow use of the data to plan for climate change adaptation coastwide. Most of the effort goes into using the data for stock assessments; thus, not much planning has been directed to climate variability and change analyses. The NWFSC is currently conducting a center-wide review of their ocean surveys; the SWFSC will shortly initiate a similar comprehensive review. Following completion of these two analyses, we will conduct a workshop to review, coordinate, maintain, and standardize existing observational efforts. Wells et al (2020) present strategies for identifying data gaps and building the relevance of a research program for management applications.

Support of the Climate, Ecosystems, and Fisheries Initiative

We note that the activities listed above would support the goals of the Climate, Ecosystems, and Fisheries Initiative (CEFI) that have been developed and proposed as part of the 2023-2024 Federal budget. This NOAA-wide Initiative would "deliver and support the regional hindcasts, nowcasts, forecasts, and projections needed across the temporal (near-real-time, subseasonal-toseasonal, seasonal-to-decadal, and multi-decadal) and spatial scales (U.S. coastal and ocean ecosystems) required to effectively fulfill NOAA's stewardship missions in a changing climate". While still in the initial planning stages, the initiative calls for permanent funds to support new permanent employees within each living marine resource management region. These positions would include ocean modelers at each science center to run regional ocean models and serve as a conduit for model output to center scientists, as well as multiple positions to advance regional Decision Support Teams (DSTs). The DSTs would be focused on advancing analyses and tools to support management, and would include a regional coordinator as well as multiple scientists with a range of expertise as needed (e.g., population dynamics, management strategy evaluation, ecosystem modeling, economics and social sciences). The DSTs would also work to transition and maintain research analyses into operational science products for IEAs, stock assessments, protected species toolboxes, and other science products that inform managers and decision makers. NMFS activities would also be supported by the involvement of other NOAA line offices (especially OAR and NOS) in CEFI, particularly through their roles in ocean modeling, training, data management and dissemination. If funded, the CEFI's additional resources would present an opportunity to align the CCIEA Ecosystem Status Reports, risk analyses, MSEs, and protected species needs, with WRAP planning efforts to build a holistic long-term strategy for climate-ready fisheries science.

Reducing the impacts of changing climate and ocean conditions on living marine resources and resource dependent communities is contingent upon both mitigation and adaptation. Mitigation measures to reduce current and future greenhouse gas emissions or remove carbon dioxide from the atmosphere are key factors in determining which future climate scenario we are headed towards and the degree and types of adaptation needed to increase the resilience of fisheries and communities.

NOAA is working to reduce green-house gas emissions from its facilities and ships (DOC 2021 Climate Action Plan) as well as taking action to increase resiliency and adapt to current and anticipated impacts of changing climate and ocean conditions on living marine resources.

This RAP discusses actions to increase the resilience of the Nation's valuable living marine resources and the people, businesses and communities that depend on them.

Key Needs/Actions

The following sections focus on projects that are planned to be implemented by 2024, including an evaluation of the progress made on the original WRAP plan.

Update on project status and management needs from the original WRAP

The original WRAP had seven planned actions. Significant progress has been made on specific applications within four areas: (i) management strategy evaluations (MSE) that include climate projections, multiple species, multiple fleets, spatial distribution changes and economic models, (ii) full life-cycle models for Pacific salmon that are explicitly linked to climate projections and management actions, (iii) development of the California Current Integrated Ecosystem Assessment (CCIEA) and its Ecosystem Status Reports (ESR), and (iv) dissemination of climate-related science and information, e.g., climate vulnerability analyses and other communications. These project areas will continue or expand over the next 3 years as an ongoing component of NMFS science and management.

The other three planned action areas have been initiated to varying extents, but do not have completed products to date: (i) establish a framework for strategic planning of climate work, originally conceived as the NMFS West Coast Climate Committee (WC³) and Program (WCCP), (ii) build scientific expertise within the Centers to address ongoing and expected changes, and (iii) review, coordinate and standardize existing data-collection efforts and analyses to bring climate indices and projected trust species' responses into management applications.

The two Centers and the Regional Office have created internal climate committees; the SWFSC created the Center Ecosystem Science Committee (CESC), the NWFSC created the Climate Change and Ocean Acidification Network, the Science Centers provide liaisons to the Regional Office's cross-divisional Climate Team, and the Regional Office provides liaisons to the WRAP team. To date, there has been some coordination across these committees, but there hasn't been a common directive that integrates across committees to reduce duplication of effort and to ensure

that common goals can be addressed. There needs to be further collaboration among regional and national climate groups as to the need and benefit of creating a stronger climate tie between the entities.

The West Coast Regional Office has identified management applications pertinent to managing trust resources under climate change (see appendix 2). Some of the applications identified by the region include:

- 1. Tools to assess the resilience of habitat areas being considered for species' protection and reintroductions; including how human interaction with freshwater habitat may change under climate change.
- 2. Incorporation of climate change impacts into streamflow, temperature and salmon habitat suitability projections at a variety of scales and time-steps.
- 3. Tools to assess climate change impacts on the range, distribution, phenology, disease, and abundance and productivity of protected and managed species in bays and estuaries.
- 4. Tools to assess how our changing climate, changing ocean physical states, chemistry, and changing ocean productivity may affect: species' interactions in ecological communities over time; the availability of habitat to our species, compression or expansion of habitat; and the availability of fisheries-targeted species to fishing communities.
- 5. Evaluation of the potential for extreme-weather and climate events, hypoxic zones, drought and flooding conditions, and sea-level rise to affect human communities, including ocean industries such as fisheries and coastal aquaculture.

Ongoing efforts

There are numerous WRAP-related efforts bringing climate science into management considerations. These include the CCIEA ESR, six separate MSEs, climate vulnerability assessments, adaptive management strategies, ecosystem forecasting models and life-history analyses. There is diverse funding for these analyses; the WRAP provides the forum for integrating these efforts.

Informing Management

California Current Integrated Ecosystem Assessment -- Ecosystem Status Report

The CCIEA focuses on providing ecosystem data and interpretation to the Pacific Fishery Management Council (PFMC). Since 2014, an annual ESR has been presented to the PFMC each March. During the year, the CCIEA works with the Council's Scientific and Statistical Committee, and its subcommittees, to review and validate ecosystem indices to build into the report. The report has evolved each year to emphasize trends that may impact the managed resources and impacts on the fishery communities. Three recent examples are the development of new indices to monitor upwelling, marine heatwaves, and habitat compression in the CCLME. In 2016-17, the Council conducted a Fishery Ecosystem Plan (FEP) initiative that provided a coordinated review of the ESR's indicators and other information and analyses to better tune the ESR's contents to the Council's ecosystem science information needs. The FEP is currently being updated. Tommasi et al. (2021) examines the potential for connecting ecosystem models and analysis to management needs articulated under that Council initiative.

MSE efforts

Management Strategy Evaluations remain an important tool for fisheries management in a changing environment. These efforts will continue to inform management options. In its review of the draft WRAP 2.0, the PFMC asked that NOAA Fisheries develop a webpage dedicated to publicly sharing information about and publications from MSEs conducted on West Coast species or issues.

Sablefish

The NE Pacific sablefish MSE work is ongoing, with main focal points being the collaborative development of the technical MSE tool and engaging stakeholders in the MSE process. Recently, the Pacific Sablefish Transboundary Assessment Team (PSTAT), in collaboration with the Northwest Fisheries Science Center (NWFSC), Alaska Fisheries Science Center (AFSC), Canadian Department of Fisheries and Oceans (DFO), Alaska Department of Fish and Game (ADF&G), PFMC, and North Pacific Fishery Management Council (NPFMC), held a public workshop (April 27-28, 2021) to solicit feedback on the ongoing range-wide sablefish management strategy evaluation. The NE Pacific sablefish workshop report is available at pacificsablefishscience.org, and provides a synthesis of workshop feedback that will be considered during both Phase I (MSE management procedures, through 2023) and Phase II (future research, in 2023 and beyond). A primary goal for Phase I of the PSTAT research project is to learn about sablefish dynamics across the NE Pacific and provide the best scientific advice to regional managers. Phase II priorities, which are dependent upon available funding and resources, include incorporating climate considerations into the operating model. Climate considerations for Phase II are supported by ongoing range-wide review and analyses of climaterecruitment relationships and spatio-temporal variation in recruitment that will set the stage for climate related hypotheses to be explored via MSE.

Swordfish

Building upon our real-time prediction tools in EcoCast, the Future Seas project (<u>https://future-seas.com</u>) focused an MSE on the drift gillnet swordfish fishery in the California Current. For rare and broadly distributed bycatch species, dynamic closures are likely to be most effective when used with other tools (e.g. Smith et al. 2021a). In the next phase of development, the model ensemble will be expanded to include a multispecies age structured population model for the forage assemblage and the Atlantis ecosystem model to generate projections of ecosystem state.

Sardine

An ongoing sardine MSE aims to explore issues of climate resilience and multi-species management on the sardine (and other CPS) fisheries. To date, we have assessed the potential impact of a shifting sardine distribution on sardine landings, and identified the important influence other CPS landings and the seasonal annual catch limit (ACL) allocation scheme have on this impact (Smith et al. 2021b). Bioenergetic, individual-based, and spatial age-based models of sardine are currently being refined for use as operating models in MSEs. A second CAFA funded project building upon Future Seas will focus on forage species to improve climate-ready information for decision makers.

Albacore

Two MSEs have been developed for albacore. The first examines scenarios for the entire North Pacific stock, and was completed in collaboration with the ISC albacore working group (ISC

2019). The final report will be available later in 2021. The second was part of the Future Seas project, and linked species distribution models (Muhling et al. 2019) with albacore biomass to derive indices of albacore availability, and predict port-level landings. These models were informed by a network analysis of the albacore fleet (Frawley et al. 2020) and are being combined with fishing community level social vulnerability indices to assess climate impacts on albacore dependent communities.

Coastal Pelagic Species

Phase II of the Future Seas project (2020-2023) will develop a climate-informed ecosystem MSE framework focused on coastal pelagic species. This work will assess the performance of current and alternative management strategies under a changing climate, shifting forage species composition, and varying predator populations. The MSE framework will use an ensemble of spatially explicit and climate-informed operating models including Atlantis, a multispecies model (MICE), and a sardine single-species model (SPM). To assess performance of explicit economic objectives, the operating models will be coupled to economic models to represent the fisheries dynamics and to develop socio-economically explicit performance metrics.

Adaptive management

Adaptive management approaches use expert assessment to fine-tune management approaches during a management cycle to allow for timely intervention. A drawback of such approaches, however, is that they require expert elicitation to translate new information into management decisions, which can slow the process but also can be extremely successful when done rapidly.

Dynamic ocean management (DOM)

DOM utilizes real-time environmental and ecosystem data to enable managers to make rapid fisheries management decisions based on changing ocean conditions. On the west coast we have one DOM control rule, Temperature Observations To Avoid Loggerheads (TOTAL) (https://coastwatch.pfeg.noaa.gov/loggerheads/) and two DOM modeling approaches (EcoCast and WhaleWatch) (https://coastwatch.pfeg.noaa.gov/ecocast/) (https://coastwatch.pfeg.noaa.gov/projects/whalewatch2/) to address human-wildlife conflict.

New DOM tools are being developed to inform the risk assessment and mitigation program for whale/fixed gear entanglement on the west coast, aiming to provide information on real-time environmental conditions (e.g. habitat compression index, HCI), real time forage and whale distributions, and information on fleet effort and economics to conduct a more thorough trade-off analysis. These DOM tools are climate-ready as they respond to changing ocean conditions as long as stationarity between species and the variables used to describe their habitat remains. The tools are being tested with seasonal forecasts and downscaled climate projections to provide multiple time-scales of decision-relevant projections for the US West Coast.

Understanding mechanisms and projecting future conditions

Forecasting models

On seasonal timescales (1-12 months), there are several efforts to develop west coast ocean forecasts for fisheries applications. Downscaled ROMS forecasts for the CCLME have been run for a retrospective period (1982-2010) to enable a multi-decadal skill assessment and explore the potential for ecological forecasts. Forecast skill is dependent on ocean state (sea surface temperature (SST), sea surface height (SSH), bottom temp, and stratification tend to have good skill), time of year (winter/spring are best, fall is worst), and lead time (generally lower skill at longer lead times). SST forecasts are being evaluated for potential application to the TOTAL (Temperature Observations to Avoid Loggerheads), which currently is based on observations but could provide additional lead time based on forecasts. Prospects for additional applications are being explored, and those with the most potential will be targeted for further development and transition to real-time application. Longer term forecasts will be part of our collaboration with OAR and the development of MOM6.

J-SCOPE

WRAP efforts to develop seasonal forecasts of ocean conditions will continue to benefit from JSCOPE (JISAO Seasonal Coastal Ocean Prediction of the Ecosystem), a partnership led by Dr. Samantha Siedlecki (Univ Connecticut) and involving scientists from the NMFS, ESRL, PMEL, and academia. JSCOPE produces short-term (6 to 9 month) forecasts of oceanographic conditions off of Oregon, Washington and Vancouver Island, using oceanographic models and forcings derived from downscaled simulations from the NOAA Climate Forecast System (Siedlecki et al. 2016). Outputs include 3-D, high-resolution predictions of temperature, pH, oxygen, and chlorophyll. These outputs yield seasonal forecasts of distribution of key species such as sardines, hake, and larval Dungeness crab (Kaplan et al. 2016, Malick et al. 2020, Norton et al. 2020). Ongoing work involves seasonal forecasts of catch rates and meat quality of Dungeness crab, in collaboration with state and tribal agencies. Dungeness crab, hake, and sardines are typically among the highest-ranking species in terms of West Coast fishery landings or revenue. These seasonal forecasts are tailored to annual decision-making processes, as fishery managers grapple with climate variability and shifts in stock location, quality and abundance.

Salmon freshwater-marine cumulative effects, ecosystem models and cost effectiveness of recovery actions

Biophysical models that link parts or all of a salmon's life-cycle to climate and salmon habitat have been developed and are now regularly used to support freshwater habitat management for West Coast salmon. For instance, biophysical models are now used to evaluate consequences of reservoir storage, water release alternatives, and future weather and climate on the early life-stage survival rates for ESA-listed Winter Run Chinook salmon in California's Central Valley (see https://oceanview.pfeg.noaa.gov/CVTEMP/). Likewise, habitat-linked life cycle models have been developed and used to evaluate the consequences of climate change and habitat restoration alternatives for salmon in Washington's Chehalis Watershed and the Snake River Basin. Both the NW and SW Fisheries Science Centers are putting increased effort into better understanding and modeling of "carry-over" effects of climate-influences on salmon from one habitat and life-stage to the next. These models essentially follow salmon from freshwater to estuary to ocean and back to estuary and freshwater. Model scenarios explore how different

management actions (e.g. habitat restoration, dam removal, reservoir release alternatives, etc.) might be used to mitigate negative impacts of climate change.

Life cycle modeling has largely focused on climate impacts and management actions in the freshwater life stages, with improvements in climate projections for stream temperature, stream flow, and salmon responses. We will continue this work in numerous locations, including the Central Valley, California, the Columbia River Basin, and other locations such as the Chehalis River Basin, Puget Sound, and California's coastal watersheds. A looming gap is application of these tools to management actions and climate impacts in the marine environment.

The goal of the salmon case study is to bridge the gap between recent projections of severe declines in threatened salmon due to climate change (e.g. Crozier et al. 2021), and a better characterization of potential management responses to mitigate declines in marine survival. Salmon marine survival depends on some combination of bottom up (nutrient-based) and top down (predator-driven) species interactions, and salmon life history. Thus, the WRAP project will parameterize ecosystem models to test hypothesized species interactions across multiple salmon life histories. We will test a large set of conceptual models previously proposed using a combination of existing ecosystem models and statistical models that focus on key species interactions. We will compare yearling spring/summer Chinook, subyearling fall Chinook from different regions, and coho life histories by varying the body size, timing, and spatial distributions driving predator/prey interactions.



Figure 1. Major features of salmon case study. Statistical models project that warmer oceans will drive salmon population declines (top panel). We will use ecosystem models (left lower panel) to compare alternative hypotheses regarding the mechanisms driving the observed correlation, and assess the potential for management levers to mitigate those drivers (middle lower panel). The model will account for a variety of climate effects in freshwater and the ocean (bottom right) with the goal of avoiding population extinction (middle right).

Expanding EBFM to better reflect needs of protected species, we will test model sensitivity to at least five types of management actions: predator control actions, such as culling of sea lions, changes in management of target fisheries stocks that interact with salmon (forage species and fish predators), habitat actions in the Columbia River estuary, and freshwater "carry-over" effects associated with dams, habitat actions and climate impacts in freshwater. The models will

compare ecosystem characteristics under historical and future ocean conditions using ROMS projections from multiple global climate models, and changes in spatial distribution and abundance of forage fish, mammals, and other species in the California Current using results from other projects (e.g., the COCA Forage Project and MICE models focused on marine mammals). Improved parameterizations of ecosystem models will then be available for multi-model comparisons in other management strategy evaluations.

Finally, we will examine the human impacts of decreasing salmon runs. Billions of dollars have been spent over the last fifty years on a variety of measures to promote recovery of these populations, and billions more will almost certainly be spent in the next few decades. Although there is no fixed budget or limit on what is spent to promote recovery, resources are not unlimited, and fully restoring the natural river system and habitat has been considered too costly and impractical. There is considerable uncertainty about the effectiveness of alternative actions for promoting recovery, both in absolute terms and in terms of cost-effectiveness. Climate change exacerbates this uncertainty as it will undoubtedly change the absolute and relative effectiveness of different recovery actions. Despite this uncertainty examining the relative effectiveness and cost effectiveness of alternative recovery actions can be useful for informing recovery planning. Targeting recovery investments cost-effectively can advance recovery objectives and other objectives related to ecological restoration, including advancing human well-being and equitable distribution of costs and benefits. This analysis will also help identify where it is most valuable to target research and data collection to reduce uncertainty. In this project we will compare a wide range of actions intended to promote recovery of salmon and steelhead in the Columbia River Basin and evaluate relative return on investment of those actions in terms of increasing average returns of threatened and endangered salmon and steelhead populations. Where possible, we will evaluate how effectiveness of actions may be impacted by climate change. We will also evaluate the distribution of costs and benefits associated with applying different actions and how that influences equity and political feasibility of particular approaches.

Location, Location, Location

The "Location Location Location" WRAP study and workshop in March 2020 focused on species distribution shifts under climate change. A substantial part of the workshop focused on more fully testing the performance of different methods for species distribution models (SDMs) under projected future changes in ocean conditions. The Future Seas Team provided dynamically downscaled earth system models to define scenarios of ocean conditions under climate change (Pozo Buil et al. 2021). Stephanie Brodie, with assistance from James Smith, led much of the discussion around performance testing of the SDMs, drawing from Brodie, et al. (2019). Next steps that support WRAP and climate science on the West Coast will focus around development of the following papers 1) The primary paper, which advances best practices for projecting species distribution shifts under climate change, including quantifying sources and magnitude of uncertainty through time (Brodie et al. 2022) 2) Testing the use of fishery dependent data in SDMs and its impact on model performance and predictive skill (Melissa Karp, lead) 3) Estimating shifts in biogeographic distributions of fishes from 1951-present between Punta Eugenia, Baja California and San Francisco, California, inferred from the CalCOFI and IMECOCAL survey programs (Andrew Thompson, lead).

Build and Maintain Infrastructure and Track Change

As mentioned earlier (Higher Level Activities), there are numerous fisheries-directed CCLME research surveys hosted by the two Centers. The data from these surveys will continue to be collected and processed in a consistent manner that will allow use of the data to plan for climate change adaptation coastwide. Review of the suite of ocean surveys by the NWFSC and SWFSC will help provide information to better coordinate, maintain, and standardize existing observational efforts.

New Initiatives

While robust EBFM is a short-term objective, other developing potential ocean uses, principally wind and wave energy and offshore aquaculture, are shifting management strategies to the more comprehensive Ecosystem Based Management (EBM). The goal is to ensure that fisheries surveys, fisheries management, protected species and habitat have climate considerations properly considered during the permitting phase of other ocean uses. Under CEFI funding, the WRAP would work with other initiatives to ensure that these competing usages are included in the FACSS. The WRAP Salmon case study will begin this process by developing an end-to-end model and evaluate management alternatives with a protected species explicitly in mind.

Understanding the Effects of Oceanographic Conditions and Recruitment of Ocean Finfish

One of the main factors affecting the abundance of adult fishes is recruitment class strength. For relatively short-lived species such as sardine and anchovy, the availability of adults to a fishery is largely driven by strong recruitment classes. For overfished, longer-lived species such as rockfishes, recovery is facilitated by strong year classes. It is thus very important for both stock assessment and ecosystem-based fisheries management to improve our capacity to measure recruitment and elucidate the oceanographic mechanisms that drive recruitment.

Despite more than a century of research, the mechanisms that impact the magnitude of annual fish recruitment have been elusive worldwide and in the CCLME in particular. Fortunately, over the past two decades, amazing technologies and novel approaches have emerged that are giving us a fresh perspective for measuring recruitment and discerning the factors impacting recruitment strength. To better measure recruitment, a novel technique has been developed that evaluates the ratio of larval fish growth to mortality based on the size frequency distribution of larval and juvenile fishes. Both the CalCOFI and RREAS monitoring programs have size frequency data on fishes collected over long temporal scales, and efforts are currently underway to quantify recruitment based on these data. In addition, we are utilizing multiple approaches to figure out the mechanisms that drive recruitment variability. Environmental DNA technology is providing unprecedented insight on the prey field of larval fishes and the effects of those prey fields on larval mortality (testing the Hjort 1926 classic critical period hypothesis). Compound-specific stable isotope analysis on larval fishes is measuring the trophic position of larval prey and has linked larval food chain length to recruitment strength. Analysis of larval otoliths show that the size of the larvae at hatch and the oceanographic conditions the larvae experiences significantly affect early life history survival. High powered Individual Based Models that use ROMS output

are being developed to track fish from "cradle to grave," with the objective of identifying ocean conditions that facilitate high recruitment.

All of these approaches, and more, are currently being implemented by various west coast PIs to try to understand what induced high recruitment events in anchovy and rockfishes in the past decade. Anchovy were at all-time low abundances in 2013. However, strong recruitment after 2013 propelled adult anchovy abundance to all-time highs in 2022. Rockfishes also exhibited record-high recruitment from 2013-2016 leading to the highest recorded adult population sizes of species such as shortbelly rockfish in 2020.

At the request of the PFMC, WRAP 2.0 would seek funding to support a workshop to coordinate science planning and foster collaboration on understanding the effects of near- and medium-term oceanographic conditions on larval and juvenile survivability and ultimately recruitment of ecologically-, commercially- and recreationally-important finfish species to West Coast fisheries. The workshop's objectives would be:

- 1. 1. An inventory and planning process for developing new indicators of larval recruitment. The inventory of available tools to model recruitment dynamics could include, for example, such emerging tools as:
 - a. High resolution video monitoring
 - b. eDNA to resolve larval and juvenile predator and prey fields
 - c. Chemical biomarkers such as compound-specific stable isotope analysis
 - d. ROMS models to fuel Individual Based Modeling
 - e. otolith analysis
- 2. An assessment of the feasibility of combining the inventoried tools to produce robust forecasts of year class strengths.

If developing such a multi-method framework proves feasible, further development would also integrate the effects of oceanographic variability on larval survivability and recruitment. This workshop holds the promise to truly make progress towards understanding a fundamental driver of fish population dynamics.

Human Dimensions

Social science is an essential element of managing natural resources in an ecosystem framework. Information about the interaction between climate drivers and human elements of the system, including commercial and recreational fisheries, changes in aquaculture production or seafood pricing, patterns of hydropower generation, agricultural and human demands for water, patterns and dynamics of human well-being, and so forth, is needed to support management of our marine and anadromous resources. Both science centers have strong expertise in fisheries economics and the NWFSC employs two social scientists focused on human dimensions of fishery management and impacts on fishing communities. However, our ability to predict how climate change will impact fishers and fishing communities is limited both by a lack of data to understand impacts of climate change and climate variation retrospectively and because many other factors (e.g. technology, markets, demographics) may drive changes in coastal communities as or more strongly than climate change. Data on fishery landings and revenues can be attributed to vessel owners or port of landing providing information about fishery dependence. However, there are

not yet long-term data sets of human factors that can clearly identify links between coastal communities' well-being and the natural and regulatory environment. There are extensive data from sources such as American Communities Survey, the Bureau of Economic Affairs, Bureau of Labor, etc. at the municipal or county level. This information is used to understand vulnerability to climate and other stressors at the community level, but it is not clear how well it reflects the individuals within those geographies that participate in fishing, particularly for large urban areas. This limits our ability to include appropriate human responses in MSEs, as well as to predict likely human responses to management actions over long time frames. A longitudinal survey of fishing vessel owners along the West Coast was conducted in 2017 and 2020 and will be conducted every three years going forward. This survey may provide a means to better understand how welfare of fishing households is impacted by ecosystem changes and to evaluate how well indicators of fishery dependence and social vulnerability at the community level reflect fishing households within them.

Center-wide species-specific research on predicted ocean condition changes will provide some information on potential climate variability impacts for the variety of species and fishery management groups managed on the West Coast. When finalized, these results will be linked to community vulnerability results for the communities where similar species-specific commercial fishing indices are salient. Part of this continued work involves collaborating with biophysical scientists on assessments for Dungeness Crab and Pink Shrimp, still absent in the current set of climate vulnerability assessments (CVAs). Relatedly, this work will support the species distribution modeling (SDM) efforts involved in the NWFSC-led project identifying and predicting climate impacts on groundfish, as well as the PFMC's Climate and Communities Initiative.

Ecological shocks and changes driven by climate are likely to increase inter-annual variability in fishermen's revenue, but variability can be reduced by diversifying fishing activities across multiple fisheries or regions (Kasperski and Holland 2013). Indices of fishery revenue diversification of West Coast and Alaskan fishermen are available going back to 1981 and work is ongoing to understand the role diversification has played in stabilizing income and preventing exit of fishing vessels in response to climate change and shocks over the last 40 years. A focus of research in the next few years is to understand how different types of portfolios of fishing activity including concurrent or overlapping fisheries versus ones that take place during different seasons impact income variability and persistence in response to climate shocks such as the 2015 marine heat wave and related events such as closures to toxins from HABs. Related work uses network analysis to look at fishery diversification at the community or port level and how this diversification impacts responses and resilience of fishing communities. This retrospective analysis should provide insights into strategies for individuals and fishery managers that may increase resilience of fishers to climate change.

One strong manifestation of climate shocks that is likely to become more common with a warming California Current is an increase in HABs and the subsequent need to close shellfish fisheries due to high levels of domoic acid. NWFSC scientists are taking part in studies to understand how better monitoring and prediction of HABs and toxins in shellfish and changes in management can mitigate impacts of HABs. A primary focus is on Dungeness crab fisheries which are the most important source of income for many West Coast fishers and communities.

Engagement in Management Processes

The Pacific Fisheries Management Council conducted a <u>Climate and Communities Initiative</u> from 2017 through 2021 to help the Council, its advisory bodies, and the public to better understand the effects of near-term climate shift and long-term climate change on West Coast fish, fisheries, and fishing communities and to identify ways in which the Council could incorporate such understanding into its decision making. The initiative began with educational webinars from Center scientists on the state of scientific information on the potential effects of climate change on the physical, biological, social and economic environments.

Over 2018-19, the Council held a series of public workshops to evaluate its existing fisheries management measures that could be useful in addressing the effects of climate variability and change on fish stocks and fisheries, and to brainstorm on future possible actions. These early efforts gave rise to a scenario planning process on the effects of climate variability and change on its managed fish stocks and fisheries during 2019-2021. Scenario planning is a strategic planning process that helps organizations think about and meet new challenges through discussions around a suite of different possible descriptions of future conditions.

The PFMC closed the Climate and Communities Initiative in September 2021 with recommendations to consider: 1) initiating a range of science-focused activities, 2) implementing revisions to ongoing management processes, and 3) continuing collaboration with partner agencies and stakeholder groups. These recommendations became the basis for the PFMC's next ecosystem initiative on bringing species- or stock-specific ecosystem and climate information into decision-making, and for the PFMC's request that WRAP 2.0 include provisions for a workshop on the effects of oceanographic conditions on juvenile survival and recruitment.

Ecosystem Shifts

The Ecosystem Shift project illustrates how independent projects (many listed previously) can be integrated to address the general issues emerging from climate variability and change. This CCLME project illustrates how variable forage availability and associated ecological and socioeconomic impacts of predators feeding on alternate prey integrates across the whole ecological landscape. The ultimate goal is to develop a general tool for management strategy evaluation.

The figure below shows the specific aspects of the project that could be integrated: 1) diet analyses, 2) variability in forage availability, 3) forage distributions, 4) shifts in those forage distributions, 5) development of biophysical models for examining the system responses to varying climate and forage retrospectively, 6) future states, and 7 and 8) development of MSEs to mitigate negative effects of predators seeking alternate prey. While a number of these individual projects are funded, our goal, in the context of WRAP, is to secure funding to develop a gap analysis and modeling framework focused on the integration of these projects. The MSEs we will hope to examine could include management directly on forage (e.g., reduce fishing on CPS or groundfish to promote greater juvenile abundance), management on competing predators (e.g., fishing hake to decrease demand on forage), or on predators directly (e.g., culling).



More generally, the goal of this project is to demonstrate that ecological surprises can be contextualized into greater topological conditions rather than treated as idiosyncratic issues. If treated as such, we can take a broader approach to developing management strategies.

The collaborators on this project have made great strides toward achieving the project goals.

1. Food Habits. Using S&T funds, SWFSC has successfully developed and begun beta testing a relational database of food habits for 157 elasmobranch, teleost fishes, cephalopod, and marine mammal predators across the CCLME which will provide a knowledge base for the following components.

2. Forage Variability: Using data from a number of surveys, we have identified a number of environmental characteristics that affect directly and indirectly the spatiotemporal availability of forage as well as variability in the assemblages. Using S&T funds, we initiated

work on understanding drivers of the recruitment dynamics of CPS. Finally, the Future Seas project (see above) will investigate drivers of forage species variability.

3. Forage SDM: Great strides have been made to define species distribution models for sardine and anchovy with work progressing on Pacific mackerel, market squid, Pacific herring, and jack mackerel. Parametrization of these models comes directly from survey data. Forage ecosystem indicators will be developed from these SDMs to inform predator and fishery dynamics and their interactions.

4. Taxa distribution shifts: See "Location Location Location" above.

5. Biophysical model: Given the goal of the project to identify spatiotemporal variability in predator-prey interactions, we are focusing on an agent-based approach to examine the role of the environment on predator and forage dynamics. This will be done by building on previously developed models for predators (i.e., central place feeder, migratory feeder, transitory feeder), prey (i.e., anchovy, juvenile rockfishes, krill), and salmon. This model directly uses data from the Food Habits database including diets and diet sizes.

6. Projections: See swordfish MSE as an example.

7. We envision potential MSEs related to: managing hatchery practices, freshwater dynamics, fixed gear fishing regulations, forage for predators and the management of competing predators to reduce associated ecological and socio-economic impacts of predators feeding on alternate prey.

8. Future Seas in phase 2 will develop an MSE using the multispecies and ecosystem models described above to compare performance of current and alternative, including assemblage-based, catch rules in meeting management objectives given potential future impacts of climate change on the forage assemblage.

Actions and Metrics

Ongoing and planned future climate-related projects are listed below (Table 1) along with the project goal and several suggested metrics for measuring progress.

Table 1. Ongoing and planned WRAP activities through 2024.

Planned Actions	Project	RAP 2.0 goal and SMART Metric
		Complete Workshop and produce
Five WRAP-sponsored		table of forecasting skill. Archive
workshops	Ocean Modeling	Presentations.
		Complete Workshop and produce
	Ecosystem	table of forecasting skill. Archive
	Modeling	Presentations.
		Complete Workshop and produce
	Decision Support	table of forecasting skill. Archive
	Tools	Presentations.
	location, location,	
	location	Completed manuscript on SDMs
	Oceanographic	
	Effects on	Hold workshop, report out on
	Recruitment	results to PFMC
	California Current	
Ecosystem Indicator	Integrated	
Monitoring (NCSS	Ecosystem	Annual Ecosystem Status Report
Objectives 1-3)	Assessment (CCIEA)	and oral presentation to the PFMC
		extend application of upwelling
		indices and include on Website to
	Upwelling indices	deliver indices
		Develop Predictive Model;
	Marine Heatwaves	Produce Manuscript; Automated
	(MHW)	web delivery
	Habitat	
	Compression Index	
	(HCI)	Manuscript; web delivery
		Complete NE Pacific wide review
		of climate driven recruitment
		processes for sablefish, and build
		out a framework for doing so once
Climate-informed MSEs		the first iteration of the technical
(NCSS Objectives 1-3)	Sablefish	MSE tool has been built and the

Planned Actions	Project	RAP 2.0 goal and SMART Metric
		first iteration is complete (likely
		during 2023). Manuscript,
		Framework for integrating climate-
		recruitment impacts in the MSE,
		managers, stakeholders, and
		scientists Second iteration MSE
		tool that explicitly incorporates
		climate.
	Hake	
	Future Seas Phase I:	
	Swordfish, sardine	
	and albacore	
	Future Seas Phase	
	II: Coastal Pelagic	
	Species	Develop Discussion and del
		individual based spatial age based
	Sardine	models
	Albacore Entire	models
	North Pacific stock	Produce Final Report by 2024
		Spatial distribution models to
	Social consequences	predict port-level landings, social
	for albacore fishery	vulnerability indices
Climate Vulnerability		
Assessments (NCSS		
Objectives 1-3)	Marine Mammals	
	Fishery	
	Management Areas	complete Manuscript
Real-time Fisheries		Continue development of
Management (NCSS	Dynamic ocean	management options. Manuscripts
Objectives 1-3)	management	and web tools.
		develop tools for vessel
	anticipating IUU	tool
		Refine tools for the CA State RAMP
	Whale	nrogram: Produce Manuscrints
	entanglements	and web tool.
	Bycatch reduction	
	leatherback and	DOM and TOTAL web-based tools:
	loggerhead turtles	Manuscripts.

Planned Actions	Project	RAP 2.0 goal and SMART Metric
Forecasting Models		Annual prediction of WA/OR
(NCSS Objectives 4&5)	J-SCOPE	coastal O2 and OA
	Central Valley	Seasonal forecasts of river
	Temperature	temperature impacts on salmon in
	Mapping and	the Sacramento River to guide
	Prediction	water project operations; Produce
	(CVTEMP)	manuscripts and web tool
		Expand salmon responses to
		climate change within this
		population group (marine trophic
		interactions, phenology and
		growth carryover effects); add
		new climate forcing models from
		Future Seas ROMS model outputs,
Climate-driven life		estuary effects. Manuscript,
cycle modeling of	Snake River	results communicated to WRC,
Pacific Salmon (NCSS	spring/summer	presentations, use in Biological
Objectives 4&5)	Chinook salmon	Opinions and EISs.
	Develop models for	
	other Columbia	
	River Basin	
	populations	Presentations and initial results
		Predict response of population to
		changing water project operations
		under climate change; include
	Sacramento River	carry-over effects from freshwater
	winter-run Chinook	to ocean; Inclusion of analyses in
	salmon LCM	biological opinions; manuscripts
		Complete end-to end ecosystem
		model simulations and scenario
		exploration of climate change and
		management actions, multi-model
		comparison; Publications using
		EcoTran, publications on
		qualitative network model and
Ecosystem modeling of		statistical model, presentations to
northern California		NOAA staff and
Current (NCSS	WRAP Salmon case	partners/stakeholders on
Objectives 4&5)	study	management implications
	Salmon ocean	
Spatial	distribution	Develop similar models for other
distribution/abundance	modeling	populations. Manuscript

Planned Actions	Project	RAP 2.0 goal and SMART Metric
modeling (NCSS		
Objectives 4&5)		
	Groundfish	use downscaled climate projections (Future Seas ROMS model) to predict changes in distributions of groundfish species adequately sampled by the NWFSC trawl survey, how those distributional shifts interact with current harvest management using the Atlantis ecosystem model, and how they may impact west coast fisheries and fishing communities. Manuscripts, results communicated to PFMC and DFWs, presentations
Human Dimensions (NCSS Objective 6&7)	Salmon recovery return on investment under climate change Climate and	Compare return on investment of alternative salmon recovery tools taking into account impacts of climate change. Tech memo and journal publication comparing ROI of salmon recovery actions and recommending areas where more research on effectiveness would be most valuable.
Strategic Planning and capacity building (NCSS Objectives 6&7)	Communities Center Ecosystem Science Committee (SWFSC)	Manuscripts on Ecological Indicators
	Climate and Ocean Acidification Network (NWFSC)	
	West Coast Region Climate Team (WRC+centers)	
	Climate, Ecosystem and Fisheries Initiative oceanographic modeling	

Planned Actions	Project	RAP 2.0 goal and SMART Metric
	Coordination of	
	data-collection	Meet with Ocean Surveys Working
	efforts and data	Group to ensure climate needs
	sharing	accounted for
	Coordinate through	
	DFO/NMFS climate	DFO/NMFS Action Plan to be
	work group	completed Fall 2021
	Incorporate climate	
	needs into Salmon	
	Science Strategic	
	Plan	Completion of SSPT strategic plan
	Standardize data	Identify the players who need to
	collection and	participate and organize a
	reporting	workshop

References

- Brodie, S., J.T.Thorson, G. Carroll, E.L.Hazen, S. Bograd, M.A. Haltuch, K.K. Holsman, S. Kotwicki, J.F. Samhouri, E. Willis-Norton and R.L. Selden. 2019. Trade-offs in covariate selection for species distribution models: a Methodological comparison. Ecography (43): 11-24. doi: 10.1111/ecog.04707
- Brodie, et al. 2022. Recommendations for quantifying and reducing uncertainty in climate projections of species distributions. Global Change Biology. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.16371
- Chasco, B., B. Burke, L.C. Crozier, R.W. Zabel. 2021. Differential impacts of freshwater and marine covariates on wild and hatchery Chinook salmon marine survival. Plos One 16: e0246659.
- Crozier, L.C., Burke, B.J., Chasco, B.E., Widener, D. L, Zabel, R.W. 2021. Iconic species in peril: Chinook salmon face climate change across multiple life stages. Communications Biology 4:222-235.
- DOC (2021). Department of Commerce 2021 Climate Action Plan for Adaptation and Resilience. Office of the Federal Chief Sustainability Officer. https://www.sustainability.gov/pdfs/doc-2021-cap.pdf
- Frawley, T.H., B.A. Muhling, S. Brodie, M.C Fisher, D. Tommasi, G. Le Fol, E.L. Hazen, S.S. Stohs, E.M. Finkbeiner, M.G. Jacox. 2020. Changes to the structure and function of an albacore fishery reveal shifting social-ecological realities for Pacific Northwest fishermen. Fish and Fisheries: 280-297. https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1111/faf.12519
- International Scientific Committee for Tuna and Tuna-like Species for the North Pacific Ocean (ISC). 2019. REPORT FOR THE FIRST NORTH PACIFIC ALBACORE MANAGEMENT STRATEGY EVALUATION. http://isc.fra.go.jp/pdf/ISC19/ISC19_ANNEX12_Report_First_North_Pacific_Albacore_MS E.pdf
- Kaplan, I.C., Williams, G.D., Bond, N.A., Hermann, A.J., & Siedlecki, S.A. 2016. Cloudy with a chance of sardines: forecasting sardine distributions using regional climate models. Fisheries Oceanography, 25(1), 15-27.
- Kasperski, S. and Holland, D.S., 2013. Income diversification and risk for fishermen. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *110*(6), pp.2076-2081.
- Link, J.S., R. Griffis, S. Busch (editors). 2015. NOAA Fisheries Climate Science Strategy. U.S. Dept. of Commerce, NOAA Technical Memorandum NMFS-F/SPO-155, 70p.

- Malick M..J, Siedlecki S.A., Norton E.L., Kaplan I.C., Haltuch M.A., Hunsicker M.E., Parker-Stetter S.L., Marshall K.N., Berger A.M., Hermann A.J., Bond N.A. and Gauthier S. (2020) Environmentally Driven Seasonal Forecasts of Pacific Hake Distribution. *Front. Mar. Sci.*7:578490. doi: 10.3389/fmars.2020.578490
- Muhling et al. 2019. DYNAMIC HABITAT USE OF ALBACORE AND THEIR PRIMARY PREY SPECIES IN THE CALIFORNIA CURRENT SYSTEM CalCOFI Rep., Vol. 60. https://calcofi.org/publications/calcofireports/v60/Vol60-Muhling.pdf
- NOAA Climate, Ecosystem and Fisheries Initiative. 2020. https://www.fisheries.noaa.gov/topic/climate-change#noaa-climate-and-fisheries-initiative

NOAA-TM-NMFS-SWFSC-565, 2016

- Norton, Emily L., Samantha Siedlecki, Isaac C. Kaplan, Albert J. Hermann, Jennifer L. Fisher, Cheryl A. Morgan, Suzanna Officer et al. "The importance of environmental exposure history in forecasting Dungeness crab megalopae occurrence using J-SCOPE, a highresolution model for the US Pacific Northwest." Frontiers in Marine Science 7 (2020): 102.
- Peterson, J., R. Griffis, P. Woodworth-Jefcoats, A. Jacobs, A. Hollowed, E. Farley, J. Duffy-Anderson, M. Dorn, T. Hurst, J. Moss, L. Rogers, K. Shotwell, T. Garfield, R. Zabel, Y. deReynier, E. Shott, L. Crozier, S. Bograd, N. Mantua, J. Samhouri, J. Quinlan, K. Gore, R. Muñoz, J. Leo, L. Waters, M. Burton, V. Saba, D. Borggaard, M. Ferguson, W. Morrison. 2021. NOAA Fisheries Climate Science Strategy Five Year Progress Report. NOAA Tech. Memo. NMFS-F/SPO-228, 157 p
- Pozo Buil M, Jacox MG, Fiechter J, Alexander MA, Bograd SJ, Curchitser EN, Edwards CA, Rykaczewski RR and Stock CA (2021) A Dynamically Downscaled Ensemble of Future Projections for the California Current System. *Front. Mar. Sci.* 8:612874. doi: 10.3389/fmars.2021.612874
- Siedlecki, S., Kaplan, I., Hermann, A. *et al.* Experiments with Seasonal Forecasts of ocean conditions for the Northern region of the California Current upwelling system. *Sci Rep* **6**, 27203 (2016). https://doi.org/10.1038/srep27203
- Smith JA, Tommasi D, Welch H, Hazen EL, Sweeney J, Brodie S, Muhling B, Stohs SM and Jacox MG (2021) Comparing Dynamic and Static Time-Area Closures for Bycatch Mitigation: A Management Strategy Evaluation of a Swordfish Fishery. *Front. Mar. Sci.* 8:630607. doi: 10.3389/fmars.2021.630607
- Smith JA, Muhling B, Sweeney J. 2021. The potential impact of a shifting Pacific sardine distribution on U.S. West Coast landings. Fish Oceanogr. 2021;30:437–454. https://doi.org/10.1111/fog.12529
- Tommasi D, deReynier Y, Townsend H, Harvey CJ, Satterthwaite WH, Marshall KN, Kaplan IC, Brodie S, Field JC, Hazen EL, Koenigstein S, Lindsay J, Moore K, Muhling B, Pfeiffer

L, Smith JA, Sweeney J, Wells B and Jacox MG (2021) A Case Study in Connecting Fisheries Management Challenges With Models and Analysis to Support Ecosystem-Based Management in the California Current Ecosystem. *Front. Mar. Sci.* 8:624161. doi: 10.3389/fmars.2021.624161

Wells BK, Huff DD, Burke BJ, Brodeur RD, Santora JA, Field JC, Richerson K, Mantua NJ, Fresh KL, McClure MM, Satterthwaite WH, Darby F, Kim SJ, Zabel RW and Lindley ST (2020) Implementing Ecosystem-Based Management Principles in the Design of a Salmon Ocean Ecology Program. Front. Mar. Sci. 7:342. doi: 10.3389/fmars.2020.00342

Appendices

Appendix 1. Acronyms used in this document and their definitions.

Acronyms	Definition
ADF&G	Alaska Department of Fish and Game
AFSC	Alaska Fisheries Science Center
CAFA	Climate and Fisheries Adaptation
CalCOFI	California Cooperative Oceanic Fisheries Investigations
CCIEA	California Current Integrated Ecosystem Assessment
CCLME	California Current Large Marine Ecosystem
CeNCOOS	Central and Northern California Ocean Observing System
CESC	Center Ecosystem Science Committee
CEFI	Climate, Ecosystem and Fisheries Initiative
COCA	Coastal and Ocean Climate Applications
CPO	Climate Program Office
CPS	Coastal Pelagic Species
CVA	Climate Vulnerability Assessment
CVTEMP	Central Valley Temperature Mapping and Prediction
DFO	Fisheries and Oceans Canada
DisMAP	Distributed Mapping and Analysis Portal
DOM	Dynamic Ocean Management
EBFM	Ecosystem Based Fisheries Management
EBM	Ecosystem Based Management
ESA	Endangered Species Act
ESR	Ecosystem Status Report
ESRL	Earth Systems Research Laboratory
FACSS	Fisheries and Climate Decision Support Systems
FEP	Fishery Ecosystem Plan
HAB	Harmful Algal Bloom
HCI	Habitat Compression Index
HI-EBFM	Human Integrated EBFM

IEA	Integrated Ecosystem Assessment
IMECOCAL	Investigaciones Mexicanas de la Corriente de California
ISC	International Scientific Committee (for Tuna)
JISAO	Joint Institute for the Study of the Atmosphere and Ocean
J-SCOPE	JISAO's Seasonal Coastal Ocean Prediction of the Ecosystem
JSOES	Juvenile Salmon Ocean Ecosystem Survey
MICE	Models of Intermediate Complexity
MOM6	Modular Ocean Model
MSE	Management Strategy Evaluation
NAMES	National Marine Ecosystem Status web portal
NANOOS	Northwest Association of Networked Ocean Observing Systems
NCSS	NMFS National Climate Science Strategy
NMFS	National Marine Fisheries Service
NOAA	National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration
NorWeST	Northwest Stream Temperature (Model)
NOS	National Ocean Survey
NWFSC	Northwest Fisheries Science Center
NPFMC	North Pacific Fisheries Management Council
NRC	National Research Council
OAR	Oceanic and Atmospheric Research
PFMC	Pacific Fisheries Management Council
PMEL	Pacific Marine Environmental Laboratory
RAMP	Risk Assessment and Mitigation Program
RAP	Regional Action Plan
ROMS	Regional Ocean Modeling System
RREAS	Rockfish Recruitment and Ecosystem Assessment Survey
SCCOOS	Southern California Coastal Ocean Observing System
SDM	Species Distribution Model
SHSTM	Salmon Habitat Status and Trends Monitoring
SPM	Single Species Model
SSH	Sea Surface Height
SST	Sea Surface Temperature
SWFSC	Southwest Fisheries Science Center
TOTAL	Temperature Observations To Avoid Loggerheads
UCSC	University of California, Santa Cruz
WC3	West Coast Climate Committee
WCCP	West Coast Climate Program
WCOFS	West Coast Operational Forecast System
WCRO	West Coast Regional Office
WRAP	Western Regional Action Plan
WRIP	Western Regional Implementation Plan

Appendix 2: WCRO Climate Science Needs, July 2021

This document transmits the WCR Climate Team's summary of climate science needs collected from the divisions and the NOAA Restoration Center during 2020, supplemented by ongoing discussions with the Science Centers. The purpose of this document is to help focus our dialogue with Science Centers, data calls from HQ and others, and to inform the Western Regional Action Plan (WRAP 2.0) to implement the NMFS National Climate Science Strategy. *Importantly, this document will evolve as dialogue with the Centers continues*.

WCR climate science needs are organized into five subject areas below: freshwater, nearshore and estuaries, oceans, integration across ecosystems and management regimes, and use of climate science. Within these subject areas, we also describe tools that would help the region address climate change impacts on trust resources. Some of these tools may already exist, highlighting the need for continued communication between the Region, Centers, and others (academia, other government agencies, etc.).

In this summary, we did not include references to specific watersheds or species. Our goal is to create a framework that allows us to continue dialogue with the Centers and others, and to focus our efforts on developing tools to address climate change impacts that can be used across large portions of the region.

Climate Change Impacts in the Freshwater Environment

- How can we improve resilience to climate change impacts for salmonids, green sturgeon, and eulachon at a variety of scales? (ecoregion, DPS/ESU, Major population group, population, watershed, etc.)
 - Floodplains and other important habitat types (importance in the future, how these will change, best practices, contribution to resilience and recovery, etc).?

• Species interactions (invasives, competition, predation, prey availability, etc.)

• Where are species refuges (areas of suitable habitat, including areas for species reintroductions) likely to be and persist in the future? Unoccupied areas?

• How will human activities associated with rivers and streams interact with climate change to affect anadromous species' populations and our management priorities for those populations?

• How will climate change drive increased human demand for water use in flood-risk management, hydropower, irrigation, municipal and industrial water supply, pollution abatement, and recreation?

• More information about how climate change may exacerbate the effects of stream channelization or structures via acceleration of rates of new construction, repair, or removal/setbacks of structures.

• How might silvicultural practices affect changing stream temperatures and needed stream buffer widths? Which silvicultural practices might mitigate the effects of climate change, and maintain salmonid habitats?

Some science and management tools that we need, or are now using or developing and which should be updated for climate change:

- Vulnerability/resiliency analyses at the major population group, population, and watershed scales.
- Analysis tools to identify resilient recovery strategies and actions.

o Tools to assess the resilience of habitat areas we are considering for species reintroductions--linkages to lifecycle models to help us choose resilient areas that gain the most for the species

• Projections and best practices for modeling future stream flows and temperatures

• Incorporation of climate change impacts into streamflow predictions and projections at a variety of scales and time-steps (from 7-10 day stream forecasts to long term (multi-decadal) daily, monthly, and seasonal flow projections).

• Best practices for modeling stream flows, temperatures, sediment transport, fish disease outbreaks, and invasive species (informed by reservoir cold water pools, hyporheic flows, ground water, glaciers, etc.) in a changing climate.

 \circ Irrigation season, duration and volume tracking over time, and its effects on base flow/no flow periods.

• Impact from sea-level rise and watershed hydrology changes over time on the quantity and quality of large river floodplains, and the population level effects on salmonids from habitat loss/gain. Impacts from cumulative loss of small high elevation flood plains in forested environments.

• Analysis tools to evaluate how climate change may alter project impacts on instream habitat, habitat, flows, and water temperatures across a range of ecoregions, and time periods.

• Decision/analysis support tools for effects analyses for long-term medium-scale projects/structures such as fish passage, levees, other forms of channelization, and long-term water storage and use on listed fish and their habitat in a changing climate.

• What are key criteria for evaluating the resiliency of cool-water releases from dams and their influence on habitat conditions?

Climate Change Impacts in Estuaries and the Nearshore

• What are the expected impacts of climate change on estuary, associated wetlands, and associated floodplains and nearshore habitat for protected and managed species?

o Are these habitat types (and certain features within them) likely to become even more important for protected and managed species (e.g., estuarine floodplains for salmonids, and haul-out areas for pinnipeds) in the future? o Do we have effective tools for valuing these habitats for protection, mitigation, and restoration that incorporate climate change scenarios? o Sea-level rise and coastal inundation projections and their effects on species habitat.

o How will the changing climate, ocean acidification, and sea-level rise affect submerged aquatic vegetation, including kelp, in west coast bays and estuaries: wild (native and introduced) and cultured eelgrass and kelp populations? How do these changes influence decisions to conserve and manage these habitats? Can we mitigate with increased restoration of vegetation beds? o How do those effects interact with nearshore human-caused habitat hardening?

o How are shifts in kelp forest abundance and distribution affecting marine ecosystems and food webs?

- How will the anticipated impacts from our changing climate on the value of estuarine and nearshore habitats affect the range, distribution, phenology, disease, and abundance and productivity of protected and managed species in bays and estuaries?
- How will these changes alter protected species' interactions with fisheries and aquaculture? How will these changes alter the suitability of the physical and biological environment for fisheries and aquaculture.

Climate Change Impacts in the Ocean

• How will our changing climate, changing ocean physical states, chemistry, and changing ocean productivity (e.g., upwelling and forage availability) affect the range, distribution, phenology, and abundance of protected and managed species? How will those changes affect:

o our species' interactions in ecological communities, particularly predator/prey interactions, prey availability to protected and managed species, and predation upon protected species?

o the food webs of, predation on, and forage availability for protected and managed species over time?

o the availability of habitat to our species, compression or expansion of habitat, and links between our species' diet and habitat?

o the availability of fisheries-targeted species to fishing communities?

o patterns of bycatch of protected and managed species in fisheries? o disease transmission between migratory and shifting populations of protected species?

• What are some of the potential effects of the changing climate and ocean chemistry on the physical environment, particularly: extreme-weather events, hypoxic zones, drought and flooding conditions, and sea-level rise? How will those changes affect human communities, including their effects on fisheries and coastal aquaculture?

The Region and the Centers should collaborate to prioritize particular species, but rough species categories of interest include: longer-lived managed and protected species; highly migratory and far-ranging mammals, turtles, and fish; salmonids that may need access to new habitats; abalone; eulachon; and dominant species of the ocean forage base.

Some of the science and management tools that we are now using, and which could be updated, include:

- Ocean productivity models for salmonids (need upwelling indices, prey indices, information on changes, in water currents, salinity, and density.
- Fish stock assessments, some of which are already targeted for including climate data.
- Models of marine mammal and sea turtle population spatial and temporal distribution under climate change and habitat needs to understand: potential interactions with fisheries and gear, distribution of mammal and turtle prey and prey habitats, and interactions marine mammals may have with other protected species.
- Climate vulnerability assessments need to be completed for finfish species, mammals, and turtles. Will the Centers also embark on climate vulnerability assessments for habitats and fishing communities?
- Projections of Chinook salmon abundance and distribution in the ocean relative to Southern Resident Killer Whale migration and feeding patterns.
- Projections of abundance and distribution of large whales, in relation to shipping lanes and pot and trap fishing gear.

Integration of Climate Change Impacts Across Ecosystems and Management Regimes

• How resilient (e.g. vulnerability assessments) to climate change impacts are our ocean and nearshore species (whales, turtles, shellfish), and recovery strategies and actions, at a variety of scales. See above ocean and nearshore sections.

- Assessments of human coastal community vulnerability to the combined suite of potential effects of climate change, from the physical effects of climate change to the dependence of fishing communities on fisheries resources and their vulnerability to shifts in fish stock availability.
- How do we best integrate the effects of human activities, natural variability, and climate change impacts across species life cycles and ecological communities?
 - Integrated life cycle modeling, starting with salmonids.

• Tools to assess the potential and resilience of habitat areas we are considering for species reintroductions--linkages to lifecycle models to help us choose resilient areas that gain the most for the species.

• Changing interactions between human activities and species ranges and distribution (e.g., habitat compression and other metrics).

• Forage base (bottom-up in addition to top-down) -- how is climate variability and change affecting the abundance, species composition, and distribution of the ecosystem's forage base? What are the expected higher-trophic level impacts of any changes?

Use of Climate Change Science

How do we best distill the climate science that's available to help us manage trust resources under all our statutory mandates (MSA, ESA, MMPA, NEPA, etc.)?

• WCR needs constant ongoing collaboration between WCR and Center scientists on climate science products:

• The ecosystem status report, developed for use in domestic and international fisheries management.

• Best practices for use of a variety of climate science, including stream flow projections, and integrating ocean productivity information into the effects of freshwater projects on salmonid life cycles.

• Periodic updates of climate science-based management tools as needed to incorporate the latest information.

• Syntheses of expected climate driven changes in freshwater systems across West Coast Region

• WCR needs continued periodic updates of products that describe potential effects of climate and climate change on managed species throughout their life cycles (Objective 6, NCSS).

• Best available science for salmon and steelhead for climate analyses in ESA consultations (e.g. updates to species status sections for climate change in biological opinions)

• Update the WRAP so that it addresses science needs, rather than the problems. (For example, whale entanglements and ship strikes are the problem. The science needs are spatial and temporal distribution of mammals related to their pursuit of prey and also impacts of climate change on prey abundance.)